

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2019, Tribunal's orders M.A. No.123 of 2019 in O.A.No.53 of 2017</p>
<p>Copy Received <i>Bay</i></p>	<p>J. J. KhaireApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sangita Dongare holding for Shri R. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc. Application has been filed for condonation of delay of nine days and for restoration of O.A.No.53/2017 which has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order dated 21.01.2019. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 21.01.2019, notices for the service of Respondent No.4 was to be collected within three days. However, the Applicant failed to collect the notices and, therefore, the O.A. has been dismissed in default for non compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that inadvertently notices could not be collected for the service and now she is ready to comply.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Misc. Application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.500/- to be deposited within three days.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : <u>11.03.2019.</u>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.639 of 2018</p> <p>T. M. MujumaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K. T. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to the Reply of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 as well as also filed separate Rejoinder to the Reply filed by the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 11.03.2019.</p>
	<p>O.A.No.40 of 2019</p> <p>L. M. DonikarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.825 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in- Sur-Rejoinder (Page Nos.225 to 232). It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.167 of 2018</p> <p>A. B. NikamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 to 6. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1021 of 2018 With O. A. No.1022 of 2018</p> <p>P. R. Karande & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in both the O.As. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. Interim relief to continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 28.03.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1130 of 2018</p> <p>U. B. Dhumal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.04,2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 08.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.664 of 2018 in O.A.No.1130 of 2018</p> <p>U. B. Dhumal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have filed this M.A. to condone the delay of 8 months, caused for filing the O.A. No.1130/2018 wherein, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 14.04.2017 whereby, the claim of the Applicants for regularization of service has been rejected.</p> <p>3. The Respondents failed to file reply despite enough chances.</p> <p>4. Perusal of the record reveals that before filing O.A.No.1130/2018, the Applicants have approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P.No.10816/2017 which has been disposed of on 13.03.2018 giving liberty to the Applicants to approach the Tribunal, it being proper forum to redress the grievance.</p> <p>4. The O.A. has been filed on 19.12.2018. It seems that the petitioners have filed W.P.No.10816 in 2017, which has been disposed of by the Hon'ble High Court on 13.03.2018. Therefore, as per section 14 of the Indian Limitation Act, 1963 the period spent by the Applicants in availing remedy of filing W.P. has to be excluded.</p> <p>5. In view of the aforesaid reasons, delay is condoned. Misc. Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.16 of 2019</p> <p>S.G. ParabApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.03.2019 as a Part-Heard matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 11.03.2019.

O.A.No.53 of 2017

J. J. KhaireApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Sangita Dongare holding for Shri R. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This D.A.No.53/2017 was earlier dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order dated 21.01.2019. However as per order passed in M.A.No.123/2019, M.A. has been allowed subject to cost of Rs.500/-.
3. The Applicant has deposited the costs and produced the receipt.
4. In view of above, O.A. No.53/2017 is restored to the file, issue fresh notices before admission returnable on 08.04.2019.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.544 OF 2018

V.B. ShendeApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 11.03.2019.

ORDER

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte for the Applicant prays for leave to amend by way of substitution of Original Application.
3. Leave as prayed is granted. Substituted paper book is tendered and is taken on record.
4. Issue fresh notice in view of amendment returnable on 22.04.2019.
5. Leave Advocate for the Applicant, waives service on Respondent No.3.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. Heard on the point of interim relief.

12. Learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte for the Applicant prays for interim relief on the following grounds :-

- (a) Applicant's eligibility is prima facie made out.
- (b) Being a candidate having secured merit ranking equal to that of respondent No.3 and his being of higher age then Respondent No.3 he was liable to be appointed.
- (c) Applicant is entitled to be appointed against open vacancy.
- (d) Alternatively applicant is entitled to be appointed instead of Respondent No.4.

13. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Respondent No.3 urges that Respondent No.3 has joined on the said post at 11.00 a.m. today.

14. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that the Applicant's eligibility was not adjudicated, and letter dated 12.06.2019 was actually issued due to interim relief granted 20.06.2019, and 20.06.2019 is a writing / typing error.

