

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate absent. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : Ms. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>11/11/19</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.741 of 2017</p> <p>V.K. JagdhaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to withholding the amount of Rs.11,18,899/- from the retiral dues of the Applicant on account of penal charges for occupying Government quarter unauthorisedly.</p> <p>3. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent for final hearing. However, adjourned to give one more opportunity to the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.1.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : P.N. Dixit, MCA Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Shri. J. D. Kulkarni (VIC)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R. M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>03/01/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.911 of 2018</p> <p>S.D. PolApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents requests for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.01.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. DIXIT, MCA</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>M/S. S. Suryawanshi</u> S.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>08/01/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">£</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.1023 of 2018</p> <p>A. A. Bagwan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. 3. S.O. to 08.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.12.2018

O. A. No.326 of 2018

S. D. BhagatApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the requests of the learned C.P.O. for the respondents adjourned to 09.01.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

DATE : 12/12/2018
CORAM : P.N. Dixit, M (A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. B.O. to 09/01/2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>Date: <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>By: <u>P.N. Dixit, M(A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sh/Sr. <u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>Shri M. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adm/S.O. to <u>18/12/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.197 of 2018</p> <p>T. P. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.NI DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. Dixit M (A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>M. B. Kadam</u> holding for <u>Shri. J. N. Karble</u> Applicant</p> <p><u>A. J. Chougale</u> SPORO for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>11/01/2019</u> L</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>M. A. No.638 of 2018 in O. A. No.887 of 2018</p> <p>J. R. BankapureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri J. N. Karble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 11.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. Dixit, M (A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms S.P. Manchekar</u> S.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt./S.O. to <u>03/01/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.645 of 2018</p> <p>P. S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned C.P.O. adjourned to 03.01.2019.</p> <p>3: S.O. to 03.01.2019 as last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. Dixit, M(A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt/Smt: <u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt: <u>Archana B. K</u> C.P.O.R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Admit</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to _____</p> <p>Smt/Smt: _____ C.P.O.R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt: _____</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>DATE : _____</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.521 of 2018</p> <p>P. A. ThakareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave.</p> <p>3. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.12.2018

M. A. No.620 of 2018 in O. A. No.634 of 2018

U. D. Kharat & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 12/12/2018

CORAM : P.N. Dixit M(A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri V.V. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Ms N.G. Gohad

Presenting Officer for Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Misc. Application is pertaining to subsequent developments.

3. As request^{ed} by the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Misc. Application is allowed.

4. Meanwhile, learned Advocate for the Applicant agrees to make necessary amendments within two weeks.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. DIXIT (MCA)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. V. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>U. V. Bhosale</u></p> <p><u>Ms. N. G. Gohad</u> P.O. BY THE APPLICANTS</p> <p>Ad. S.O. to <u>11/02/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.634 of 2018</p> <p>U. D. Kharat & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.02.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 11.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 12/12/2018</p> <p>CORAM: P.N. DIXIT, MCA Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri Kranti Gaikwad P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to 03/01/2019</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.858 of 2018</p> <p>G. H. ShelkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that he has amended the Original Application and provided the copy of the same to the learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks adjournment of one week's for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>COPIES : <u>P.N. DIXIT, M(A)</u> Hon. the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. S. Suryawanshi</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>AS/S.O. B <u>Disposed of</u> <u>E</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No. 859 of 2018</p> <p>R. D. KekanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the respondents mentions that the applicant has been appointed by the Respondent No.1. 3. In view thereof, the prayer made by the applicant is met. The Original Application is, therefore, infructuous. 4. The Applicant is at liberty to file a representation to the respondents for necessary relief, if required. 5. Accordingly, the matter is disposed of as infructuous. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(PTO)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.12.2018

M. A. No.280 of 2018 in O. A. No.397 of 2018

A. K. JagtapApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 12/12/2018

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) P.N. Dixit, M(A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : M.A. Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. : Kranti Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 04/01/2019

1. Heard Shri M. A. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the M.A. is filed for condoning the delay of 2170 days.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3.
4. S.O. to 04.01.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/12/2018</p> <p>CONCERN: P.N. DIXIT, M (A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: None for the Applicant</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Sd/- <u>M.S.P. Manchekar</u> (P.O) for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>15/01/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.721 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P.N. Dixit, M.C.J.</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>U. V. Bhosale</u> holding for <u>Shri. M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Kranti Gaikwad</u> P.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>09/01/2018</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.94 of 2018</p> <p>A. S. JakkanawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 09.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 12.12.2018.
Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.1072 of 2018

P.N. BandeApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 17.01.2019.

