

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.158/2018**  
**(Dr. Yogesh Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to produce the record relevant for deciding the O.A. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 01-04-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.985/2019**  
(Suresh Chate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced record regarding information sought by him under Right to Information Act. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions regarding documents produced by the applicant and also prayed to grant time to file personal affidavit of Shri Dilip Tiparse, Sub Divisional Police Officer, Tuljapur as the applicant has challenged his presence and attendance in the meeting of Police Establishment Bard held on 01-11-2019. Respondents are also directed to file their personal affidavits in that regard. Time is granted to Shri Tiparse & other respondents till next date.

5. S.O. to 26-03-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.996/2019**  
(Sainath Thombre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for respondent no.5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced record regarding information sought by him under Right to Information Act. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions regarding documents produced by the applicant and also prayed to grant time to file personal affidavit of Shri Dilip Tiparse, Sub Divisional Police Officer, Tuljapur as the applicant has challenged his presence and attendance in the meeting of Police Establishment Bard held on 01-11-2019. Respondents are also directed to file their personal affidavits in that regard. Time is granted to Shri Tiparse & other respondents till next date.

5. S.O. to 26-03-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.140/2019**  
(Hariram Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that prayer clauses A, B & C do not survive since the applicant has been reinstated in service by revoking the suspension order. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the said prayer clauses and applicant intends to proceed with prayer clause D only.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that if the Tribunal directs the respondent no.1 to take appropriate decision to extend the consequential service benefits on account of the reinstatement of the applicant by revocation of suspension order in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary V/s. Union of India** reported in [**2015 AIR SC 2389**] and judgment of this Tribunal in **O.A.No.35/2018** in case of **Dilip Jagannath Ambilwade V/s. State of**

=2=

O.A.No.793/2019

**Maharashtra & Anr.** decided on 11-09-2018, the purpose of filing the O.A. will be served and therefore he prayed to dispose of the O.A. with these directions.

4. Learned P.O. has also submitted that reasonable time may be granted to the respondents to decide the matter.

5. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. respondent no.1 is directed to decide the issue regarding grant of consequential benefits to the applicant on revocation of the suspension of the applicant within 2 months from the date of the order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562/2019**  
(Bharat Wani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that prayer clause-A does not survive since the applicant has been reinstated in service by revoking the suspension order. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the said prayer clauses and applicant intends to proceed with prayer clause-B only.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that if the Tribunal directs the respondent no.1 to take appropriate decision to extend the consequential service benefits on account of the reinstatement of the applicant by revocation of suspension order in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary V/s. Union of India** reported in [**2015 AIR SC 2389**] and judgment of this Tribunal in **O.A.No.35/2018** in case of **Dilip Jagannath Ambilwade V/s. State of**

=2=

O.A.No.793/2019

**Maharashtra & Anr.** decided on 11-09-2018, the purpose of filing the O.A. will be served and therefore he prayed to dispose of the O.A. with these directions.

4. Learned P.O. has also submitted that reasonable time may be granted to the respondents to decide the matter.

5. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. respondent no.1 is directed to decide the issue regarding grant of consequential benefits to the applicant on revocation of the suspension of the applicant within 2 months from the date of the order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.793/2019**  
(Chandrakant Desale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that prayer clause-A does not survive since the applicant has been reinstated in service by revoking the suspension order. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the said prayer clauses and applicant intends to proceed with prayer clause B only.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that if the Tribunal directs the respondent no.3 to take appropriate decision to extend the consequential service benefits on account of the reinstatement of the applicant by revocation of suspension order in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Choudhary V/s. Union of India** reported in [**2015 AIR SC 2389**] and judgment of this Tribunal in **O.A.No.35/2018** in case of **Dilip Jagannath Ambilwade V/s. State of**



=2=

O.A.No.793/2019

**Maharashtra & Anr.** decided on 11-09-2018, the purpose of filing the O.A. will be served and therefore he prayed to dispose of the O.A. with these directions.

