

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 458/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1659/2019  
(Shri Vishal A. Avhad and Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138 OF 2018  
(Shri Tushar B. Chikhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri H.K. Munde, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant (**Absent**).

Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
22.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 36/2019 in O.A. No. 229/2015  
(Dr. Bhaskar S. Borgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit in reply in C.P. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 26.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 37/2019 in O.A. No. 230/2015  
(Dr. Dilip R. Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit in reply in C.P. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 26.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 392 OF 2018  
(Shri Chandrakant R. Kapse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None present for other respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2019  
(Dr. Mahendra A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Chaitanya Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 316 OF 2019  
(Shri Shamlal C. Bhagure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Mahesh Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 445, 446, 447, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456,  
457, 458, 459, 494 113 AND 114 ALL OF 2017 WITH  
M.A. 325/2017 In O.A. St. 941/2017 WITH M.A. No. 36/2019 in  
M.A. St. 82/2019 in O.A. St. 83/2019 & M.A.NO. 144/19 IN  
O.A.ST.400/19**

**(Marathwada Van Va Samjik Vanikaran Rojandari Va Kayam Kamgar  
Karmachari Va Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(The present cases are placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S/Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, S.S. Shinde & V.G. Pingle, learned Advocates for the respective applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing sur-rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.12.2019 for filing sur-rejoinder by the respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 230, 248 & 251 ALL OF 2018  
(Shri Sushilkumar D. Kulkarni and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard S/shri A.S. Deshmukh, Abhay G. Deshmukh and P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocates for respective Applicants in respective O.As. and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 14.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2014  
(Smt. Vidya T. Sakhare and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 11.11.2019 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2015  
(Shri Jagannath H. Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 11.11.2019 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.453/2019**  
**(Jagdish More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.S.Dighe learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Be placed for final hearing.

3. Learned P.O. sought time to produce the original record regarding transfer. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 20-11-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.672/2019**  
**(Mahesh Tipale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding  
for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.739/2019**  
**(Ajit Salkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhishek Deshpande learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 21-11-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.481/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1850/2018**  
**(Himmatrao Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has filed M.A. for condonation of delay of 2000 days caused for filing the O.A. It is contention of the applicant that he retired as Police Inspector (PI) w.e.f. 31-05-2012 when he was working as PI, Nandurbar. It is his contention that after his retirement he has made representation for encashment of leave. It is his contention that encashment of leave of 138 days has been granted on 11-10-2013 but the amount is not disbursed. It is contended by him that a departmental enquiry was pending against him and hence there was delay in sanctioning the pension. The applicant requested the respondents to forward his provisional pension proposal. After persuasion by the

applicant, the respondents sanctioned the provisional pension. Thereafter, the departmental enquiry has been closed and applicant is getting amount of pension.

3. In the year 2015, he has filed O.A.No.74/2015 for getting pensionary benefits including amount of encashment of earned leave. Said O.A. came to be withdrawn by him on 19-12-2019 due to technical problem with liberty to file fresh O.A. Thereafter, the applicant filed the present O.A. alongwith M.A. for condonation of delay. It is his contention that because of the above said facts and reasons, delay has been caused for filing the O.A. The delay is not intentional or deliberate. Hence, he prayed to condone the delay.

4. Respondent no.2 has filed affidavit in reply and resisted the contentions of the applicant. It is his contention that in the O.A. the applicant is seeking direction to the respondent authorities to release amount of encashment of earned leave with interest for the period of 190 days but there is delay of more than 5 years in filing the O.A. Said delay has not been



explained by the by giving plausible explanation. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A.

5. It is his further contention that the earned leave of 138 days was at credit of the applicant at the time of his retirement. Respondents had granted permission to the applicant to encash the leave of 138 days and accordingly the amount has been paid to the applicant. It is his contention that the applicant has suppressed the said fact and filed the present O.A. Delay caused for filing the O.A. is inordinate and no just and sufficient reason is given by the applicant. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the O.A.

6. I have heard Shri Vinod P. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. I have perused the documents placed on record by the parties.

7. Admittedly, the applicant was serving in the Home Department of Government of Maharashtra. He has retired on 31-05-2012 on attaining age of superannuation and at that time he was serving as PI, Nandurbar. Admittedly, after retirement the applicant

received pensionary benefits including the amount of encashment of earned leave of 138 days which was at his credit at the time of retirement.

8. Grievance of the applicant is that leave period at his credit was not calculated correctly by the respondents. Therefore, he approached this Tribunal by filing the O.A. There is delay of more than 5 years and 175 days in filing the O.A.

9. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant retired in the year 2012 but the pensionary benefits were not extended to him. Therefore, he has approached Tribunal by filing the O.A.No.74/2015 but the applicant had withdrawn the said O.A. on 21-10-2016 due to technical problem with liberty to file fresh O.A. Thereafter, the applicant filed the present O.A.

10. The learned Advocate for the applicant has further submitted that the delay has been caused as the applicant was pursuing the matter with the respondent no.2. He has submitted that the applicant received an amount of encashment of 138 days earned

leave, which was sanctioned on 05-10-2013 but the said period has not been calculated correctly and the applicant is still entitled to encash 9 days' earned leave with 190 days interest. Therefore, he has prayed to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A.

11. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant has not approached the Tribunal with clean hands and he has suppressed the fact regarding grant of encashment of 138 days leave and payment received by him in that regard. He has submitted that the said amount has been sanctioned to the applicant in the month of October, 2013. From that date, the applicant ought to have filed O.A. within one year but he has not filed O.A. within time. There is delay of more than 2000 days in filing the present O.A. There is no just and sufficient reason for condoning the delay. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A.

12. On going through the contents in the M.A., it reveals that the applicant has not given sufficient and just reason for condoning the delay of 2000 days which is an inordinate delay. Even if it is assumed

that some time has consumed by the applicant in prosecuting the earlier O.A., applicant ought to have filed the present O.A. immediately after withdrawal of earlier O.A.No.74/2015. Earlier O.A.No.74/2015 has been withdrawn by the applicant on 21-10-2016. Liberty was granted to the applicant to file fresh O.A. but the applicant has not filed the present O.A. within reasonable time. Inordinate delay of 2000 days has been caused for filing the present O.A. The applicant has not explained the said delay by giving sufficient reason.

13. Moreover, the claim of the applicant regarding disbursement of amount of encashment of leave has already been satisfied. The amount of encashment of earned leave of 138 days has already been paid to the applicant in October, 2013. Therefore, nothing remains in the O.A. The delay of 2000 days has not been explained satisfactorily by the applicant by giving plausible explanation. Delay seems to be intentional and deliberate. Therefore, it cannot be condoned. In view of the said facts, M.A. deserves to be dismissed.

=7=

M.A.NO.481/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1850/2018

14. In view of the foregoing paragraphs, M.A. stands dismissed without any order as to costs. Consequently, registration of O.A. is refused.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.84/2018 IN NO.921/2018**  
**(Shriram Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding  
for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer  
for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.85/2018 IN NO.922/2018**  
**(Hanshraj Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding  
for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.86/2018 IN NO.923/2018**  
**(Shivaji Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding  
for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.87/2018 IN NO.924/2018**  
**(Dhananjay Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding  
for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.777/2019**  
**(Smt. Suhasini Seth V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Girish Nagori learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has filed this O.A. claiming following reliefs:

*“(B) By issuing order and order or direction or like nature the respondent no.2 may kindly be directed to grant lawful claims and retirement benefits & giving opportunity to hearing within stipulated period of one month as well to satisfy the applicant over the amount and calculations made by the respondent.*

*(C) The respondent no.2 may kindly be directed to grant appropriate retirement benefits with proper calculation on the interest of the 18% per annum forthwith on the earlier amount and further till final realization of the amount.”*

3. On perusal of the pleadings and documents on record it reveals that the applicant has not made specific claim as regards amount to which she is legally entitled to. She has also not mentioned the amount received by her. She has made vague claim. Therefore, the O.A. based on the vague claim cannot be entertained.

4. Moreover, the applicant is not aggrieved by any order by the respondents. Therefore, the O.A. is not maintainable in view of Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Hence, O.A. deserves to be dismissed.

5. In view of the above, O.A. stands dismissed in limine as it is not maintainable. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532/2017**  
**(Tukaram Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11-11-2019 to submit amended O.A.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.943/2018**  
**(Suresh Bharti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to produce record regarding transfer of the applicant. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 05-11-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.470/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1739/2019**  
**(Ramesh Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and wants to withdraw the same. He has prayed for leave to withdraw the M.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. M.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.ST.NO.1739/2019**  
**(Ramesh Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has prayed for leave of the Tribunal to substitute the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall substitute the O.A. within two weeks.

3. Matter be removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.471/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1740/2019**  
**(Yashwant Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and wants to withdraw the same. He has prayed for leave to withdraw the M.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. M.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.ST.NO.1740/2019**  
**(Yashwant Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has prayed for leave of the Tribunal to substitute the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall substitute the O.A. within two weeks.

3. Matter be removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.476/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1752/2019**  
**(Nasiroddin Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents in the M.A., returnable on 21.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.497/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1727/2019**  
**(Smt. Pramilabai Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought leave of the Tribunal to amend the O.A., particularly, prayer clauses (B) and (C). He has submitted that the applicant wants to delete prayer clauses (B) & (C), therefore, it is necessary to amend the O.A. Accordingly, he has prayed for leave to amend the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Case be removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.498/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1059/2019**  
**(Ramrao Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents in the M.A., returnable on 13.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 13.12.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1761/2019**  
**(Renukadas Dafade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file application for condonation of delay. Two weeks' time is granted.

3. Case be removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO.825/2016, 864/2016, 865/2016, 866/2016 & 867/2016**  
**(Prakash Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Jogdand Patil learned Advocate for the Applicants in all the cases, Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for respondent nos.7 to 9 in O.A.No.825/16 and Shri Satyajit Bora learned Advocate for respondent no.5 in O.A.No.865/2016, 866/2016 & 867/2016.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits the respondent no.6 namely M.A.Matin died during the pendency of the O.As. Therefore, he has prayed for leave to delete name of respondent no.6 in all the cases.

