

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.202/2017  
(Ashok Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that he will affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.765/2018  
(Mahaveer Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 14-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.187/2018  
(Mukesh Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.866/2018  
(Venkat Methe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15-01-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23/2019  
(Namdeo s/o Sukhlal Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P.Gunge learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 13-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

O.A.NO.23/2019

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 13-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.434/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1676/2018**  
**(Smt. Nargis Tajammun Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 22-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.434/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1676/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.435/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1678/2018**  
**(Smt. Syeda Nasree Nayeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 22-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.435/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1678/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.436/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1680/2018**  
**(Smt. Siddiqui Laiqua Anees V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 22-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.436/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1680/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.437/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1682/2018  
(Sk. Abdul Rab s/o. Mohd. Abdul Karim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 22-02-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

M.A.NO.437/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1682/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.07/2019 IN O.A.St.No.2015/2018**  
**(Manchak Samale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.C.Mayure learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate on instructions received from the applicant submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. as well as M.A. as his representation is pending and after decision in that regard is passed and is not favorable to him, he will approach the appropriate forum. Therefore, he has prayed to grant leave to the applicant to withdraw the M.A. and O.A. with liberty to approach appropriate forum if cause of action arises.

3. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant does not want to proceed with the case and wants to withdraw the same, the M.A. as well as O.A. both are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh case, if cause of action arises. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.481/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1850/2018  
(Himmatrao Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Harshal Randhir learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932/2018  
(Walmik Kande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 08-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.49/2018  
(Ravindra Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Harshal Randhir learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to move separate application praying for amendment in O.A. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 30-01-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.381/2018  
(Zuberuddin Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri O.D.Mane learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.801/2018  
(Vikas Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 07-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.808/2018  
(Vivek Pansare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Sachin S. Randive learned Advocate has appeared and filed **Vakalatnama** on behalf of respondent no.4.

2. Shri Sachin S. Randive learned Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 01-02-2019.

4. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.811/2018  
(Madhav Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.M.Ghongade learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G.Chapalgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 18-02-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11-01-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845 OF 2018  
(Shri Maharu B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. She has submitted the presently the applicant in reply of respondent No. 2 is not required.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 21.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 27/2016 in O.A. No. 575/2017  
(Shri Mahadu S. Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 08.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 329/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1994/2017  
(Shri Narayan D. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1, present. Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, **absent**.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 476, 477, 478 & 485 ALL OF 2017  
(Shri Balasaheb M. Ghadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Wakure, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. (**Absent**).

Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in all these O.As.

2. The present matters are pretty old. The applicants are not interested in prosecuting the matters.

3. Hence, all these O.As. are fixed for passing dismissal order on 11.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2017  
(Shri Sharad R. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 14.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2018  
(Shri Vyankat S. More & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 11.01.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 914 OF 2016  
(Shri Ravi Ambadas Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 06.02.2019 for filing additional affidavit of the concerned respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 119/2015 WITH O.A.N. 444/2014  
(Shri Mohanchandra J. Panjol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. Heard both the sides. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the respondents are making contradictory statement vis-a-vis advertisement.

3. The present applicants are Badli-cum-casual sanitary workers. The earlier decisions of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 138/2003 and batch would show that the directions were issued that besides giving them employment as casual and Badli as and when vacancies were available, the concerned respondents were directed to absorb them in the following term :-

*“(ix) All appointments on Regular Temporary Establishment hereafter except in respect of such slots for which no Badllis are available in the basket shall be made from the operative seniority*

*list of the Badllis referred to above in the manner indicated in paragraph 5 of this order.*

4. The advertisement issued by the concerned respondents is for calling tenders to have the sanitary work on contract basis, Annexure 'A-2', page-41.

5. Though respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in their affidavit in reply in paragraph 6 averred that, in fact, posts of ward servant, aaya, etc. are not intended by the said advertisement, these facts are irrelevant as we are dealing with the post of sanitary worker. However, the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 have also averred in the very paragraph that the order of the Tribunal is already implemented and the lists are prepared. We are not aware as to whether any other casual or Badli works are absorbed in terms of the order as quoted above, as no documents are filed in this regard by the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

6. It thus, appears that the reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 is halfhearted reply without advertng to the issue involved in the present OAs. Since the present OAs are very old, the concerned respondents are directed to take into consideration the above fact and file fresh reply along with the documents regarding the documents as quoted in the order above positively on the next date.



