

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1083 of 2019 with 1086/19, 1087/19, 1092/19, 1093/19 with M.A.621/19 with 1094/19 with M.A.622/19 with 1088/19 with 1095/19 with O.A.1112/19 with O.A.1212/2019 with O.A.1200/2019 with 1203/2019 with 36/2020</p> <p>S. A. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. All these Original Applications were required to be listed before the Tribunal on today's board in view of fixed date given by the Tribunal. However, these matters were not listed on today's board on the ground that the matters are on today's board before Registrar for removing office objection.</p> <p>3. When learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that matters are not listed on today's board, oral directions were given to prepare separate board and to place the same before Tribunal. Accordingly, separate board is prepared and same are listed before the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Office needs to understand that when the Tribunal has given fixed date, the matter should invariably be listed before the Tribunal on the fixed date so that necessary orders for continuation of interim relief can be passed unless it is dismissed in default by virtue of conditional order.</p> <p>5. Registrar should have taken these matters on his board much before the date fixed by the Tribunal and not on the date, on which the matter was required to be listed before the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Registrar is, therefore, directed to take note of above and shall also instruct all concerned.</p> <p>7. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>8. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.17 of 2020</p> <p>V. S. Ahire &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.60 of 2020</p> <p>N. P. Bhoyar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. submits that reply of Respondent No.3 is not necessary.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.02.2020.</p> <p align="right"> <sup>h</sup>            Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.571/2018</u></p> <p><b>Shri V.S. Khalate</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Ms. Shraddha Vavhal holding for Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate are continuously absent. They appear not interested in the matter. The matter is pending for final hearing for a long time.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the O.A. dismissed in default. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.02.2020</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 662/2019 in O.A 1084/2019 with</u></p> <p>K.D Salunkhe &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhuri Samant i/b Shri Sushant Walimbe, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant undertakes to remove office objections.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 18.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.99 OF 2020

B.G. Phatangare & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Shri Akhilesh Dubey along with Shri Vagish Mishra, Shri Uttam Dubey and Shri Rajuram Kuleriya, learned Advocates instructed by Law Counselors Advocate for the Applicants.  
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  
Shri R. Apte, learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.1 and 2.  
Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 and 10.  
Shri Sandeep Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 to 7.  
Shri Prasad Dhakepalkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11.

**CORAM :** SHRI P.N. Dixit, Vice-Chairman  
Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member(J)

**DATE :** 12.02.2020.

**PER :** Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member(J)

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey along with Shri Vagish Mishra, Shri Uttam Dubey and Shri Rajuram Kuleriya, learned Advocates instructed by Law Counselors, Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri R. Apte, learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.1 and 2, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 and 10, Shri Sandeep Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 to 7 and Shri Prasad Dhakepalkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11.

2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant pray~~ed~~ for interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10 in (a) and (b) (page 15) which are as follows :-

- "a) That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to restrain the Respondents from issuing any further promotion orders to the cadre of Additional Collector, till pending hearing and final disposal of this Petition.
- b) That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents to issue orders granting promotion to the post of Deputy Collector (Selection Grade) to the Applicants."



3. In earlier round of litigation i.e. in O.A.No.916/2016 this Tribunal has permitted the Government to promote 57 officer which are eligible, suitable and in the zone of consideration, in order dated 29.08.2019, as per paragraph 6 of the operative order, which is as follows :-

*"6. The Respondents are therefore permitted to promote 57 officers, who are eligible, suitable and in the zone of consideration."*

4. The order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.916 of 2016 was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition No.11138 of 2019 which was decided with other connected matters on 18.12.2019. The Hon'ble High Court was pleased to quash and set aside clause No.6 of the order of this Tribunal. The operative order of the Hon'ble High Court is as follows :-

*"(i) Clause (6) of the impugned order dated 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2019 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.916 of 2016 and O.A.No.1099 of 2016 is quashed and set aside.*

*(ii) The statement made by learned Senior Counsel Shri Apte an instructions that the State would finalise the seniority list by the end of January, 2020 after considering the representations and objections to the provisional seniority list dated 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2018 is accepted.*

*(iii) Needless to mention, it is open for the State Government to take an independent decision whether to make promotions on ad-hoc basis pending finalisation of seniority list."*

5. Now turning to the present O.A., learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief to the communication dated 06.01.2020, whereby information was called to prepare Select List of 1999-2000 for the post of Additional Collector. His grievance is that the promotee candidates are excluded from the consideration because of the delay in preparation of Final Seniority List. He has further pointed out that the Government has issued orders on 30.01.2020, thereby promoting 61 candidates in the cadre of Additional Collector, on adhoc basis.

