

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>COBAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 7/6/17</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.300/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. B.M. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 7th June, 2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 7th June, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 12.04.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

**C.A.No.16 of 2017 in
ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.611 of 2015**

A.A.G. Patel

...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 12.04.2017

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that the order is complied with and amount that has been paid to the applicant is as follows :-

(i)	Revised Pension vide order No.PR-11 Kolhapur /2121210695/3/P/17/15/60532713 dated 15/03/2017	Rs.5,45,872/-
(ii)	Interest amount vide order No. PRXI /CH3/COURT/ /6071 1330 dated 29/03/2017	Rs.71,652/-
A total amount of Rs.6,17,524/-, vide voucher No.14, dated 05.04.2017 [(i) + (ii)]		
(iii)	Commutation amount, vide order dated 15.04.2017. Voucher No.15, dated 05.04.2017	Rs.25,623/-

3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that applicant is not satisfied with the payment and has some grievance.
4. Respondents/Officers concerned are directed as follows :-
To communicate to the applicant the details of calculation on the basis of which the amount paid to the Applicant are arrived / calculated, either by hand delivery or by registered post, so as to reach the applicant within two week's from today.
5. Time is granted to the applicant to verify as to whether the amount due and receivable by the Applicant is fully received.
6. Applicant shall be free to make representation within ten days, before next day, for bringing to the notice of Respondents, his grievance about deficiency in the calculation, if there be any, or if any amount has still remained unpaid.
7. S.O. to 05.07.2017.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Re Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.115 OF 2017**

H.R. JadhavApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person.

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 12.04.2017

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. We have heard this case for quite some time.

3. Applicant has argued as follows :-

In view of Section 13 of the Sexual Harassment of Women at workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 enquiry into the fact of sexual harassment at the hands of local committee/ internal committee is a sine quo non for taking in action for the purpose of disciplinary proceedings under the conditions of service.

4. Applicant in person has further argued that his submissions that enquiry by the Committee is sine quo non, is also settled law.

5. Applicant has prayed for leave to amend for incorporating the aforesaid and other points by amendment in the O.A..

6. Applicant has shown the draft amendment and has prayed for leave to amend.

7. In view of request based on various precedents, which are relied by the Applicant, we allow the amendment. Amendment be carried by 13.04.2017.
8. We have called upon the learned Special Counsel to take instructions on the limited question i.e. :-
Whether the State has examined provisions contained in Section 13 of the Sexual Harassment of Women at workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 and yet is firm on proceeding with disciplinary proceedings based on the same fact of the matter, for which committee for enquiry in the matter of sexual harassment is already instituted and without waiting for a report of the Statutory Committee.
9. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents prays for two week's time to take call of the questions of law, and the stance of the Government.
10. Time is granted till 25.04.2017.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
12. S.O. to 25.04.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

12.04.2017

C.A 12/2017 in O.A No 209/2015

Shri R.S Vichare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions from Shri G.K Pakale, Section Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, states that the process of compilation of certain information will be completed during the next week and the file will be resubmitted to G.A.D and a statement will be made on the next date as to the time frame within which the order would be complied with.

3. S.O to 25.4.2017.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed to learned Presenting Officer.

DATE: 12/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member) S.V/S

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.R. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/4/17

RTE

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H JOSHI, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20_____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

12.04.2017

O.A No 253/2015

Shri D.S Pohnekar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, hearing is adjourned to 12.6.2017.

DATE: 12/4/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 12/6/2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, Chairman)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt./Smt. Archana B.K. C/O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ack To: 19/4/2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>12.04.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 131/2017</u></p> <p>Dr K.V Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that final decision is to be taken by the Public Health Department and prays for time till Monday for informing the decision taken.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.4.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>12.04.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 1101/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Dongaonkar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, hearing is adjourned to 12.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) (A.H Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/6/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>12.04.2017</p> <p><u>O.A No 1051/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.G Kumbhar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, hearing is adjourned to 12.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s

versus

e of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Tribunal's orders

12.04.2017

O.A No 862/2016

Shri A.J Telvekar & ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R:M Kolge, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered additional affidavit in reply for and on behalf of Respondent no. 1. It is taken on record.
3. S.O to 20.4.2017.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agastwal)
Vice-Chairman