**O.A.NO. 119/2015 WITH  
O.A.N. 444/2014**

7. Failing the above order, the Tribunal would be constrained to take such punitive action as may be deemed fit.
8. S.O. to 07.02.2019 for filing additional affidavit on the above line.
9. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 08/2019 IN O.A.NO. 278/2017  
(Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyalaya & Rughnalya Karmachari  
Sanghatana, Aurangabad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and delay of about 11 days caused in filing the present M.A. is condoned, as well as, the O.A. is restored to its original file.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2017  
(Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyalaya & Rughalaya Karmachari  
Sanghatana, Aurangabad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents of final hearing of the O.A., returnable on 06.02.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2018  
(Shri Shivanand T. Taksale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Divya Tyagi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5. None appears for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that some time would be required to file sur-rejoinder. At his request, S.O. to 11.2.2019 for filing sur-rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142 OF 2017  
(Shri Bajirao V. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 5. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 has filed leave note.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, S.O. to 20.02.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 15/2014 IN O.A.NO. 1128/1999**  
**(Shri Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**  
**AND**  
**SHRI ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed copy of the decision dated 10.01.2019 taken by the concerned respondent and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in fact the said decision (document 'X') is not a compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. as the concerned respondents are trying to implement the G.R. of 2017 while the case is based on earlier G.R. dated 5.6.1992. It appears that despite granting several chances to the concerned respondents, they have not taken decision and the present decision is already halfhearted decision as no actual benefits are imparted even on the basis of the G.R. quoted above. In the circumstances, the concerned respondent is directed to send a

responsible officer before this Tribunal on the next date for getting clarification of all the things.

4. Considering the fact that the compliance in the present contempt petition is being delayed, in case no compliance of the above order is made, the Tribunal would be constrained to take such punitive action including issuing warrant, if any against the respondents for committing contempt of the orders of the Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 06.02.2019.

6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.01.2019-hdd

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 628/2015 WITH M.A. 424/2015  
(Shri Vijay W. Chahakar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that none of the party has filed on record copy of relevant rules regarding grant of exemption, upon reaching certain age, to the Forest Guards from attending the training for promotion to the next higher cadre of Foresters. Upon reading of the impugned communication it appears that the rules regarding grant of exemption for completing the training upon attaining certain age are necessary as the issue involved in the present matter is that when Forest Guard does not attend the training, though directed by the senior Officer, whether he would be entitled for



**O.A. NO. 628/2015**  
**WITH M.A. 424/2015**

exemption from completing the same upon reaching certain age.

3. In the circumstances, for filing relevant rules, if any, on record by either of parties, S.O. to 17.1.2019.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34/2017  
(Shri Chandrakant B. Tayade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, the present matter is reserved for orders. Both the sides are at liberty to file written notes of arguments along with relevant case laws and synopsis, if any, on or before 17.1.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513/2017  
(Shri Dinkar R. Bhosrekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that written notes of arguments would be filed in the present matter. They are permitted to do so. For filing on record written notes of arguments by both the sides, S.O. to 17.1.2019 and thereafter necessary order regarding delivery of judgment would be passed.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 110/2017 IN O.A. ST. 233/2017  
(Shri (Dr.) Archana D/o Kartikrao Teltumbde @ Archana w/o  
Anilkumar Wahurwagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1, 2 & 4, Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 and Ms. Divya Tyagi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder would be required from the applicant in the present matter. At his request, S.O. to 23.1.2019 for filing rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758/2015  
(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.1.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**REV. 3/2016 WITH O.A. 63/2016  
(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.B. Bondar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6. None appears for respondent no. 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.1.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-01-2019

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 8/2017 IN O.A. 498/2013  
(Shri Shivaji D. Hawanna V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 11.01.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 16.1.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**