4. Learned P.O. has also submitted that reasonable time may be granted to the respondents to decide the matter.

5. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. respondent no.3 is directed to decide the issue regarding grant of consequential benefits to the applicant on revocation of the suspension of the applicant within 2 months from the date of the order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**O.A.NO.722/19 WITH CAVEAT NO.27/19 & 63/19**  
(Gajanan Bansode & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, Shri Ashish Rajkar and Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocates for the Caveators in respective caveats.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to carry out the amendment as directed by the Hon'ble High Court in group of Writ Petition No.15045/2019 & Ors. decided on 06-03-2020. Time is granted till tomorrow.

3. S.O. to 12-03-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.73/2020**  
**(Rohit Kandharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.N.Gadegaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Applicant remained absent on previous dates also. It seems that the applicant is no interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.134/2020**  
(Madhukar Diwanji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.04.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2= O.A.No.134/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.04.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.136/2020**  
**(Rameshwar Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Chief learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.04.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2= O.A.No.136/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 28.04.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.143/2020**  
(Yusuf Fatte Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(Case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V.Thombre learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.04.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



=2= O.A.No.143/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 28.04.2020.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A.NO.124/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1130/2019**  
(Deepak Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.A.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Case be placed for passing appropriate order on the next date.

3. S.O. to 31-03-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A.NO.129/2020 IN O.A.NO.818/2019**  
(Sonalı Pansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In the M.A. applicant has prayed for issuing directions to the respondent MPSC to give details of the addresses of the respondents. It is duty of the applicant to furnish the addresses of the respondents. Therefore, the relief sought by the applicant in the M.A. cannot be considered and granted. Hence, M.A. stands rejected.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.818/2019**  
**(Sonali Pansare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate holding  
for Shri S.S.Thombre learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to  
furnish correct addresses of the respondent nos.3 to  
47. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 26-03-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A.NO.104/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.2118/2019**  
(Bhaurao Ghane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.T.Kanwade learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Applicant and his Advocate remained absent on previous dates also. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the M.A. stands dismissed in default. Registration of O.A. also stands refused.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**C.P.NO.07/2020 IN O.A.NO.772/2018**  
(Anil Barkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kishor D. Khade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate on instructions received from the applicant states that the applicant does not want to prosecute the Contempt Petition. Therefore, he prays for leave to withdraw the Contempt Petition.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. Contempt Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.820/2018**  
**(Rohit Mhaske & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced copy of seniority list as on 01-01-2018 along with letter dated 06-03-2020. It is taken on record and marked as document "X" for identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in view of the publication of the said seniority list grievance of the applicant is redressed subject to some minor corrections in the seniority list regarding educational qualification of the applicant no.1. Therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

4. Learned P.O. has also prayed to dispose of the O.A. in view of above.

O.A.No.820/18

5. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O., O.A. stands disposed of as grievance of the applicants has been redressed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.512/2019**  
(Vinod Dandge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed copy of decision of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No.11577/2019 decided on 14-11-2019. It is taken on record.

3. Case be placed for final hearing on the next date.

4. S.O. to 08-04-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.617/2019**  
(Rohit Mhaske & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**  
(Case is placed before Single Bench due to  
non-availability of the Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-04-2020 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1062 OF 2019**  
(Shri Bhau M. Khade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A. No. 448/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1571/2019**  
(Smt. Janabai B. Gadade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 21.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1096 OF 2019**  
(Shri Vikas D. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 20.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2019**  
(Shri Ramesh P. Barhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. S.A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent.**

