

7

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.41 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. BharadkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Shri A. R. Rajput, Under Secretary, School Education & Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai stating that impugned order was issued under misconception. He further states that mistake is now rectified and name of the Applicant is taken in waiting list to provide employment on compassionate ground.</p> <p>3. Thus, grievance of the Applicant stands redressed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.554 of 2019 in O.A. No.998 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.R. Kumbhar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.2.2020 for dismissal.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020 11.2.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.89 of 2020 in O.A. No.1197 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26.3.2020.</p> <table><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</td></tr></table>	Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)	Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020
Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)	Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 440/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.B Fulpagare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyapan learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 to 4.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 20/2020</u></p> <p>Shri N.S Daud ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant adjourned to 26.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>AKn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11.02.2020</p> <p><u>O.A 20/2020</u></p> <p>Shri N.S Daud ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant adjourned to 26.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 105/2020</u></p> <p>Avinash H. Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.K Bhangoji holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for removing objection, adjourned to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2020 in O.A. No.1119 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayappan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During hearing, Ld. CPO mentions that the lead matter similar to the present case are before the Hon'ble High Court and the next date of hearing is 25.2.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.3.2020. The respondents are directed to file reply by that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgl)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgl)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgl)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.53 of 2020 in O.A. No.351 of 2019

Dr. C.A. Padalkar & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed the present MA for amending the prayer clause in the OA as per scheduled attached to the MA. Ld. CPO has no objection.

3. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
11.2.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
11.2.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.73 of 2020 in O.A. No.87 of 2020

R.V. Kardak & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time for filing reply in the MA. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that adequate time has been given.

3. S.O. to 20.2.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

11.2.2020

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Vice-Chairman (A)

11.2.2020

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.378 of 2018 in O.A. No.686 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.D. Rawate ..Applicant Vs, The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.126 of 2020</u></p> <p>Dr. M.B. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.V. Dharunkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 15.4.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgi)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.11 of 2020 in O.A. No.1112 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.M. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 31.3.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, CA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.118 of 2020</p> <p>R.R. Solanke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working in the establishment of Respondent no.3-Public Works Department. The PWD issued GR on 4.12.2019 (Exh.A-5 pg.28). This GR refers to the earlier GR dt 6.6.2017 issued by GAD which recommends holding of degree by Group D employees as eligibility criteria for being promoted to Group C. The GR dated 4.12.2019 however, makes no reference to GAD GR dated 11.6.2019 (Exh.A-3 pg.14-15) whereby the eligibility criteria was relaxed and Group D employees possessing SSC were also made eligible for a period of 5 years. The applicant is therefore apprehensive that his case may be overlooked. The respondents are therefore directed to clarify legal impediment, if any, in removing his apprehension while considering the candidates eligible for promotion.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 31.3.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J) 11.2.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.124 of 2020

Dr. S.S. Dhone ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 20.2.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
11.2.2020

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
11.2.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 960/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.E Kerure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyapan learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2, Ms Sunita Vishwakarma holding for Shri D.G Mishra, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3. Shri Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 files leave note.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O tenders a communication mentioning that the suspension of the applicant has been revoked and decision regarding his posting would be communicated within a week.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 18.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11.02.2020</p> <p><u>O.A 525/20129 with 626/2019</u></p> <p>Y.V Kesarkar [O.A 625/2019] B.J Ghayal [O.A 626/2019] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicants seek two weeks to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 981/2019</u></p> <p>Dr L.V Bhandarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms Punam Mahaja, learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 27.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1043/2019</u></p> <p>Smt S.L Lendave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Ms Punam Mahaja, learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 82/2020</u></p> <p>Ms Anagha N. Agnihotri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.K Bhangoji holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 7.4.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O 7.4.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.211 of 2014</p> <p>Maharashtra Mantralaya Officers Assoc. & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 6 and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.7 to 11. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.12 to 14 absent.</p> <p>2. Today during Final hearing the Applicants as well as learned Advocate for the Applicants are absent.</p> <p>3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 55, 56 & 57/2020</u></p> <p>Shri G.B Udeg & Others ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.2.2020 for hearing on interim relief.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N' Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.60 of 2020</p> <p>N. P. BhoyarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for tomorrow to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.88 of 2020 in O.A.No.1166 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. None for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to amend the O.A. as well as to challenge the order passed by the Respondents during the pendency of this O.A. on his representation.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has no objection to amend the O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to carry out the amendment. Amendment be carried out within seven days.</p> <p>5. Respondents to file reply to amended O.A.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application is disposed of accordingly with no order as to cost.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 17.02.2020 in O.A.No.1166 of 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- V^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.117 of 2020</p> <p>B. H. NevrekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. challenging the order dated 13.02.2019 whereby the application dated 10.10.2018 purportedly for review stands rejected by the Respondent No.3. Indeed, the punishment of recovery of Rs.1,37,775/- has been confirmed by the Appellate Authority on 09.12.2013. The Original Application thus appears not within limitation.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks one week's time to satisfy how Original Application is maintainable.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I) </p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p>

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.563 of 2019</u></p> <p>Mohd. H.H. Kacchi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 2.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.2.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>