

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.B. Taskhedkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On perusal of the record produced by the Ld. PO Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, it was noticed that I had processed the case of the applicant during my tenure in Government. Therefore, the above matter may not be placed before me.</p> <p>3. The matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson for placing it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2021.

O.A.No.340/2011 with O.A.No.341/2011 with  
O.A.No.342/2011 with O.A.No.343/2011 with  
O.A.No.344/2011 with O.A.No.687/2011 with  
O.A.No.875/2011 with O.A.No.757/2011

K.P. Shah (O.A.340/2011)  
G.C. Lalka (O.A.341/2011)  
J.D. Mehta (O.A.342/2011)  
A.D. Mehta (O.A.343/2011)  
A.S. Dalvi (O.A.344/2011)  
M. Mehta (O.A.687/2011)  
U.G. Parikh (O.A.875/2011)  
R.G. Kukreja (O.A.757/2011) ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.340/2011 with O.A.No.341/2011 with O.A.No.342/2011 with O.A.No.343/2011 with O.A.No.344/2011 with O.A.No.687/2011 with O.A.No.875/2011, Mr. S.P. Lahane, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.757/2011 and Mr. A. Khandekar, learned Counsel with Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the course of hearing of the matter of the Temporary part time Lecturers working in Sydenham College seeking the regularization as permanent part time Lecturers it is found necessary to know :-

- (a) How many permanent sanctioned posts full time for the subject of Accountancy in Sydenham College ?
- (b) How many permanent part time posts for the subject Accountancy in Sydenham College ?
- (c) On which date last the representation was made by the College or proposal was sent by the State of Maharashtra to the M.P.S.C. for the Sydenham College of filling up vacant posts for the subject of Accountancy?

[P.T.O.]

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>3. Copy of the proposal or representation to be brought to the Tribunal made by the Principal of Sydenham College to the State of Maharashtra and the copy of the requisition made by the State to M.P.S.C. for filling up the posts of the Lecturer for Accountancy in the Sydenham College.</p> <p>4. We want Principal, Sydenham College of Commerce &amp; Economics and Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education to answer the queries in the form of affidavit.</p> <p>5. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Khandekar the matter is adjourned to 31.08.2021. As sufficient time is given to answer in these three queries by the two officers on the affidavit if the requisite information is not answered to the point in the form of affidavit Tribunal will be constrained to take further steps.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 31.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>12.08.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 285/2021 in O.A 540/2021</u></b></p> <p>Y.M Meshram ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant, proposed Respondent no. 2, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking intervention is allowed, as the applicant, Respondent no. 2, is going to be adversely affected.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar for the original applicant seeks permission to amend the Original Application. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out till tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O to 13.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.08.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 547/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.P Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondents no 4, 5 &amp; 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that she has served Respondent no. 6, through email, which is mentioned in the caption of the Original Application.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondents no 4, 5 &amp; 7 had joined at their respective places where they have been posted. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has a preferential claim over the Respondents no 4, 5 &amp; 7.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that he has taken instructions to the grounds (a) to (e) as mentioned in the order dated 10.8.2021.</p> <p>4. So far as the transfer of the applicant and his wife are concerned, the Tribunal found that ex-facie they are contrary to the policy of the State laid down in G.R dated 9.4.2018.</p> <p>5. On query, I am informed that the post at Indapur and Daund is vacant and either the applicant or his spouse can be posted.</p> <p>6. The applicant and his wife is directed to submit a joint application to the Respondent nos 2 &amp; 3 of their place of posting at Indapur or Daund. Respondents no 1, 2 and 3 are hereby directed to transfer applicant and his wife at Daund or Indapur as per the choice given by them. Accordingly, the necessary orders to be passed on or before 19.8.2021.</p> <p>7. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>12.08.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 494/2021</u></b></p> <p>M.P Jamadar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.P Jamadar, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 13.8.2021.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 13.8.2021.</p>	<p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;"><b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>