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, submits that the Government is still proceeding further with the promotions without finalizing seniority. He, therefore, seeks stay to their postings. Whereas Shri Apte, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Prasad Dhakepalkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.11, strongly opposed the prayer of interim relief contending that the Hon'ble High Court itself permitted the Government to issue promotions on adhoc basis. He further submits that the process of completing final seniority list is underway and it will be completed within two months.

7. Indeed in terms of order passed by Hon'ble High Court the Seniority List was to be finalized by the end of January 2020, which is not yet done. Learned Counsel for the Respondents submits that the application is already moved before the Hon'ble High Court for extension of time and it will be listed before the Hon'ble Bench soon.
8. However, fact remains that there is no compliance of the order of Hon'ble High Court to finalize the Seniority List by the end of Jan 2020 and on the other hand the Government has issued adhoc promotion orders dated 30.01.2020.
9. As the Hon'ble High court permitted the Government to make promotion on adhoc basis pending finalization of seniority list, the action of the Government to issue promotions on adhoc basis pending finalization of Seniority List is obviously in terms of the liberty granted by the Hon'ble High Court.
10. The application for extension of time to finalize the Seniority List is also pending before the Hon'ble High Court.
11. In view of the above, in our considered opinion, it will not be appropriate to stay posting orders of the officers promoted on the post of Additional Collector, promoted in terms of order dated 30.01.2020. However, some protection needs to be given to the applicants to take care of their apprehension. Therefore the posting which would be issued in terms of order dated 30.01.2020 would be subject to outcome of this O.A.
12. Private respondents have not yet filed their reply and some of the Respondents are not yet served. Learned Advocate for the Applicants are directed to take steps to serve all these Respondents. Further Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit about the process of finalization of Seniority List along with the schedule showing the dates by which Seniority List would be finalized. Affidavit should be filed within a week.
13. Learned Advocate for the Applicants seeks permission to challenge the order dated 30.01.2020 in prayer clause. Allowed to amend prayer clause only.
14. Adjourned 27.02.2020.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.927 of 2019</p> <p>R. P. More .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the representation made by the Applicant on 20.09.2019 has already been rejected by the Government.</p> <p>3. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client has made two more representations dated 21.01.2020 and 12.02.2020 seeking modification in transfer order on other post as mentioned in representations dated 21.01.2020 and 12.02.2020.</p> <p>4. Respondent is expected to pass appropriate orders on representations dated 21.01.2020 and 12.02.2020 soon.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for two weeks awaiting decision on representations.</p> <p>6. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ /M- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 953/2016</u></p> <p>S.S Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Kamble, applicant in persona and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place for final hearing on 9.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.128 of 2020</p> <p>M. D. Kale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.03.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 11.03.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.130 of 2020</p> <p>S. V. Sonar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri Sachin Pawar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.03.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents Intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. in case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 04.03.2020.</li> <li>9. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 has waived service In view of filing of caveats.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.627 of 2019</p> <p>P. B. Pawar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Government by order dated 24.10.2019 revoked the suspension of the Applicant and reinstated him as Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal.</p> <p>3. On last date, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the post of Block Development Officer is of selection grade, and therefore, could not have been posted on that post. The Government has also realized the mistake and matter is now again placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister for modification of posting order.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to ensure that appropriate orders are passed within two weeks from today.</p> <p>5. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.02.2020
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.65 of 2020 in O.A.No.81 of 2019</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>S. P. Pathak ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri S. P. Pathak, Respondent (Ori. Applicant) in person.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time to comply the directions given by this Tribunal in order dated 30.04.2019 whereby two appeals were directed to be decided within three months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Significant to note that present M.A. for extension of time is filed on 24.01.2020 whereas time given by the Tribunal had expired on 30.07.2019. No satisfactory explanation for non compliance of the order dated 30.04.2019 about the decision of the Appeal within three months is forthcoming.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Applicant (Ori. Respondent) is directed to file Affidavit to explain the step taken to decide the Appeals and also to explain the reasons why the same appeals are not decided within three months as directed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.98 of 2020</p> <p>S. D. Bari .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 04.02.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.02.2020 for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.906 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. Magdum .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent submits that the proposal for transfer of the Applicant to Sangali is under consideration and necessary orders will be issued within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 27.02.2020.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.1 is directed to issue appropriate order on or before next date.</p> <p>5. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 707/2019 in O.A 953/2016</u></b></p> <p>S.S Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Kamble, applicant in persona and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A the applicant is again seeking amendment to the Original Application, particularly in the prayer clause claiming interest @ Rs. 10000/- on the compensation amount. Indeed earlier the application for amendment vide M.A 578/2019 was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 6.11.2019, wherein he claimed interest @ Rs. 1000/- on the compensation amount.</p> <p>3. Now again this M.A is filed claiming interest @ Rs. 10000/- on the compensation amount.</p> <p>4. Basically, O.A is filed for seeking relief by way of direction to the Respondents to issue permanent appointment order and also to grant compensation.</p> <p>5. On merits, if the applicant is found entitled for compensation as he has claimed in the O.A, in that event, his prayer for appropriate interest as per Bank rate, at the most, can be considered. Therefore, this proposed amendment claiming interest @ Rs. 10,000/- is totally unnecessary and nothing, but abuse of law.</p> <p>6. This amendment is not necessitated in deciding the O.A.</p> <p>7. Misc Application is therefore rejected.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhedar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 964/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.S Bhosale &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks one weeks' time for filing sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akm</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 367/2019 in O.A 677/2019</u></b></p> <p>H.T Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad , learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 18.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 979/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri Y.K Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Attn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>12.02.2020</b></p> <p><b>C.A.17/2017 in O.A 586/2016</b></p> <p>Dr S.S Chappalwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant states that as per instructions received by him he want to continue hearing on C.A.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 763/2019</u></b></p> <p>K.V Giri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Machekar, learned C.P.Os.</li><li>2. Learned advocate for the applicant files his rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks.</li><li>4. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder.</li><li>5. To be heard in due course.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 966/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.W Malode ... Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit on behalf of Respondents no. 1 &amp; 2. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks one weeks' for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. SO 18.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Q.A 698 with 699/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr S.J Mane &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned advocate for the applicant as well as applicant are absent. Heard Ms Swati, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri P. Deokar learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O for Respondents and Learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 seeks four weeks' time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 12.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 769/2019</u></p> <p>C.A Borase &amp; Others ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 18.2.2020:</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 72/2020</u></b></p> <p>R.R Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</li><li>3. Learned advocate for the applicant press for interim relief.</li><li>4. If the reply is not filed by next date, the issue of interim relief will be heard and decided.</li><li>5. Adjourned to 25.2.2020.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 34/2020 in M.A 33/2020 in O.A 213/2018 with M.A 36/2020 in M.A 35/2020 in O.A 214/2018</u></p> <p>A.A Walvekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.K Kotecha, holding for Shri C.R Nagare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for taking instructions as applicant is resident of Dhule.</p> <p>3. At his request, adjourned to 27.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">n Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.02.2020

