

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) (Vice)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Advocate for the Applicant: Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Respondent: Shri K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s: _____</p> <p>In view of paucity of time adjourned to 21/6/17.</p> <p>prk</p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.308 of 2015 with O.A.No.332 of 2015 With O.A.No.309 of 2015 with O.A.No.937 of 2015</p> <p>A.Y. Yadav (O.A.No.308/2015) S.D. Londhe (O.A.No.332/2015) A.S. Galande &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.309/2015) N.T. Kamble (O.A.No.937/2015)</p> <p>...Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of paucity of time adjourned to 21.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**Original Application No. 69 of 2017**

**DISTRICT :**

**D.N. Chinchani**

**...Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.**  
**Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.**

**DATE** : **11.04.2017**

**PER** : **Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri A.J. Chougule has tendered affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has taken us through the text of the charge sheet. It is alleged against the Applicant that he has acted in negligent manner while dealing with the matter of processing matters of Transfer of subordinate staff. The enquiry is initiated and kept pending for 9 years.



4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that this Tribunal was required to pass order directing the Chief Secretary to issue a circular requiring officer of Senior level i.e. Secretary of various departments to read the provision of law before processing the transfer because it was noticed by this Tribunal in numerous cases that senior officers were found negligent in basic duty to advert to the provision of Transfer Act and repeated challenge containing similar grievance was coming up. In view of the order passed by this Tribunal instructions were issued by the Additional Chief Secretary by circular dated 11.2.2015.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant argues that:-

- (a) In the aforesaid premises, It is shocking that a Junior Officer of the rank of Naib Tahasildar is being charge sheeted for conduct which is seen a lapse common at the hands of officers at very higher level.
- (b) A serious view of callous altitude may be taken, at this stage too, in so far as the merit of claim for quashing is concerned.

6. We have perused the circular dated 11.02.2015 issued by Additional Chief Secretary, G.A.D. We have perused the crucial averment contained in O.A. It is seen that para no.7.2, reads as follows:-

“7.2 The Petitioner submits that considering the inordinate delay in initiation, institution and continuation of the departmental enquiry, renders the departmental charge memo vulnerable. In view, unexplained and inordinate delay, the fundamental rights conferred upon the Petitioner under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is breached, warranting judicial intervention at the hands of this Hon’ble Tribunal.”

(quoted from para no.7.2 page no.6 of the O.A.)

7. This crucial averment i.e. para 7.2 of O.A. is replied by averment contained in para no.19 of reply, which reads as follows:-

“19. With reference to ground 7.2, I say and submit that though there is unexpected delay due to unexpected reasons in completion of departmental enquiry the necessary steps are taken to complete the departmental enquiry.”

(quoted from para no.19, page no.97 of the reply)

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to who is the author of affidavit and who has affirmed the affidavit.

9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that Shri S.D. Shinde, Under Secretary, Revenue and Forests Department has affirmed the affidavit.

10. Learned P.O. for the Respondents after taking instructions states as follows:-

The affidavit is drafted by Shri K.B. Kenga, who is Joint Secretary and also Law Officer.

11. It is shocking that an officer of the rank of Joint Secretary has drafted affidavit with grave degree of irresponsibleness and even in an utmost erroneous manner. Even a person without legal background would have answered crucial averment with due diligence and by incorporating suitable reply. However this diligence and sincerity is prima-facie totally lacking.

12. In these premises, it is hoped that a wiser counsel and wisdom would bestow upon the Respondent and attitude of contesting the O.A. without application of mind should be amended and corrective measure be taken.

13. Respondent is put to notice that, if it is seen that the Respondent did not act wisely and choose to continue to contest the O.A. and if eventually, the O.A. is heard and Applicant succeeds, in that event, the Respondent shall be

required to pay exemplary cost to be paid to the said Applicant which could be recovered by responsible officer later on.