(A.H Joshi(J.)
Chairman

Akn


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Reply filed by R.100.2.</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 18/4/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.249/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. A.N. Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Reply is taken on record. See my order of 5.4.2017. The OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing to 18th April, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 12.4.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Smt. RAJVA AGARWAL (Vice-Chief Justice) Hon'ble Smt R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt/Smt <u>D. Pagara</u> holding for <u>R.D. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>7/6/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.53/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. J.J. Khaire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. D. Pagara holding for Mr. R.D. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice to Respondent No.4 made returnable on 7th June, 2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 7th June, 2017. Service in every possible mode is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(R.B. Malik)</u> Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>12.4.17</u></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJEEV GARWAL (Vice-Chief Justice) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M. Harit</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Respondents Affidavit of Service Filed by Applicant. Act. To: <u>S.O. to 7/6/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.04/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. M.D. Kasve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. M. Harit, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit of service is taken on record. The service is declared legal. Adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to 7th June, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(R.B. Malik) 12-4-17</u> Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Jt. Chief Jst. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. R. Pawar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi C.P.O. for the Respondents Rejoinder filed by Applicant. Adj. To: O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 4/5/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.979/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. R.V. Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. R. Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing to 4th May, 2017. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and not thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 12.4.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGRAWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents A. Chaturvedi holding for A. Gite. for Adj. To A. No. 2 C.U.P. Order S.O. to 3/5/17</p>	<p><u>O.A.924/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. B.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No. 1.</p> <p>Mr. A. Chaturvedi holding for Mr. Rameshwar Gite, learned Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record. Adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to 3rd May, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- k (R.B. Malik) 12.4.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 17/4/17</u> Adj. To..... </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.302/2017</u></p> <p>Smt. S.A. Warang ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO is being instructed by Mr. D.S. Ghatge, Desk Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya.</p> <p>The appellate order of which the non-implementation is alleged was made on 17.6.2016. There is no reason why this much period should have been taken for implementing that order. It seems to me that this OA need not be prolonged and it can be disposed of at the stage of admission hearing itself. The learned PO must make sure that either Affidavit is filed on the next date which will be a short one or record is kept ready for perusal for final disposal hereof. Formal order of admission will be made just before the hearing.</p> <p>S.O. to 17th April, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>R.B. Malik</u> (R.B. Malik) 12.4.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.09 of 2017

Shri A.B. Patil ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

As the learned Advocate for the Applicant opens the arguments in Rejoinder, she tenders a copy of which is described in Marathi as Dainandini of Shri Ramesh Saravade, who admittedly was a member of PEB which took the decision upon the issues involved herein. The Minutes of Meeting show the presence of SDPO, Shri Sarvode at Kolhapur. There is a good reason to believe that the extract just referred to may as well show his presence elsewhere. The said extract is retained on record and the copies thereof be furnished to all the adversaries of the Applicant.

I am informed by Smt. Punam Mahajan that this direction has already been complied with. There is a certain documents on record of this Tribunal namely Minutes of the PEB Meeting and there are certain requirements. It will be not only relevant but very necessary if for anything at least to make sure that purity of judiciary process is maintained by the parties that Shri Sarvode files an Affidavit explaining the facts arising out of the Minutes of the PEB Meeting at district level and Dainandini just referred to. It will also be proper for him to produce before this Tribunal the logbook relevant here for. The issue of whether even to the limited aspect of the matter all concerned should be allowed to address this Tribunal is left open and a decision will be taken thereabout after the compliance is made herewith.

O.A. stands adjourned for compliance herewith. S.O. to 25.04.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.04.2017

DATE: 12/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Smt. Punam Mahajan~~
Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad~~
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3

~~A. V. Bandiwadekar~~
Adv. for R. No. 4.

S.O. to 25/4/17.

Hamdast.

PH

(Signature)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> (skw) Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 7. <u>U.R. Agandurve</u> for R. No. 5. <u>A. Misal</u> for Adj. To R. No. 1 to 26. O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 26/4/17 with O.A. 1080/16. </p>	<p><u>Suo-motu C.A.02/2017 in O.A.1080/2016</u></p> <p>Dr. Y.G. Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Mr. A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.7, Mr. U.R. Agandurve, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 and Mr. A. Misal, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6.</p> <p>Heard. The OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing. The parties request that the OA and Suo-motu Contempt Application became together for hearing and disposal. Both the proceedings are adjourned for hearing to 26th April, 2017. The personal attendance of Respondent No.2 may be dispensed with.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 12.4.17 12.04.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

^{Tribunal's orders}
M.A.524/2016 in O.A.1146/2016

Mrs. S.G. Padage ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This is an application for condonation of delay in bringing the OA.

After a similar move by way of MA 235/2016 in OA 572/16 was allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh one by the order dated 1.8.2016 made by the Hon'ble Chairman.