15. Be it as it may. In case Respondents No.3 and 4 have not joined till today, then they should not be allowed to join. If any amongst them have joined, they be informed that their appointment shall be subject to out-come of present O.A..

16. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

17. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

18. 5.O. to 22.04.2019.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For Applicant: <u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>For Respondent/s: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. No. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>By consent of both parties, adjourned to 13/3/2019.</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.465 of 2017</p> <p>G.R. KarpeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent of both parties, adjourned to 13.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.03.2019.

O.A.No.03 of 2015
(Subject : Illegal denial of Grade pay)

R.J. YadavApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents raises objection that amended O.A. is not served and what was served was unamended O.A.

3. O.A. stands dismissed due to failure to serve the Respondents with complete memo of amended O.A.

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K.

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... O.A. stands dismissed
to failure to serve the
Respondents with complete
memo of amended O.A.

prk

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.Y. Hargude</u> Person Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>ADJUD. TO <u>Heard</u> <u>disposed with liberty as prayed.</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.163 of 2019 (Subject : Backwages increment)</p> <p>C.Y. HargudeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.Y. Hargude, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Party in person prays for permission to withdraw present O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. because present O.A. is not properly drafted.</p> <p>3. Disposed with liberty as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.03.2019.

O.A.No.131 of 2019
(Subject : Promotion)


S.A. PedgaonkarApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On instruction from Applicant, learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant prays for permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. for same relief after securing and annexing all documents.

3. Leave as prayed is granted.

4. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 11/3/19.

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : K R Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S P Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to : Heard

order passed in
tribunal column.
OA is disposed as
withdrawn with liberty
as prayed.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

O.A. No.722 of 2018

Dr. R.A. Singh ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Sangita Dongare, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO clarifies that reply on behalf of respondent no.1 has been filed and reply from respondent no.2 is not necessary.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. R. Manohar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. Sangita Dongare

holding for Shri D.S. Pagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Admit.

Handwritten signature/initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. B. Patil (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 28/3/2019 by consent</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MPK</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1001 of 2018 with O.A. No.923 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.M. Koli S.M. Nilkanth ..Applicants Vs: The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that as per instructions received by her the policy decision regarding the changeover is under active consideration and the same is likely to be reached within two weeks.</p> <p>3. By consent S.O. to 28.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.3.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Mem'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Mem'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>18/3/2019 for filing reply.</u> <u>BTE</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1064 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 18.3.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1017 of 2018

V.P. Kapade ..Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 18.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sg)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Mem No Justice Joshi (Chairman)

Mem No Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale Ld. Adv.

for the appl.

Smt K.S. Gaikwad Ld. PO

for the Rps.

Ad To 18/3/2019 for filing

reply.

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.968 of 2017

K.G. Shimpi ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Mon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Mon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... Admit

B.T.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.166 of 2018

S.P. Jedhe ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 15.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.M. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Applicant and Advocate for the applicant are absent.

Shri. N. G. Gohad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 15/3/2019 for filing

reply.

sgj

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.321 of 2018

S.R. Sonawane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 15.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 15/3/2019 for filing
reply.

BT

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.741 of 2018

M.L. Bramhane ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 15.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. K. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate
by the Applicant are absent
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 15/3/2019 for filing
Reply.

11/3

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Applicant and Advocate</u> <i>for the App.</i> Advocate of the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>25/3/2019</u></p>	<p><u>O.As. No.823 & 824 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Gaikwad Y.R. Patil ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that no instructions have been received. The respondents are directed to ensure that necessary instructions are communicate to the Ld. CPO on priority.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.3.2019.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Q.A. No.1023 of 2018

A.A. Bagwan & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO mentions that as per instructions received by her the policy decision regarding the changeover is under active consideration and the same is likely to be reached within two weeks.

3. By consent S.O. to 28.3.2019.

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 28/3/2019 by consent.

BT

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

11.3.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1002 of 2018

Y.M. Pimple ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO, adjourned to 14.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

11.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Mem'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Mem'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : MS. Savita Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 14/3/2019 for filing
reply.

[Handwritten signature]