Aen

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>12.08.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 528/2021</u></b></p> <p>D.B Mundhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record. Learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 also files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Status quo ante to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.17 of 2017 in O.A.No.586 of 2016</b></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Chappalwar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that the order of the Tribunal is complied with.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate produces copy of letter dated 11.08.2021 written by the Applicant to the applicant wherein he has stated that he wants to withdraw the C.A. Copy whereof is take on record and marked as Exhibit-I.</p> <p>4. In view of this, C.A. is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.46 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Nikumbh &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Government has again considered the claim of the Applicant in terms of G.R. dated 31.08.2019, but the Applicant is <del>not</del> found <sup>not</sup> entitled to the pay scale she claimed. She therefore wants to file Additional Affidavit along with order passed by the Government.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time as granted for filing Additional Affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.184 of 2021 in O.A. No.574 of 2020</b></p> <p>L.D. Veer .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Vivek Rane, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 &amp; 7 is absent.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.449 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. Khedekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. matter be kept for tomorrow for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.452 of 2021</p> <p>V.E. Jog .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 28.06.2021.</li> <li>3. The Applicant has filed one more O.A. i.e. 197/2021, challenge is to the transfer order which is shown temporary shifting order dated 01.03.2021 (in order date is wrongly typed as 01.03.2020) in O.A. No.197/2021 Reply is already filed and matter is ripe for hearing at the stage of Admission.</li> <li>4. However, in suspension matter Reply is not filed since there is temporary shifting and later suspension it is desirable to hear both the O.A. together.</li> <li>5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. 452/2021.</li> <li>6. O.A. No.197/2021 be also kept with this O.A.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 24.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.08.2021

O.A. No.502 of 2021

D.K. Yadav .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 06.07.2021, whereby he is suspended under contemplation of Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) and Headquarter is kept at Pune.

3. Indeed, in view of circular dated 19.03.2008, Headquarter should be the place where a Government servant is suspended. In present case the Applicant was serving as Naib Tehsildar, Shirur but his Headquarter is kept at Pune which is in contravention of circular dated 19.03.2008.

4. On previous date i.e. 29.07.2021, taking note of circular dated 19.03.2008, Respondents were directed to take remedial measures and to keep Headquarter at Shirur. However, no such orders are passed.

5. Today learned P.O. sought time to file Reply.

6. Short time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

7. However, so far as Headquarter is concerned, it should have been at Shirur and not Pune, therefore Applicant Headquarter during the period of suspension be kept at Shirur.

8. S.O. to 25.08.2021.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.08.2021

O.A. No.297 of 2020

M.N. Chaudhary .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client wants to make representation to claim post of Respondent No.4 – Shri P.R. Singarwad, who was posted at Thane-Vashi III. According to him recently Respondent No.4 has been transferred from Thane-Vashi III to Palghar, and therefore, Applicants claim for Thane-Vashi III can be considered.

3. Shri D.B. Khaire, therefore submits that matter be kept pending till the decision of his representation and if it is accepted, O.A. may not survive.

4. In view of above, this O.A. is adjourned for Final Hearing so that the Applicant can make representation to Respondent No.1.

5. The Applicant is at liberty to make representation to Respondent No.1 within a week. Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the said representation if made within a week and it should be decided appropriately within three weeks from the date of receipt of the Representation.

