

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.03.2016.

O.A.No.865 of 2015

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents has filed short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 8 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant.

3. At the request of learned Counsel Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant, S.O. after three weeks to study the same and to make statement accordingly.

4. Adjourned to 01.04.2016.

DATE: 11/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A. V/C

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 11/4/16

B.K.

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Smt. <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> <u>V/C</u></p> <p>ATTENDANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Id. Adv. holding for adv. <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant,</p> <p>Smt/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>7/4/16</u></p> <p><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.92 of 2015 in O.A.No.1226 of 2013 (D.B.)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard. Pursuant to the directions of this Tribunal dated 24.02.2016, learned P.O. tendered the copy of the communication dated 09.03.2016 issued by Under Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and the same is taken on record and copy thereof is served upon the learned Counsel for the Applicants. S.O. after four weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 11.03.2016.

O.A.No.56 of 2015

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It appears that the Applicant has challenged the order of suspension dated 05.06.2015 in the present O.A.

3. It also appears that on 16.02.2016, learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant made grievance that no review of the order and suspension has been done as per Clause 7(a) of the G.R. dated 14.10.2011. Hence, learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents undertook to take instructions and to make statement on the next date and also stated that he would file affidavit-in-reply.

4. However, neither the statement has been made by the learned P.O. nor the affidavit-in-reply has been filed by the Respondents so far. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise stated that he has received the para-wise comments today and sought adjournment for filing affidavit-in-reply.

5. However, it is apparent that Clause 7(a) of the G.R. dated 14.10.2011 has not been complied with so far.

6. In that view of the matter at the request of learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents, S.O. after two weeks as last chance for the compliance of order dated 16.02.2016.

7. Steno copy be supplied to learned P.O. at his request as well as Hamdast of the copy of order dated 16.02.2016 be also supplied at his request.

8. Adjourned to 22.03.2016.

DATE: 11/3/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Shrihari P. Davare
Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant


Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 22/3/16

BTE

(Signature)
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) <u>V/C</u> Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>U. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.A. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/4/16</u> <u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.151 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. Bhosle, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable after three weeks, S.O. after three weeks. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Learned Counsel Shri U. Bhosle for the Applicant filed the affidavit of service and the same is taken on record. 8. In that view of the matter, learned P.O. waives service of notice and sought time to file affidavit-in-reply. 9. Adjourned to 01.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;"><u></u> (Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A VC</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant, <u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTe</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.246 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after five weeks, S.O. after five weeks. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 21.04.2016. <p style="text-align: center;"><i>[Signature]</i> (Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corám, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-V/C <i>Shrihari P. Davare</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khiare</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.257 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khiare, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after five weeks, S.O. after five weeks. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Adjourned to 21.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Shrihari P. Davare</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 11.03.2016</p>
<p>DATE : <u>11/3/16</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-1 APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt : <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To: <u>MA stands disposed off with no order as to costs.</u></p>	<p>M.A.No.114 of 2016 in O.A.No.252 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Considering the facts. The application to sue-jointly is granted subject to the payment of court fees if not already paid. 3. Misc. Application stands disposed off with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>11-3-16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J) </p>
<p>DATE : <u>11/3/16</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-1 APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt : C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To:</p>	<p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No, of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.03.2016

O.A.No.259 of 2016

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurhit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 5.04.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 05.04.2016.

DATE : 11/3/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kamesh Kumar (Member) *R.B. Malik*

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. *D.B. Khaire*

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. *N.K. Rajpurhit*

C.P.C / F.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... *5/4/16*

BE

R.B. Malik
(R.Br.Malik)
Member(J)
11.3.16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u> <u>PIB</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>2/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BE</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016</p> <p>M.A.No.433 of 2014 in O.A.No.734 of 2014</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Regard being had, to the nature of the matter and the fact that the Applicant as a matter of fact is disabled in my view it will be appropriate while appointing the M.A. for final argument, to direct filing of the Affidavit-in-reply to the O.A. so that loss of time could be avoided and if M.A. was to be rejected then the O.A. itself will become redundant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>11.3.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(I) </p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date : 11.03.2016

O.A.No.996 of 2015

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter issue is regarding interim relief and therefore the fixed date is given for listing the matter before appropriate Division Bench.

3. Adjourned to 21.03.2016. Interim order to continue till next date.


(R.B. Malik)
Member(I)
11-3-16

DATE : 11/3/2016 D/B

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri ~~M. Kamachandani~~ R.B. Malik (Member) ✓]

APPEARANCE :


Shri ~~S.S. Dere~~ S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~N.K. Rajpurohit~~ N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.G / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 21/3/16.....



sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2016</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R. B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>5/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.R.</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.03.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.260 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 5.04.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 05.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;"><u>R.B. Malik</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>11-3-16</u> [PTO]</p> <p>gba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M.L.K. (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> Advocate for the Applicant (Co-Applicant No. 3) Shri <u>M.R. Patil</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents (Co-Applicant) <u>C.T. Chandratre, Adv.</u> Adj. To <u>Co-Applicant No. 6</u> M.A. is dismissed.</p>	<p><u>11.03.2016</u></p> <p><u>M.A 107/2016 in O.A Nos 193/2016</u></p> <p>Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent no. 3), Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant) and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for Respondent no. 6.</p> <p>This Misc Application has been filed seeking extension of time by two weeks to enable them to go to High Court. It is seen that W.P no 7520/2016 has already been filed on 10.3.2016. As the time was being sought to approach the Hon. ^{H.C.} Tribunal, nothing survives in the M.A and it stands dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rajiv Agarwal (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OAs. No.161, 531 & 705 of 2015

Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The affidavit in reply in OA No.705/15 has not been filed. We could as well as have proceeded ahead without affidavit in reply but in any case since for the reasons to be set out presently the two sister OAs which are in any case going to be adjourned we grant last opportunity to file the affidavit in reply in OA No.705/15 as well making it clear that on the next date if the compliance was not made we shall proceed to hear that OA as well.

3. Hearing rival submissions in the light of copy of a communication dated 28.1.2016 from the Govt. in Higher and Technical Education to the Director, Higher Education, Pune it appears that on 17.12.2015 a cabinet decision was taken in so far as the issue relevant hereto is concerned. It appears that the necessary official procedure has not been completed. However, even as it will be better if the State Government takes a decision with regard to all similarly placed employees but at the same time endless protraction of the OAs also cannot be countenanced. Regard being had to the facts and circumstances we direct the Ld. PO to present for our perusal a copy of the cabinet decision to which reference has been made in the letter above referred to. It is made clear that the pendency of these OAs shall ~~not be a case~~ ^{no pendency} for withholding and finalizing the decision. S.O. to 28.3.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 11/3/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. R. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

~~order passed in the Tribunal's decision~~

Adj. To:

S.O. to 28/3/16

Hamdast.

PH
[Signature]

[Signature]
(R.B. Malik) 11-3-16

Member (J)
11.3.2016

(sgl)

[Signature]
(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman
11.3.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.03.2016

O.A Nos 920/2015

Heard Shri Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It seems that the Applicant is seeking two main reliefs, namely relief 9(a) which is about period of suspension and (e) which is regarding fixation of pay as per 6th Pay Commission. The reply has been filed by Respondent no. 2, who has replied as regards the averments of treatment of period of suspension. As regard pay fixation, he has made it clear that the said issue is not within his province. Rejoinder to the affidavit in reply filed by Respondent no. 2 is taken on record.

Learned P.O states that the authority who has powers to grant fixation of pay is the Joint Director of Agriculture, Thane and he has not been made a party.

Learned Advocate Shri Kulkarni sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the title of the O.A to make Joint Director, Agriculture, Thane as party Respondent no. 5. Leave granted. Learned Advocate Shri Kulkarni states that he will amend the O.A and serve copy on the added Respondents within a period of two weeks.

S.O to 1.4.2016.

DATE: 11/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Kulkarni S.G.

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~P.O. for the Respondents
order passed in the
Tribunal's corridor.~~

~~Adv. To~~

S.O. to 1/4/16.
Rejoinder filed by
Applicant.

Akn

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/3/16</p> <p><u>CLERK:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. R. P. MALIK (member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt. : N. G. Gohad P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 28/3/16.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>11.03.2016</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 146/2016</u></p> <p>Heard Ms S.P Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O places on record copy of letter received from P.W.D dated 11.3.2016 informing the learned C.P.O that the proposal to cancel the transfer order of the Applicant to Gadchiroli and give him a posting within P.W.D Circle, Thane has been submitted to the Government and as soon as approval of the Government is received, necessary orders will be issued. Learned P.O, therefore, sought time. Copy of the letter dated 11.3.2016 is taken on record.</p> <p align="center">S.O to 28.3.2016.</p> <p align="right"> <i>Rajiv Agarwal</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.03.2016

O.A Nos 452 & 456/2015

Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 452/2015, Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant in O.A no 456/2015 and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in reply has been filed in these O.As. Learned Advocate Shri Dere states that the Applicants do not wish to file rejoinder.

O.As are admitted. Place for final hearing on 31.3.2016.

DATE: 11/3/16

GRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. N. MALIK (Member)~~

Akn

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere (in O.A 452/15)~~
and holding for M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant (in O.A 456/15)

~~Smt/Son. K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~S.O/P.O. for the Respondents~~
order passed in the
Tribunal's column.

~~Adj To~~

O.As are admitted.

S.O. to 31/3/16.

FH
@id

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

11.03.2016

O.A No 88/2016

Heard Shri A.V Shinde, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

It appears that in O.A 274/2013 similar issue was raised on the basis of relevant G.R by which services of some Seasonal Godown Keepers in Ratanagiri and Sidhudurg District was regularized by the Government. It was decided that other Seasonal Godown Keepers, whose names have not been mentioned in the above G.R was not granted relief by the Hon. High Court in W.P no 3690/2005. Respondents have neither placed copy of the judgment of ~~Hon. High Court~~ nor the copy of the relevant G.R on record.