DATE : 12/12/2018

CORAM : P.N. Dixit, M(A)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Shri J.D. Kulkarni (VIC)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adt./S.O. to 17/01/2019

2

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><i>Date: 12/12/18</i></p> <p><i>Case: P.N. DIXIT, M(A)</i> Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <i>U.V. Bhosale</i> holding for <i>Shri. M.D. Lonkar</i> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Ms. <i>S.P. Manehekar</i> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <i>09/01/2019</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>L</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.596 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. S. M. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar , the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manehekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 09.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.12.2018

O. A. No.1001 of 2018 with O.A. No.923 of 2018

P. M. Koli
S. M. NilkanthApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 12/12/2018
CORAM : P.N. DIXIT
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. S.D. Dole and Ms. N.G. Gohad
P.O. for the Respondent/s

AP/S.O. to 08/01/2019

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants. Shri S. D. Dole and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

LN _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.12.2018.

M.A.No.597 of 2018 in O.A.No.210 of 2018

5.M. JadhavApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 12/12/2018

CORAM : P.N. Dixit, MCA
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

~~C.P.O.~~ for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 03/01/2019

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Applicant is claiming direction to Respondents to forthwith convey meeting of D.P.C/ review D.P.C. so as to consider his case for the promotion of P.I.

3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar submits that she will take instructions from the Department as to whether such meeting is going to be conveyed in near further and request one week time for the same.

4. Learned P.O. waives notice on Respondent No.1.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

6. S.D. to 03.01.2019.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 12/12/2018</p> <p>CORAM : P.N. Dixit, M(A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. R. Hakepatil Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Ms. N. G. Gohad C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to Admit.</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.637 of 2017</p> <p>B. K. KhnadekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Hakepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P. O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 4.</p> <p>3. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p>S.D.O. <u>P.N. Dixit, M(A)</u> <small>For the Justice P.N. Joshi (Chairman)</small> <u>Shri. J.D. Kulkarni, (V/C)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> <small>Advocate for the Applicant</small></p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</u> <small>C.P.O./A.G. for the Respondent/s</small></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/12/2018</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.612 of 2013</p> <p>V.V. Shinde & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is stated that Applicant No.21, Shri Jagannath Krishna Nawale is dead. Learned Advocate is unable to give the date of his death and states that from other Applicants he came to know this fact. He wants to bring ^{LA's} enhance ₂ of Applicant No.21 on record.</p> <p>3. We feel that it is the matter of seniority and the question of bringing ^{LA's} enhance ₂ on record may not even arise, however in the interest of justice at the request learned Advocate for bringing enhance on record is accepted and one week time is granted for the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N) Dixit Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.12.2018

O.A.No.851 of 2018

A. N. GhodkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 12/12/2018

FORUM : P.N. DIXIT, M (A)
P.N. DIXIT, M (A) (Chairman)

None for the Applicant

Presented by: Archana B. K.
P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1, 2 & 5. She further states that reply of the Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 is not necessary.
3. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-
(P.N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>U. V. Bhosale</u> holding for <u>Shri. M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Kranti Gaikwad</u> C.F.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>09/01/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.313 of 2018</p> <p>A. R. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar , the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 09.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 12.12.2018. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.1090 of 2018
<p>17/12/18</p> <p>P.N. Dixit, MCA Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Shri. J. D. Kulkarni (Vice)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>MS S. P. Manchekar</u> C.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>21/01/2019</u> L</p>	<p>G.B. KshirsagarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant submits that he has been reverted from the post of Naib Tahasildar to Circle Officer vide impugned order without giving any opportunity. 9. Though Applicant submits that he has not yet received the order however the copy of the order is already filed on record. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale further states that the same is received from official web-site, that itself shows that applicant had knowledge of the order. Whether Applicant has been reverted without giving opportunity will be decided on the next date. 10. Adjourned to 21.01.2019. 11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 12/12/2018</p> <p>CORAM : P.N. Dixit, M(A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. None for the Applicant</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule 07/01/2019</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.751 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. N. L. ChutkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. adjourned to 07.01.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>
VSM	

O.A.877/2018

Dr. M.B. Londhe

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 13.07.2018.