14. For awaiting response of the Respondent, hearing is adjourned to 26.4.2017.

15. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

16. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

17. S.O. to 26.04.2017.

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To S.O. to 25/4/17 Hamdast.</p>	<p><b><u>O.A. No.84 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.B. Wagh</b> ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri K.K. Deokate, Sub Divisional Engineer, Khadakwasla Irrigation Division, Sinchan Bhavan, Indapur informs that all the papers pertaining to Applicant's pension matter have been forwarded to the A.G. and according to her instructions within two weeks the matter will be cleared. This is a pension related matter, I make it clear that on the next date regard less of whether the reply is filed or not filed, the formal order of admitting the O.A. will be made and O.A. will be taken up for hearing forthwith. In the meanwhile, if the matter can be worked out so much the better.</p> <p>S.O. to 25.04.2017. Hamdast.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 11.4.17 Member (J) 11.04.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  <del>Office Chairman</del>  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Smt. <u>None for the applicant</u>  <del>Advocate for the Applicant</del>  Shri/Smt. <u>A.T. Chougule</u>  <del>CEO/P.O. for the Respondents</del></p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/4/17</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No 118 of 2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri M. K. Rathod ... Applicant</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>None for the Applicant at the moment.  Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. informs that the Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. On this statement, the Original Application is now adjourned for Rejoinder, if any, to 25.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>nik</u>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  11.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">11-4-17</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJYAGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan  Advocates for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  O.A. is admitted.  Adj. To: S.O. to 25/4/17.  Reply filed by R. No. 5.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH @led</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.162 of 2017</u></p> <p><b>Shri S.A. Patil</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record. Smt Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 25.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  11.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1.17</p> <p>(vsm)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 11/4/17</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <del>Shri R.B. Mallick</del> Hon'ble Shri R.B. Mallick (Member) J.</p> <p><b>ATTORNEYS:</b> <del>Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar</del> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A. Patil</u> for C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> for Respondent No. 2 to 5. Adj. To Reply filed by R. No. 1. S.O. to 25/4/17. for Reply &amp; Rejoinder.</p> <p>(vsm)</p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A. No.32 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.A. Chabke</b> ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.2 to 5.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.1 is taken on record. Shri T.O. Chavan, Deputy C.E.O. for Respondent No.1 is also present. If the Affidavit-in-Reply of the State is to be filed, it must be filed on the next date and not thereafter.</p> <p>The Original Application is adjourned for State's Reply and Rejoinder of the Applicant to the Reply of the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>S.O. to 25.04.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 11.04.2017</p> <p align="right">11-4-17</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 19/7/2017.</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.28 of 2017 in O.A. No.86 of 2014</u></p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari Sanghatana, MS &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO, on instructions from Shri G.A. Lokhande, Joint Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, states that the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.86 of 2014 will be implemented subject to outcome of the writ petition carried by the State before the Hon'ble High Court and entire compliance would be reported within one week.</p> <p>3. For reporting compliance adjourned to 19.7.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>11/4/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>12/4/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.897 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.P. Idekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is present and prays for time to amend.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.4.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017 (sg)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
C.A. No.131 of 2015 in O.A. No.907 of 2012

Shri P.A. Vanjeri ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate  
for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Rajendra  
Chavan, Regional Dy. Director, Revenue & Forest  
Department states that:

- (a) Cheque towards payment of gratuity is kept  
ready and would be issued soon the credit  
is received in the account of Assistant  
Regional Director.
- (b) It is expected that the cheque would be  
issued tomorrow.
- (c) In so far as payment of arrears of pension  
sanctioned and actual payment is  
concerned, it is expected to be disbursed  
within ten days time for which necessary  
follow up would be taken.

3. S.O. to 27.4.2017 for reporting total compliance.

DATE: 11/4/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 27/4/2017

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
11.4.2017

(sgj)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)  
Chairman  
11.4.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of-Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <del>M. Rameshkumar</del> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 13/4/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.97 of 2016 in O.A. No.469 of 2012</u></p> <p>Dr. S.M. Mohrut &amp; Ors. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 13.4.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.24 of 2017 in O.A. No.778 of 2015

Ms. Manisha H. Kothalkar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that matter is processed for challenging the order passed in OA No.778/2015.

3. The order passed in OA is dated 22.8.2016 and the notice informing that contempt would be filed is dated 22.10.2016. Time fixed for complying with the order was four weeks. The delay caused in complying or even to challenge is callous. Even applicant's notice has not been replied. This deficiency fructifies the behaviour of the applicant to <sup>be</sup> that of contempt. Barely asking time without explaining the delay does not in any manner absolve the respondents from being liable for action for contempt.

4. Ld. PO was called to state whether any instructions are received from the respondents such as para wise remarks or any letter from the contemnor. At this stage Ld. PO prays for time till 13.4.2017.

5. Hence, adjourned to 13.4.2017 for further orders.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman

11.4.2017

(sg)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

11.4.2017

DATE: 11/4/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 13/4/2017.

BTE

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.04.2017

O.A.No.1196 of 2016

R.V. Sonkamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view that the pleadings are completed, ordinarily we would have admitted the O.A.

