

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 34/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Salunke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicant ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A, the applicant seeks relief that he be recommended by Respondent no. 1, MPSC for the post of PSI and he has contended that especially in the place of Respondent no. 5, Shri.Lingappa Nivruti Sargar, as Respondent no. 5 is not eligible and erroneously is sent for training. 3. Today the communication dated 19.3.2020 is placed on record wherein MPSC has informed that in the place of Respondent no. 5, the name of the applicant is recommended. 4. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar for Respondent no. 5 submits that this communication is not received by the Respondent no. 5 till today. He submits that there are other vacancies so that the name of Respondent no. 5 should not have been cancelled while recommending the name of applicant. In support of his submission, he relied on the order dated 13.2.2019 passed by the Tribunal in O.A 933/2018, wherein the Tribunal while passing order in favour of the applicant in the said O.A has ordered as under:- <p style="text-align: center;">“With respect to Respondent no. 1, is directed to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process by ignoring the proclamation (copy whereon is at Exhibit-D, page 27 of the Paper Book of O.A) subject to applicant following all other conditions as to eligibility.”</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Learned P.O points out the short affidavit of Shri Pradeep Kumar for Respondent no. 1 dated 11.2.2020, and submits that O.A 933/2018 was filed initially by Shri Harsha Bhaleero and Shri Lingappa Sargar. Thereafter name of Shri Lingappa Sargar was deleted as per the submissions made by his Counsel, Shri S.S Dere. However, Respondent no. 1, erroneously without taking note of the deletion considered that the said order dated 13.2.2019 is also applicable to Respondent no. 5 along with the then applicant Shri 	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Harshal Bhalerao. Subsequently, this Tribunal in present O.A by order dated 16.1.2020 has directed Respondent no. 1 to clarify the position and especially the direction "as per Courts order".</p> <p>6. Pursuant to that, the affidavit of Shri Pradeep Kumar, Secretary, M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 1 was filed and thus as per the submission of learned P.O, the MPSC has corrected its error of treating the order in O.A 933/2018, qua Respondent no. 5; as in fact it is not applicable to Respondent no. 5.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar submits that the said deletion was communicated erroneously by learned counsel Shri Dere, the then Counsel for (the applicant) the Respondent no. 5 to the Court and submits that today applicant is going to file the M.A that he had never instructed to delete his name to the learned Counsel Shri S.S Dere.</p> <p>8. It is a serious matter because Shri Dere, learned advocate, who is present in the Court has made oral submissions that in fact he was instructed by Shri Lingappa Sargar, applicant himself and he has acted upon the instructions. Shri Dere is directed to file his affidavit as well as affidavit of Shri Bhalerao to that effect.</p> <p>9. S.O to 27.8.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 304/2020

Shri S.S Medsikar ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Funam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant prays that directions to be issued against the Respondents to consider applicant for promotion to the post of Deputy Regional Transport Officer. Learned Counsel for the applicant points out that in the seniority list dated 28.10.2017, name of the applicant is shown at serial no. 43, however, the two Officers, whose names are appearing at serial nos 46 & 47 are promoted to the post of Deputy Regional Transport Officer by orders dated 29.5.2020 and 22.6.2020 respectively.

3. Issue notice returnable on 6.8.2020.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned P.O seeks four weeks' time to file reply and waives service of notice.

9. S.O to 3.9.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

Date : 11.08.2020

M.A.No.190 of 2020 in O.A.No.594 of 2018

G.B. Lokhande ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A.No.190/2020 is filed to bring legal heirs of the deceased applicant on record. O.A. has been filed by Shri Gopinath B. Lokhande who ^{died} deceased on 22.03.2020. The Applicants are his legal representatives and requested to substitute in place of deceased ~~as~~ Applicants in O.A. O.A. pertains to Pay and Allowances of period on which Applicant was not on duty.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has no objection for substitution of heirs in place of deceased Applicant.

4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Names of Applicants be substituted in place of deceased Applicant in O.A.No.594/2018. Necessary amendment be carried out within one week and matter be kept for final hearing.

5. S.O. to 25.08.2020.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.157 of 2020 with O.A.No.161 of 2020

D.Y. Kamble & Ors. (O.A.157/2020)

A.A. Zajam & Ors. (O.A.161/2020)

...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned P.O. submits that provisional pension has been approved and the same will be disbursed. Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Dattatraya D. Kulkarni, General Manager, Greater Mumbai Milk Scheme, Mumbai, submits that Respondents will ensure that provisional pension is granted till the decision of final pension is taken. Thus, grievance of non grant of provisional pension seems to have been redressed.

3. Now what remains is the issue of final pension. Learned P.O. submits that matter is under consideration about grant of final pension as they are certain issues involved in the matter.

4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is lastly granted for filing reply to O.A., so that O.A. can be disposed of expeditiously on merit.

5. S.O. to 25.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

UATION SHEET No.**Tribunal's orders**

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.240 of 2020

A.G. Bhosale

...Applicant


Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the applicant to file rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 08.09.2020.

Sd/-


(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

01 20
CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.719 of 2019

A.R. Amane ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Applicant and learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.
2. Adjourned for hearing to give one more opportunity to the Applicant.
3. S.O. to 03.09.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 11.08.2020

M.A.No.189 of 2020 in D.A.No.1007 of 2018

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Applicant (Org. Respd.)