<this Tribunal

I was inclined to impose heavy cost on the Respondents for their callous attitude and their utter failure to safeguard the interest of the Government. However, a last chance is given to the Respondents to file a short affidavit explaining the provisions of the G.R and ^{for placing} copy of the judgment referred in the case where similar issues were considered, on record.

Rh

S.O to 30.3.2016.

DATE: 11/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri. S. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Shinde

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.A. Gohad

P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Adj. To S.O. to 30/3/16.

[Signature]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/16</u></p> <p>QUORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Ashish Rajkar</u> <u>holding for S.D. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.A. O/P.O. for the Respondents <u>order passed in the</u> <u>Tribunal's order</u> <u>S.O. to 7/4/16.</u></p> <p align="right">FH Cud</p>	<p align="center"><u>OAs. No. 75 to 80 and 82 of 2016</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is seen that the affidavit in reply in this case has been filed by Associate Professor of Shri Bhausaheb Hire Govt. Medical College, Dhule. The issues raised in these OAs are regarding arrears of pay based on the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission. Obviously such issues cannot be decided by the Govt. Medical College. The affidavit in reply has to be filed by senior officer working in the Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya not below the rank of Deputy Secretary or before the next date in all the connected OAs. S.O. to 7.4.2016.</p> <p align="center">  (R.B. Malik) 11-3-16 Member (J) 11.3.2016 </p> <p align="center">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.3.2016 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Ashish Rajkar holding for S.D. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise C.P.O. for the Respondents order passed in the Tribunal's order. Adj. To S.O. to 7/4/16.</p> <p align="right">FH @14</p>	<p align="center"><u>OAs. No.69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74 & 81 of 2016</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is seen that the affidavit in reply in this case has been filed by Associate Professor of Shri Bhausaheb Hire Govt. Medical College, Dhule. The issues raised in these OAs are regarding arrears of pay based on the recommendations of 6th Pay Commission. Obviously such issues cannot be decided by the Govt. Medical College. The affidavit in reply has to be filed by senior officer working in the Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya not below the rank of Deputy Secretary or before the next date in all the connected OAs. S.O. to 7.4.2016.</p> <p align="center">  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 11.3.2016 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 11.3.2016 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/16</u> <u>DLB</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Leave not of adv. S. D. Joshi</u> <u>taken on record.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>M. G. Gohad holding for</u> <u>A.J. Chougule, p.o. for Resp.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To: <u>30/3/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date: 14.03.2016. D.B. Matter</p> <p>O.A. No. 219/2015</p> <p>(1) The Learned Advocate for the applicant has sent a leave note. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Shri A.J, Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>(2) Learned P.O prays for time on the ground that Shri Chougule,, P.O, who is in charge of this brief is on leave <u>not available</u></p> <p>(3) In view of the request made by the learned Presenting Officer, adjourned to 30.03.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>14/3/16</u> <u>D13</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/3/2016</u> <u>B.K.</u></p>	<p>Date: 14.03.2016. D.B. Matter</p> <p>C.A. No. 133/2015 in O.A. No. 1051/2012</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) The learned P.O states that a communication is received from the Under Secretary, Department of Social Justice and Social Assistance requesting for six weeks time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>(3) Request for grant of time can be considered, if time frame of various steps required to be taken and officers/ department involved in the said decision making process is placed on record by filing affidavit.</p> <p>(4) Learned P.O prays for two weeks time for filing affidavit.</p> <p>(5) Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>(6) S.O to <u>28</u> 03.2016.</p> <p><u>28-</u></p> <p> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Psz</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/16</u> <u>D.B.</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri / Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/3/2016</u> <u>B.T.</u></p>	<p>Date: 14.03.2016. D.B. Matter</p> <p>C.A. No. 89/2015 in O.A. No. 1091/2010</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>(2) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for a week's time to verify as to whether the applicant is satisfied with the compliance.</p> <p>(3) S.O to 28.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>PsZ</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/16</u> v/b</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> for R.No. 4. Adj. To <u>30/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 14.03.2016. D.B. Matter</p> <p>O.A. No. 1094/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O for the respondents 1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 to 6.</p> <p>(2) Learned P.O has tendered reply filed by Ms. Anjali Eknath Waradkar, Desk Officer of the office of Director General of Police, M.S., Mumbai. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for two weeks time to examine as to whether rejoinder is necessary and take steps to file, if necessary.</p> <p>(4) Adjourned to 30.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">PsZ</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date : 11.03.2016

O.A.No.902 of 2015

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per the order with regard to the assignment of the work, it will be listed before the Hon'ble Chairman on 5.4.2016.

3. 5.O. to 5.4.2016.


 (R.B. Malik)
 Member(I)
 11-3-16

sba

DATE: 11/3/2016 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A-1

APPEARANCE:

Shri D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 5/4/16

BTK

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 11.03.2016</p>
<p>DATE : <u>11/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Shri <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>7/4/16</u></p> <p>DATE : <u>11/3/16</u> <u>D.P.B.</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Shri Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....</p>	<p>O.A.No.252 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 7.04.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 07.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>11-03-16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J) </p> <p>sb</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>