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**O.A. Nos. 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 837, 838 & 839 all of 2019**  
(Shri Yogesh B. Sonawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, M.P. Gude, D.R. Patil, B.S. Deokar, I.S. Thorat, Smt. M.S. Patni, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate & Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. Learned Presenting Officers seek time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in all these O.As. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 915 OF 2019**  
(Smt. Roshni S. Zatale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2020**  
(Smt. Arundhati A. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. None present for the applicant. On last occasion also none present for the applicant. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the O.A.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 18.03.2020 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2020**  
(Shri Shaikh Akhatar Jnimiya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instruction states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, she seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary order.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh O.A. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**M.A. No. 131/2020 in O.A. St. No. 375/2020**  
(Smt. Aruna S. Lahurikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.03.2020 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 285 OF 2020**  
(Shri Gorakhnath J. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By the order dated 11.02.2019, the applicant was granted first benefit under A.C.P.S. from the year 2008. The applicant has made representation against the said order and the respondents rejected the representation of the applicant by the impugned order dated 25.11.2019. From this date the present O.A. seems to be within limitation. Hence, the objection regarding limitation is overruled. The Registry is directed to register the O.A.

3. After registration, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.04.2020.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

//2// O.A. St. 285/2020

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 22.04.2020.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 836 OF 2019**  
**(Smt. Sajidbhanu G. Shahpure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. The respondents have already filed sur-rejoinder affidavit to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant on 26.02.2020 after adjourning the matter.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O., as a last chance, S.O. to 17.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 871 OF 2019**  
(Shri Suresh S. Shetkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondents do not want to file sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.

3. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 20.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1092 OF 2019**  
(Shri Dhanaji R. Marakwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul Garule, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of sole respondent. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 13.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1093 OF 2019**  
(Shri Bhanudas B. Putwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Absent**).

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in  
reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on  
record. She has submitted that presently the affidavit  
in reply of respondent No. 2 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A. No. 604/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2148/2019**  
(Shri Venkat S. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A. St. 2339/19 in M.A. 62/19 in O.A. St. 257/19**  
(Shri Babasaheb N. Rakte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.03.2020 for passing necessary order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2020**  
(Shri Bansi G. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of the order dated 06.03.2020. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2016**  
(Shri Rambhau T. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 4 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.04.2020 for filing amended O.A.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2017**  
(Shri Shankar D. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.04.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2018**  
(Smt. Nirmala S. Bhandari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he could not able to contact with the applicant and therefore, he seeks time to intimate the applicant by issuing notice in writing to the applicant as to whether she intends to proceed with the O.A. or not.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 26.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB/ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582/2017**  
**(Sanjay B. Kokate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 22.4.2020 for reporting compliance on the line of order dtd. 19.9.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567/2018**  
**(Shubhangi M. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608/2018**  
**(Narendra S. Suryawanshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonawane, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 22.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 01/2019**  
**(Laxman V. Bharde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wash, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 1.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 13/2019**  
**(Tufansing L. Shele Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 24.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120/2019**  
**(Yogesh S. Shingnare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 249/2019  
(Ashok R. Khandagale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandeep R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262/2019**  
**(Vijay U. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonawane, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri V.M. Chate, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5 (**absent**).

2. Shri D.G. Naode, learned Advocate has filed his note of appearance on behalf of respondent no. 4 and seeks time to file his V.P. and affidavit in reply.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for respondent no. 5 and at the request of learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, S.O. to 22.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375/2019**  
**(Vijaykumar G. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sushant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 935/2019  
(Toliram P. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 936/2019**  
**(Toliram P. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 937/2019  
(Toliram P. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1057/2019**  
**(Jivan B. Thosar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1075/2019**  
**(Rohini s/o Ravaji Mugale Alias Sau. Rohini w/o Mangesh Bhosale Vs.**  
**State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36/2020**  
**(Dr. Pratap M. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.K. Kadam, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 **(absent)**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 1.4.2020 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 & 2.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A. 456/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1919/2018**  
**(Lakshman K. Nirmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 **(absent)**.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, S.O. to 22.4.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**M.A. 222/2019 IN O.A. ST. 851/2019**  
**(Namdeo M. Bawiskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.G. Lathe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.4.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, in the present M.A.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303/2014**  
**(Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 30.4.2020 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 304/2014**  
**(Popat K. Bachkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 11.03.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.C. Syed, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since the learned Advocate for the applicant is absent and since the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 30.4.2020 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11.03.2020