3. In view of the submission of the learned Advocate for the applicant leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall amend the O.A. accordingly.

4. S.O. to 28-11-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.10.2019





**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 491/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1872/2019**  
**(Shri Radhakishan L. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G. Vasmatkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 11.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 912 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Bharat L. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 04.07.2019 issued by respondent No. 1; thereby transferring him from his present post of LDO, Grade-I, Watur, Tal. Partur, District Jalna, to the post of LDO, Grade-I, Patharwala, Tal. Ambad by filing the present Original Application.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant insisted to hear the present Original Application on the point of interim relief.

4. It is contention of the learned Advocate for the applicant that the applicant is not relieved till today from his present place of posting i.e. Watur, Tal. Partur, District Jalna and he is still working there. He has submitted that the applicant has made representation to the respondents for making his transfer as per his choice. Therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the execution and operation of the impugned transfer order dated 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2019.

5. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the proposal in respect of transfer of the applicant at his place of choice is under consideration. He has submitted that the applicant has not yet relieved till today from his present posting.

6. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the parties, the respondents are directed to maintain the status quo as on today, so far as the transfer of the applicant is concerned.

7. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 29.11.2019.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and

**O.A. NO. 912 OF 2019**

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

13. S.O. to 29.11.2019.

14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 908 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Shaikh Nisar Ahmed Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 25.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and

**O.A. NO. 908 OF 2019**

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 25.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 891 OF 2019  
(Shri Ganesh S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.K. Kanade, learned Advocate holding for Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 819 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Kalim Safdar Shiklidar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Mrs. Suchita Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Mrs. Sabahat T. Kazi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the prayer clause of the present Original Application suitably.

3. Leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is hereby granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. suitably.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to amend the O.A. within a period of two weeks.

5. In view of the above, the present O.A. be removed from the board with liberty to the learned Advocate for the applicant to circulate the same as and when occasion arises.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Subhash B. Choudhary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P. Yenegure, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has received provisional pension and pensionary benefits. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary orders may be passed.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the parties and since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A., the same is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Anant G. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondent No. 3 has already filed affidavit in reply. He has further submitted that respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are adopting the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 3.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on his behalf. Time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 subject to payment of costs of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only). Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 shall deposit the amount of costs in the office of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Paraji G. Jorule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, present. Shri R.M. Lone, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367 OF 2019  
(Shri Arvind D. Sulakhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ravindra B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record. The copy of the same could not be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant as he is absent.

3. On instructions, learned Presenting Officer submits that there is no necessity to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 02.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2019  
(Shri Pushkar B. Randhumal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 297 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Balaji M. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deepak S. Manorkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 168 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Nivrutti H. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anand D. Kawre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By passing separate order on 28.8.2019 in M.A. No. 385/2019, the present Original Application has been restored to its original file.

3. Hence, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.11.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal



**O.A. NO. 168 OF 2019**

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 20.11.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 140 OF 2019  
(Shri Hariram B. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents has filed a copy of communication dated 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2019, regarding status of the proposal, received to him from the respondents and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 05.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 98 OF 2019  
(Shri Pandurang M. Chandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.H. Kawale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Shri V.M. Chate, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 **(absent)**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.11.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 926 OF 2018  
(Shri Kishan P. Solunke @ Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives service of admission for the respondents.

3. The present case be placed for final hearing on 28.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 913 OF 2017**  
**(Shri Dilipkumar R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents for admission.

3. The present case be placed for final hearing on 29.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2019  
(Shri Manik T. Takalkar & 8 Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents and the same is taken on record. The copy of the same could not be served on the learned Advocate for the applicant, as he is absent today.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2019  
(Smt. Sadhana U. Borse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri H.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 29.11.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 922 OF 2017  
(Shri Pandurang R. Chinchale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 4 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 337/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1116/2019  
(Shri Gopal M. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.939 OF 2016  
(Shri Rajendra B. Salvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.11.2019 as a last chance.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2017  
(Shri Santosh S. Vetal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.G. Durrani, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.549 OF 2019  
(Shri Salim Babu Tadvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and they are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 2019  
(Shri Pramod S. Jaygaonkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. On instructions, the learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no necessity to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents.

4. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 373/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1652/2018**  
**(Shri Keshav G. Lohar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 418/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1475/2019**  
**(Shri Siddharth Y. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Hazare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 4 and separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5 and they are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2019  
(Dr. Pandharinath S. Gawali & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he is going to deposit the amount of costs of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five thousand only) today in the office of this Tribunal. He further submitted that liberty may be granted to file affidavit in reply on depositing the costs.

3. Liberty as prayed for by the learned Presenting Officer is granted.

4. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 15/2019 IN O.A.NO. 846/2016**  
**(Shri Kishor Atmaram Bagul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mahesh K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the order in question has been complied with and, therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the contempt petition and hence, he prayed to dispose of the same.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents has submitted that necessary orders may be passed.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the parties, the present contempt petition stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.10.2019-HDD