6. S.O. to 07.09.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.479 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>C.S. Mane .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply of Shri Dikshit A. Gedam, Superintendent of Police, Sangli in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal on 05.08.2021. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. further submits that it be treated as Reply on merit too.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however pointed out that what was tendered before the Tribunal on previous date i.e. 05.08.2021 as observed in para. 4 of the order passed on 05.08.2021 was something different then the minutes of Police Establishment Board (P.E.B.) filed along with Affidavit today. On previous date when file was tendered by learned P.O. it was only about alleged mis-conduct of the Applicant and there were no such recommendation of his transfer. He further submits minutes of P.E.B. tendered today are after thought and prepared later.</p> <p>4. Minutes of P.E.B. which was tendered before the Tribunal on 05.08.2021 are not produced today along with Affidavit-in-Reply and what is tendered is minutes of P.E.B. recommending transfer of the Applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to file minutes of P.E.B. which was tendered before the Tribunal on 05.08.2021 along with Additional Affidavit and to explain the position.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.487 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>P.N. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.463 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.D. Sonune</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.368 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>J.R. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.347 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit, but the same is not filed. However on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.321 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.N. Tonde</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 27.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.254 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Gavit .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant, which is already filed in the Office.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.180 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>F.MD. I. Souraj</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.166 of 2020</p> <p>U.S. Jagtap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. No Rejoinder is filed by the Applicant.</li> <li>5. Since pleading is complete, it be kept for Final Hearing on 21.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.563 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.V. Pardeshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Status quo as of today to be maintained till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to produce the record tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.8.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.571 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.S. Thool ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Status quo as of today to be maintained till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to produce the record tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.8.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.572 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.N. Bhagat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Status quo as of today to be maintained till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to produce the record tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.8.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.573 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.P. Igave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Status quo as of today to be maintained till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to produce the record tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.8.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.981 of 2019</b></p> <p>Dr. L.V. Bhandarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she has received instructions from the applicant to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.258 of 2021 in O.A.No.371 of 2021</b></p> <p>P.G. Ghavalikar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.09.2021.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.281 of 2021 in O.A.No.480 of 2021</b></p> <p>Y.D. Kanoja .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The affidavit of service of Respondents No.3 to 5 is filed by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Respondent- Government is directed to file reply regarding the seniority of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter fixed on 31.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.124 of 2021</b></p> <p>M.V. Ghuge .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Solwat, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 10.08.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.2, through Mr. Rajesh P. Bhoir, Joint Director, office of Joint Director Accounts and Treasury Kokan Division, CBD Belapur. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Admitted and kept for final hearing 06.11.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.247 of 2021</b></p> <p>M.A. Amgaonkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he will file affidavit-in-rejoinder during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.261 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.S. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 10.08.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.2, through Mr. Gajendra Govindrao Dighavkar, Chief Administrative Officer, Office of the Director of Medical Education &amp; Drugs, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ( <b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b> ) <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.187 of 2021</b></p> <p>D.N. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance time granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.469 of 2012 with O.A.No.682 of 2013 with O.A.No.879 of 2015</b></p> <p>Dr. A.R. Tarale &amp; Ors (O.A.469/2012) Dr. M.B. Deeollikar &amp; Ors. (O.A.682/2013) Dr. G.P. Wadekar &amp; Ors. (O.A.879/2015) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.469/2012, Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.879/2015 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.682/2013 is absent.</p> <p>3. Parties to take note that this matter will be taken up for final hearing on 31.08.2021. The learned P.O. is directed to come with all necessary record, Government Resolutions and Hon'ble High Court judgments.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 31.08.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1059 of 2012</b></p> <p>D.C. Kalambe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr.. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel submits that during pendency of the Original Application the applicant retired on 30.08.2014.</p> <p>3. Accordingly it is necessary to amend the O.A. and so also relief. However, there is no amendment to that effect. If the applicant does not carry out the necessary amendment it will become infructuous.</p> <p>4. As the applicant retired in 2014 and the matter is pending since 2012, the learned Advocate request for permission to make necessary amendments.</p> <p>5. Permission granted. Adjourned to 02.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.164 of 202 in O.A.No.165 of 2021 in O.A.No.38 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. V.V. Patil &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time for taking compliance report.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.217 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. D.R. Deore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.B. Deore, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that by order dated 15.01.2021 the Government has taken a policy decision that the technical break of Bms doctor who are Group-B not to be posted is condoned and the same prayer is made that directions be given to the Government to decide this representation.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel submits that he needs to amend the prayer and the relevant portion in O.A.. He seeks permission to file this amendment application.</p> <p>5. Permission granted. Adjourned to 27.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.585 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>R. S. Bodake</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, it is noticed that one of us (Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member-A) had processed the file in the matter of promotion of the Applicant and appropriate decision was taken. Therefore, this O.A. needs to be heard by the Division Bench of which she is not a Member.</p> <p>3. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to take appropriate step.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1122 of 2019 with O.A.1123/2019 with O.A. No.1124 of 2019 with O.A.No.1127 of 2019 with O.A. No.1128 of 2019</p> <p>E.L. Barshinge <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. These Original Applications were to be heard along with another connected Original Applications which are also on today's board.</li> <li>3. Insofar as these five Original Applications are concerned, last time learned P.O. was directed to file reply so that all these nine Original Applications could be heard together.</li> <li>4. In first set of O.A., the challenge is to the appointment of Enquiry Officer. Whereas, in second set of O.A., the challenge is to the punishment order imposed against the Applicant after completion of D.E.</li> <li>5. Today, again learned P.O. requested for two weeks time to file reply.</li> <li>6. Last chance is granted for filing reply.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 31.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.914 of 2016</p> <p>A. A. Desale <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.08.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.701 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>D. R. Dighole</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply to the amendment carried out by the Applicant in O.A.</p> <p>3 S.O. to 25.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>Member(J)</b></span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.301 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>J. A. M. H. Momin &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder along with the final seniority list as on 01.01.2016. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for taking necessary instructions for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span></p> <p align="center"><b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>Member(J)</b></span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.117 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>D. B. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to file Rejoinder on Affidavit to the reply filed by the Respondents to the amendment made by him in O.A. during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>Member(J)</b></span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.594 of 2015</p> <p>M. V. Gawas <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply to the amendment made in O.A. by the Applicant. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O to 30.08.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><i>(Medha Gadgil)</i> <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.151 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.B. Taskhedkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 24.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.411 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapile ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 31.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.224 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.N. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder of the applicant and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgi)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.318 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.J. Yemal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.471 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.E. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two days time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.470 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.A. Ashtekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.396 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.G. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.737 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Gaud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>