3. As per direction given by this Tribunal on last date, Subsistence Allowance was to be paid and Affidavit-in-reply was also to be filed by this date.

4. Today, learned P.O. has submitted that the issue of suspension is under reconsideration and perhaps the cause of action for this O.A. may not survive, if the suspension is revoked.

5. As regard Subsistence Allowance, learned P.O. has tendered a letter dated 12.12.2018 wherein it is stated that the payment of Subsistence Allowance is under process.

6. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 4th January, 2019 for filing Affidavit-in-reply as well as compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal in respect of Subsistence Allowance.

7. Learned P.O. further directed to inform the Tribunal about the issue of suspension which is pending for reconsideration before the authority.

8. S.O. to 4th January, 2019. Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

12.12.2018

(skw)

DATE : 12/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. A. J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 4/1/19

Hamdast allowed.



Date : 12.12.2018

O.A.No.1160 of 2017

S.V. Kute

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter pertains to non getting benefits of second Time Bound Promotion.

3. During the pendency of this O.A., the original Applicant died and his legal heirs are brought on record. Perusal on record reveals that the first time bound promotion was granted to the Applicant.

4. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that though first time bound promotion has granted, monitory benefits has not been yet given as calculation and fixation of pay is not done by the department. Apart his claim for benefits of second time bound promotion is also not considered by the department.

5. Whereas, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the Applicant was not entitled to get second time bound promotion. Respondents are yet not filed affidavit-in-reply for making their stand clear and the reasons for not giving second time bound promotion to the Applicant.

6. On the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents 10 days time is lastly granted to file affidavit-in-reply and also to verify as to why the calculation on the basis of first time bound promotion is not done.

7. In view of above, matter is adjourned to file affidavit-in-reply and to inform the Tribunal about the calculation of first time bound promotion and monitory benefits.

8. S.O. to 16.1.2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

DATE : 12/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kulkarni, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. M.S. Suryawanshi

G.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 16/1/18.

Steno copy and Hamdast
is allowed.

[Signature]

Sd/-

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>N.A. Agashe</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Ms. K.S. Gaikwad</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>16/1/19.</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.616 of 2018 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J.V. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N.A. Agashe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Present for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.1.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, M.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 16.1.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.12.2018

O.A.No.1092 of 2017

R.P. KhochreApplicant
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. Athalye, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. She made a statement that there is no need to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1.

3. The matter pertains to the appointment of Police Patil. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 29.11.2017, selection process was allowed to continue with directions to produce merit list as and when completed. The Applicant was also allowed to participate in the process.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that his client has participated in the written test as well as viva-voce. Thus, it seems that the process is over but the results are to be declared in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 29.11.2017.

5. In affidavit-in-reply filed today, it is mentioned that as per directions of this Tribunal sealed envelope of merit list is enclosed with affidavit.

6. However, sealed envelope is not forthcoming along with affidavit-in-reply. This aspect is brought to the notice of learned P.O. and she submits that the sealed envelope will be produced before this Tribunal on the next date.

7. In view of above, matter is adjourned for production of sealed envelope containing merit list of the post of Police Patil and for hearing.

8. S.O. to 18.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE : 12/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. M. Athalye the
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 18/12/18

Reply filed by
A.No.2.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>4/2/19</u> D.A. is admitted.</p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018 O.A.No.524 of 2018 S.D. GhumbareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents made a statement that the affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. Reply be taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.2.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/12/18</u> COP 31 Honble. Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/Smt. <u>G. R. Sadasivan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad</u> <u>P.O. for the Respondents</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>16/1/19.</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.549 of 2018 in O.A.No.918 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. (Ms.) G. SukumaranApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.R. Sadasivan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Present for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 16.1.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, M.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 16.1.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>12/12/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/Smt. <u>G. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/1/19</u> <u>O.A. is admitted.</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.875 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. Agawane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter pertains to regularization of the services of the Applicants. 3. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have already filed affidavit-in-reply and it is taken on record. 4. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing. 5. Liberty is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if necessary. 6. S.O. to 29.1.2019 for final hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.2/2018 in O.A.7/2018

Shri P.R. Bhusal ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 25th October, 2018, the M.A. and O.A. was to be decided together after filing reply in M.A. The Affidavit-in-reply in O.A. is already filed.

3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Additional Reply to M.A.No.2/2018. It is taken on record.

4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

5. S.O. to 14th January, 2018.

DATE: 12/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 14/1/19

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

12.12.2018

(skw)