Versus

H.V. Sapale

...Respondents (Org. Appl)

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Applicant (being Original Respondents) and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents (bring Original Applicant).
2. The present M.A. is filed for extension of time for compliance of Clause (D) or order dated 16.12.2019 passed in O.A.NO.1007 OF 2018, whereby directions were given for completion of enquiry within three months and for passing final order within a month thereafter.
3. Learned C.P.O. submits that due to COVID-19 pandemic situation and lockdown final order could not be passed in D.E. and requested for extension of time. Whereas, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that M.A. itself has become infructuous as extension of six months sought in M.A. is also over.
4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Forest Department, Mantralaya to explain the status of D.E. within a week.
5. S.O. to 18.08.2020. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

INUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.264 of 2020

S.B. Vasave

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents


1. Applicant and learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 27.08.2020.

Sd/-



(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.18 of 2020

S.A. Salunkhe ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is already filed in the office. Perusal of O.A. reveals that reply is not placed on record of O.A. Office is directed to search reply and place it in O.A.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2020.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

ATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2020

O.A.No.14 of 2020

M.S. Kangne ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder and requested to keep the matter for Final Hearing.
3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 25.08.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 829/2019

Shri A.B Ghadge & Others ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 15.10.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 277 /2020

Dr S.B Chavan ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant files affidavit of service.

3. Learned C.P.O states that she has not received any instructions and prays for time.

4. By way of last chance, S.O to 10.9.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

INUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 453/2019

Shri S.T Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply.

3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.10.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vic :-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

C.A 24/2020 in O.A 60/2020

Shri N.P Bhoyar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 30.6.2020 are complied with and he has joined the duties at Pune on 5.8.2020 hence applicant wants to withdraw the C.A.

3. C.A stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 610/2019

Shri P.R Borse ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 13.10.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

NUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020**O.A 740/2019**

Shri R.S Jogi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.10.2020

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 626/2017

Shri S.B. Wategaonkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 13.10.2020.

Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Tribunal's orders

11.08.2020

O.A 219/2020

Shri R.A Nagare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for the applicant files affidavit of service and also copy of two G.Rs.
3. S.O 27.8.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p><u>C.A 20/2020 in O.A 238/2020</u></p> <p>Shri N.K More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a Contempt Petition filed by the applicant for not complying with the order dated 11.6.2020 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that in view of the order passed by this Court dated 11.6.2020 the Review Committee was formed and Review Committee has rejected the case of the applicant. The said report dated 26.6.2020 is hereby presented. Learned P.O submits that delay of seven days may kindly be condoned in complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 11.6.2020 as the decision was taken in three weeks instead of two weeks.</p> <p>4. As there is a delay of only one week, oral apology submitted by learned P.O is accepted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 595 & 597/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Andhare Shri R.K Jadhav ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicants, place for final hearing on 25.8.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11.08.2020</p> <p>O.A 554/2019</p> <p>Ms Nilima J. Gupta ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to produce the copy of letters dated 1.6.2019 and 3.6.2019 of Head of the Department of Bio-Chemistry.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.8.2020. Steno copy granted.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 323/2020</u></p> <p>Shri N.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri Shreyas Hatorate, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 files reply along with annexures. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.8.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 336/2020</u></p> <p>Shri M.L Swami ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant challenges order dated 23.6.2020. He is suspended since 15.6.2020.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 10.9.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O waives service of notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 10.9.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 325/2020</u></p> <p>V.D Sulochane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. The applicant challenged the order of suspension dated 26.7.2018 mainly on the ground that he being the Assistant Commissioner, (Drugs); the Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration is not the competent authority, but the Government.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.9.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 337/2020</u></p> <p>S.B Bahir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the order dated 1.7.2020 passed by the Respondent rejecting his request of inter district transfer, i.e. from Mumbai to Beed. The applicant's wife is also in the Police Department at Beed.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.8.2020;</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 300/2020 Cavet no. 11/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Lande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri R.S Apte, learned Senior Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C. P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Senior Counsel along with C.P.O submits that out of three Respondents, Respondents 1 & 3 files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 2 to file reply on the next date. If reply is not filed, then it will be considered that Respondent no. 2 is not interested to file reply and matter will proceed without reply of Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned to 20.8.2020 at 3.00 pm for final hearing. Whatever orders passed by the Respondents in respect of transfer of any other person in place of the applicant, will be subject to the outcome of the Original Application.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 306 & 307/2020</u></p> <p>A.B Gurav & Others P.N Adsul & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The authority is directed to inform when the applicants are going to be relieved.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned 18.8.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.08.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 297/2020 with Cavet 12/2020</u></p> <p>M.N Chaudhary ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 20.8.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2020</p> <p>O.A. No. 308 of 2020 with M.A. No.173 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. AgawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This case pertains to payment of the Salary, Encashment Leave, D.C.P.S., and Pay and allowances amount as the Applicant has resigned from the service on 28.02.2014. However, he has filed this Application in July 2020 along with the Application for condonation of delay which is claim as 01 year and 10 months. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.09.2020 . 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. S.O. to 24.09.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2020</p> <p>C. A. No. 302 of 2020</p> <p>B.M. ShivdasaniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. No reply is filed till date.3. It is a matter of recovery from the Provident Fund (P.F.) and Gratuity amount. Hence, the earlier order that the Respondent should not accede for recovery is extended for four weeks.4. This is the last chance to file the Reply.5. S.O. to 08.09.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 349 of 2020</p> <p>V.A. LalbigeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The father of the Applicant is compulsory retired on 23.04.2008. So the Applicant has moved Application through his father on 06.03.2008 thereafter on 04.04.2015 and by him on 05.11.2015 for his appointment on compassionate ground. It is submitted that though the Respondent No.1 directed Respondent No.2 and 3 to take decision, no decision is taken yet. 3. Learned P.O. is directed to submit the reply whether Respondent has communicated its decision on representation made by the Applicant. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.09.2020. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 22.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- 11/9 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>