3. However as has emerged that, after the judgment of acquittal rendered by Sessions court, the Applicant has moved the representation before the Government which is dated 28.04.2016 and it is not clear as to whether the said representation is decided by the Government.

4. Para no.17 of the reply which is at page no.50 containing following statement:-

"17. With reference to para 6, I say that, considering pending representation dated 28.4.2016, the Applicant is not entitled to any of the reliefs prayed for in the Original Application.

(quoted from page no.50 of the reply)

5. In the aforesaid background, it is necessary for the Government to come up with reply as to whether Government would take decision on the representation, and the time frame thereto. Hence, we adjourned the hearing for enabling the learned P.O. to take instructions as to time frame within which the representation dated 28.4.2016 Exh. 'E' page no.40 would be decided.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 19.04.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J) (M)  
Chairman

sba

DATE: 11/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajesh Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. U.V. Sherkhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 19/4/17. Steno copy  
and Hamdast is allowed  
to Ld. P.O.

12/4/17  
OK

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 13/4/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.74 of 2016 in O.A.No.153 of 2012</p> <p>R.B. Ekatpure ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for week's time for reporting compliance as to payment of Applicant's pension.</p> <p>3. It is expected that day to day follow up should be done and compliance be reported.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJYAGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/... <u>Ulhas Shinde</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/... <u>Archana B.K.</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>no. 1</u>  <u>S.S. Mundhe for R.No.</u>  <u>2 to 4.</u>  Adj. To <u>None for R.No. 5.</u>  <u>S.O. to 8/6/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>@/</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.118 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri P.A. Shinde ... Applicant</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri Ulhas Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1 and Shri S.S. Mundhe, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4. None for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>The Applicant moves the Misc. Applications for amendment. Copies have been furnished to the learned P.O. and to the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4.</p> <p>Adjourned for Affidavit-in-Reply thereto.  The MA be get registered and number <u>50</u> S.O. to 08.06.2017. <span style="float: right;"><u>GN</u></span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>R.B. Malik</u>  Member (J) 11-4-17  11.04.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Smt. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Smt/Smt. Punam Mahajan  Advocate for the Applicant  Smt/Smt. Archana B.K.  C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. 25/4/17.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.253 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri D.S. Shinde ... Applicant</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Magare K.R., Clerk, DIG, Prison, Pune. The Respondents must file their Affidavit-in-Reply on the next date and in any case they should bring all the entire original record for perusal.</p> <p>Finally adjourned for reply and for compliance to 25.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  11.04.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**O.A. No.1010 of 2016**

**Smt. M.V. Deshmukh ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

**SPEAKING TO MINUTES**

This disposed of Original Application is placed before me for speaking to minutes.

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

In Paragraph No.5 of the said judgment dated 06.04.2017, the words, "It appears that the said Contempt Application is still pending." be deleted and be substituted by the words, "The said Contempt Application came to be disposed of on 06.03.2017."

The appropriate corrected copy of the judgment be placed on record and the copies be furnished to the parties, if they have already taken up. *Send the copies without any extra cost. Corrected*