The OA itself raises a dispute about the non-grant of Medical Leave. The duration has been treated as Leave Without Pay which apparently has aggrieved the Applicant. By the very nature of things, the health condition of the Applicant assumes significance and in the Affidavit-in-reply which stand was favourably pursued by Mrs. Kololgi, several holes are sought to be picked with regard to the details of the illness that the Applicant suffers from. There is some reference also to a fire incident and again the Respondents have tried to assail the case of the Applicant in that behalf.

Informed as I am, by the principles emanating from a number of binding judicial pronouncements that such applications must be approached more with a view to advance ~~the~~ cause of justice rather than technicality, I find that the above discussion would make it clear that the Applicant did not in the manner of speaking sleep over her rights. She had brought earlier the proceedings of substantially the same nature which were allowed to be withdrawn. A meticulous mathematical accuracy of number of days, etc. is not to be insisted upon. I am, therefore, quite clearly of the view that a case is made out for condoning the delay. The delay is accordingly condoned and the Office and the Applicant are directed to process the OA and get it listed before the appropriate Bench for disposal according to law. The MA is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J) 12.4.17
12.04.2017

DATE: 12/4/17

CHAIRMAN:

~~Hon'ble Smt. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri ~~B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. ~~A.B. Kololgi~~ holding

P.O./P.C. for the Respondents

for ~~Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

Adj. To
M.A. is allowed.

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>holding docn <u>S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>Adj. To <u>3/5/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.1146/2016</u></p> <p>Mrs. S.G. Padage ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-reply to the OA is tendered and taken on record. OA stands adjourned for Rejoinder, if any, to 3rd May, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>R</u></p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 12.4.17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>A. B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 25/4/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.307/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. S.B. Ingale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 25th April, 2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 25th April, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) <u>12.4.17</u> Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. P.V. Patil</p> <p>Advocates for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 4 Mihir Raut holding for Mr. N. Deshpande for R. No. 2 & 3. S.O. to 8/6/17. for Reply</p>	<p><u>O.A.1113/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. S.K. Patole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 4 and Mr. Mihir Raut holding for Mr. N. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>The OA is adjourned to 8th June, 2017 for reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 12 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 19/4/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.299/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. K.H. Sable ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 19th April, 2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 19th April, 2017. Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 12-4-17 Member (J) 12.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION 482 OF 2016

DISTRICT : NASIK

Shri K.G More)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Shri K.G More, applicant in person.

Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Shri A.H Joshi (Chairman)
Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)**

DATE : 12.04.2017

PER : Justice Shri A.H Joshi (Chairman)

ORDER

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents for quite some time.

2. It has transpired that the issue involved in the case revolves around the questions namely:-

- (a) When were adverse ACRs for (1) 2005-06, (2) 2006-07, (3) 2007-08, were written?
- (b) Date when adverse ACRs were actually communicated/delivered to the Applicant?
- (c) Whether Applicant had represented against those adverse ACRs?



- (d) Whether those representations are/were decided and dates thereof?
- (e) Whether the decision on representation is/was communicated to the Applicant?
- (f) Whether applicant's case was considered for compulsory retirement before deciding Applicant's representation against adverse ACRs?


4. The Respondent, Joint Director, Vocational Education, is directed to file his own affidavit on the points/question referred to in the foregoing paragraph.


5. Affidavit in reply be filed within one week from today.

6. S.O to 25.4.2017. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to communicate this order.

7. Case is treated as part heard.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed to learned Presenting Officer.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman


(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 13.04.2017
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Raneshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 21/7/17</p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1201 of 2016</p> <p>A.D. JadhavApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3, affirmed by Shri Ranjit Rajaram Hande, Superintending Engineer, Public Works Circle, Nashik. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for hearing on 21.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.V. Thorat Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 20/6/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1872</p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.207 of 2017</p> <p>A.J. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocaet for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Office ^{The} report of registry indicates that learned Advocate for the Applicant has not collected the Tribunal's notice and also failed to serve and file service report.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for enlargement for returnable date.</p> <p>4. Returnable date is enlarged to 20.06.2017.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>24/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1053 of 2015 with M.A.No.68 of 2017</p> <p>R.M. Shaikh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 24.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.04.2017.

M.A.No.64 of 2017 in O.A.No.271 of 2016

V.R. Jagtap (M.A.No.64/2017)

D.P. Khade & Ors. (O.A.No.271/2016)

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.64/2017, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.271/2016, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5 in O.A.No.271/2016.