O.A 111/2020

M.A Deshpande ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to find out rules and procedure regarding answer sheets.

3. At his request adjourned to 4.3.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.02.2020

M.A 367/2019 in O.A 677/2019

H.T Shinde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Sri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad , learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks adjournment.

3. At her request adjourned to 18.2.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.02.2020

O.A 870/2019

S.M Sathe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.M Sathe in person and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O tenders communication dated 30.1.2020, informing that D.E initiated against the applicant has been closed and he is acquitted from the charges of the same.

3. Applicant in person submits that he would make representation within a period of four weeks as far as action regarding persons who had ordered D.E etc. against him. After the receipt of the same, the Respondents are directed to examine his representation and decide the same within a reasonable period.

4. With the above directions, the O.A stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Apprarance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.02.2020

O.A 1224/2020

S.T Kadbane ... Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the reply would be filed within a week. Learned P.O is directed to ensure that reply is filed before next date by way of last chance.

3. S.O to 25.2.2020.

*A*  
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
Member (J)

Akn

*^ ^*  
Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)**  
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.02.2020

O.A 1079/2019

Ms M.S Chougule ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant has filed his leave note. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

3. Adjourned to 18.2.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 34/2020</u></b></p> <p>S.B Salunke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents 1 to 4 and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit on behalf of respondent no. 1 the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadekar, seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 13.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.02.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1181/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.K Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S Dere has filed leave note. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O mentions that the prayer of the applicant has already been conceded.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhelkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>