**DATE:** 11/4/17

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member) J

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

*order passed in the*

*Adj. To Tribunal's*

*codebook.*

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

*[Signature]*

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
11.04.2017

11-4-17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <del>M. Ramakumar</del> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>Time for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>13/4/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1001 of 2016</p> <p>V.B. Kulkarni ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri V.B. Kulkarni, the Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>3. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time on the ground that draft revised para-wise remarks are still unsatisfactory.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 13.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1075 of 2016</p> <p>Y.G. Birajdar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 12.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(Rajiv Agarwal)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi)</u> Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>27/4/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.846 of 2015</p> <p>A.A. Magdum ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Further time is necessary for filing affidavit-in-reply furtherance / in compliance with the order dated 09.01.2017.</p> <p>(b) Two week's time is required for the same.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>19/4/17</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1087 of 2014</p> <p>S.S. Nalavade ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/7/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.96 of 2014</p> <p>S.A. Chaudhari &amp; Ors. ...Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of paucity of time adjourned to 10.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Aggarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>16/4/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>RJ</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.30 of 2014 in O.A.No.299 of 2013</p> <p>A.A. Kadam .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>The Writ Petition is filed for challenging the order passed in O.A.No.299 of 2013 and it is expected to come up for hearing on 1.08.2017.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, Contempt Application is adjourned to come up for hearing on 16.04.2018.</p> <p>4. Applicant shall have liberty to move for seeking advancement of date, if occasion arises.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.04.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>A.H. Joshi</u> Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/4/2017.</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.105 of 2017</p> <p>S.Y. Patil ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The hearing was adjourned with following observations contained in order dated 15.2.2017 reads as follows:-</p> <p>"4. Prima facie Applicant's submission does not appear to be well founded, since Applicant does not belong to the cadre and sanctioned establishment.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for four weeks time to examine/ study and collect the documents and thereafter take suitable steps." (quoted from order dated 15.2.2017)</p> <p>3. None appears for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of the absence of the Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 12.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Attm. for the app.</u> Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./F.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sgj</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.38 of 2015 in O.A. No.909 of 2013</u></p> <p>Shri R.K. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.4.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ) Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman 11.4.2017 11.4.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Prabhakar Ranshur holding</u> <u>A.S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>5/6/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.82 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ms. D.K. Khairanar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Prabhakar Ranshur, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that instructions are received and two week's time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted, as prayed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017 (sgi)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member A)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>4/5/17</u></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.25 of 2015 in O.A. No.558 of 2013</u></p> <p>Shri S.T. Marakwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 4.5.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Mahadji Phalla</u> Id. Adv. holding for <u>Adv. A.A. Desai</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> with C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> P.O. for RAB Adj. To ..... <u>Home As appl. Co.A. 97/16</u> <u>Adj to 19/4/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>RJT</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 11.04.2017.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1091 of 2015 with O.A.No.97 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K.D. Lohite (O.A.No.1091/2015)</b> <b>Dr. F.A.F. Shaikh &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.97/2016)</b> <b>...Applicants.</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mahadji Phalla, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1091 of 2015 and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.S. Damre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.97 of 2016 is absent.</p> <p>3. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that she has the following instructions :-</p> <p>The file is processed for appointment of Special Counsel and it is hoped that the appointment of the Special Counsel may be materialized within a week's time.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, Adjourned to 19.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Rajiv Agarwal)</b> Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.H. Joshi J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Kumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To. <u>22/6/17</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.92 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. M.S. Bhadke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. states that the instructions are received and para-wise remarks which are received need to be revised and improvement and hence time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three weeks time.</p> <p>4. Though three weeks time is prayed, we granted longer time in order that no further adjournments would be necessary or granted.</p> <p>5. Hence, adjourned to 22.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s : <u>1.</u> <u>Adv. K.R. Jagdale for R.2.</u> Adj. To : <u>3/7/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 11.04.2017.</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.325 of 2016 in O.A.No.853 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>D.G. Nanavare</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Shri V.D. Raut, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 has tendered the reply to the O.A. affirmed by Shri Prakash Kashinath Mahajan, Joint Secretary (Forests), office of Revenue and Forests Department (Respondent No.1). It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view that none appeared for the Applicant, adjourned to 03.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.04.2017

O.A.No.1123 of 2016

R.S. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Ketan Dabake, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. S. Suryawanshi prays for four weeks time for filing reply.

4. Time as prayed for is granted by way of last chance.

5. S.O. to 7.06.2017.

DATE: 11/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Raneshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ketan Dabake, Adv.

holding for adv S. Talekar  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 7/6/17

*Handwritten signature/initials*

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

sba

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Sd/- M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sd/- N.G. Gohad P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 &amp; 2 Adv. S.P. Manchekar for R. 3. Adj. To..... RA is disposed of</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.642 of 2014 (O.A.No.328 of 2014 Nagpur Bench) (Subject : Seniority list)</p> <p>P.G. Ganwir ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. In the midst of hearing, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that applicant has retired upon superannuation and hence reliefs prayed in the O.A. have outlived the purpose.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, O.A. is disposed as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi S.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To 19/4/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 11.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.18 of 2017 in O.A.No.165 of 2016</p> <p>R.S. Patil ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Contemnor No.1, Smt. Ashwini Joshi, District Collector, Mumbai, office at Old Custom House, Mumbai is present and prays for time to file reply and, if necessary, file proper apology.</p> <p>3. For the present for making statement as to steps to be taken for revision of pension, adjourned to 19.04.2017. Thereafter, further compliance may be reported.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : None for the appl. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 13/4/17</p> <p style="text-align: right;">LH Sle</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.80 of 2016 in O.A. No.517 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri R.B. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 13.4.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Rajiv Agarwal Vice-Chairman 11.4.2017 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.4.2017</p>