2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.271/2016 has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 in M.A.No.64/2017 and Original Applicant No.3 in O.A.No.271/2016, affirmed by Shri Sachin Arvind Repal, working as Divisional Manager, Gorewada Project, Nagpur. It is taken on record.

3. At the request of Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate, adjourned to 02.05.2017.

DATE: 12/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S.A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant (M.A. 64/17)

Adv. S.P. Manchekar for Appl. O.A. 271/16

Shri/Smt. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for R.1 & 2.

Adv. M.D. Lonkar for

AAA Rsp. 3 to Co.A. 271/16

S.O. to 2/5/17.

ME

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 12.04.2017 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.271 of 2016 with M.A.No.64 of 2017

D.P. Khade & Ors. (O.A.No.271/2016)

V.R. Jagtap (M.A.No.64/2017)

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.64/2017, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.271/2016, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5 in O.A.No.271/2016.

2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A.No.271/2016 has tendered affidavit-in-rejoinder, on behalf of Applicant No.3, affirmed by Shri Sachin - Arvind Repal, Divisional Manager, Gorewada Project, Nagpur. It is taken on record.

3. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for Applicant points out that ordinarily the concession contained in the affidavit in paragraph 3.8 would satisfy the applicant, however assurance is without any time limit for taking the decision.

4. In the aforesaid background, Respondents is directed to state :-

- As to the time frame within which the decision would be taken as regards finalization of seniority list which is the subject matter this O.A..
- Is there any legal impediment due to which the decision needs to be delayed.
- Statement be made on the next date.

5. S.O. to 02.05.2017.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 02.05.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

DATE: 12/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant (M.A. 64/17)

Adv. S.P. Manchekar for app. O.A. 271/16

Shri / Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 & 2.

Adv. M.D. Lonkar for app. O.A.

Adv. to Res. 3 to 5 (O.A. 271/16)

S.O. to 2/5/17.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 19/4/17. Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Lt. P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.07 of 2017 in R.A.No.02 of 2016 in O.A.No.956, 957 with 958 of 2014</p> <p>S.T. Tiwari & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:- The order dated 21.03.2017 was communicated to the office of A.G. in routine manner and special attention of the office of A.G. was not drawn though the need for furnishing certain information and explanation as regards the points is mentioned in the order.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for week's time for securing proper explanation by writing letter in suitable manner.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>5. Hence, adjourned to 19.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2017

O.A.No.105 of 2017

S.Y. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Vinod Sangurkar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Ghanavat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri Vinod Sangurkar states as follows:-

Shri S.R. Ghanavant, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has personal difficulty and time is necessary to consider, and address.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. Adjourned to 09.06.2017.

DATE: 12/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice *Rajiv Agarwal* (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. *Vinod Sangurkar* holding
S.R. Ghanavat
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : *K.S. Gaikwad*
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 9/6/17

MJE

Sd/-

(*Rajiv Agarwal*)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

A.H. JOSHI,
Chairman

gba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>None for R. 2 & 3.</u></p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/7/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>JA</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.81 of 2017</p> <p>R.V. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of.Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. None for the Respondent No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar prays for time for considering as to whether rejoinder is necessary.</p> <p>3. Hence, adjourned to 11.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: V.P. Potbhare Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad. To:</u> 19/4/17</p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.101 of 2016 in O.A.No.1264 of 2013</p> <p>R.M. KashelkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S. Suryawanshi states as follows:-</p> <p>Necessary sanction required to be received by Finance Department is received and consequential orders to be passed by Public Health Department would be issued tomorrow.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of learned P.O., adjourned to 19.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrur's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>13/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.140 of 2017</p> <p>A.V. Veer & 2 Ors. ...Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that four week's time is required particularly in the background that Assembly Budget Sessions was in progress.</p> <p>3. In this peculiar situation some time can be granted, however, the request for grant of four week's time is wholly unjustified and certainly not based on satisfactory reasons.</p> <p>4. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is directed to take instructions as to what is the exact time genuinely required in this matter.</p> <p>5. To make statement on the foregoing point, adjourned to 13.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 13/4/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.1075 of 2016</p> <p>Y.G. BirajdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 13.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>H.M.C. for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>13/4/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.38 of 2015 In O.A.No.909 of 2013</p> <p>R.K. MohiteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 13.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B.S. Shinde Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 13/4/17</p>	<p>Date : 12.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.76 of 2016 in O.A.No.242 of 2015</p> <p>V.D. Kulkarni & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 13.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>