

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.02.2019

C.A.No.29 of 2017 in O.A.No.676 of 2015

B.M. PatilApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. In view that order passed in O.A. is complied, C.A.No.29/2017 is disposed, with liberty to apply for grant of interest.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 11-2-19

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s
order passed in tribunal column
Adj. S.O. to

C.A. is disposed.

SRKad

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>11-2-19</p> <p>None for the applicant</p> <p>S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>15/3/19</p> <p>Saxal</p>	<p>11.02.2019</p> <p><u>O.A No 739/2108</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the reply would be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 932 of 2018</p> <p>G. K. SanapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking directions for subsistence allowances and full back wages with all consequential benefits,</p> <p>3. The Applicant was initially compulsorily retired from the service by the Respondent No.3 by order dated 04.10.2012. The Applicant has challenged the said order by filing the Appeal before the Hon'ble Minister. The Appellate Authority allowed the Appeal partly and, thereby, set aside the order of compulsory retirement but imposed punishment of strict warning. However, in so far as the period from the date of compulsory retirement to reinstatement in service is concerned, it was ordered to be considered only for the purpose of pension without back wages.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, the Applicant had filed O.A.No.288 of 2018 before this Tribunal which was withdrawn on 18.04.2018 with liberty to file representation before the Competent Authority to seek redressal of his grievance. Accordingly, the Applicant has made representation on 02.05.2018. As the said representation is not yet decided. The Applicant has approached this Tribunal again by filing this present O.A.</p> <p>5. In this O.A., no reply is filed yet. Learned P.O. for the Respondents again sought time.</p> <p>6. In view of above, one week's time is lastly granted for filing reply.</p> <p>7. In the meantime, the Respondent No.3 shall decide the representation by passing appropriate order.</p> <p>8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 21.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.02.2019.
	<p>M. A. No.02 of 2018 in O. A. No.07 of 2018</p> <p>P. R. BhusalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.02.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.02.2019.

O.A.No.1136 of 2018

R. Y. Khilare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. Devkhile, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned C.P.O. for the Respondents had filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 3 to 5.
3. According to learned C.P.O. in the present case, the competent authorities are Respondent Nos.3 to 5 and, therefore, reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 is not required.
4. The matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing on 26.02.2019.
5. S.O. to 26.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corem, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.256/2018</u></p> <p>Smt. M.P. Misal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Responden</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for final hearing on 18.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 11.01.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: _____</p> <p>CORAM: _____</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri M. Ramakrishnaiah (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Mr. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>1/3/19 at the request of cd BN for the applicant.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.327 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.M. Kathe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 1.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. S. Patankar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>U.V. Bhosale for S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>La S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. <u>for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p><u>S.M. Vakhare for No. 1 & 2</u></p> <p>Adj. To <u>26/2/19</u></p> <p><u>Ref 17 filed by Respondent no 3 Taken on Record</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>sgj</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1108 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.R. Patankar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri S.M. Vakhare, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 states he will file reply during the course of the day. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N* Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Sri M. Manoj Kumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. G.O. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 14/2/19.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.773 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.A. Surti ..Applicant Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that decision taken earlier in the impugned order is under review and she proposes to file reply or report progress in the next three days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/19</p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>P.V. Sutar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>15/2/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.805 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.L. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that respondent is reconsidering the decision against the impugned order of the applicant. To report progress in the matter she seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice V. N. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Sri M. Manoj Kumar (Member) A <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>14/2/19</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.772 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.J. Padvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that decision taken earlier in the impugned order is under review and she proposes to file reply or report progress in the next three days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Jadhav (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>V. J. Phadake</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>12/2/19</u></p> <p align="center"><u>S</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1041 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.S. Naik ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.J. Phadake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time till tomorrow to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.2.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p align="right">Member (A)</p> <p align="right">11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/19.</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A <i>P. N. Dixit</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A. Jaiswar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>M. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/2/19.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.765 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.H. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A. Jaiswar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant assures that judgments relied upon by him would be provided by tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.119 OF 2019

A.T. SakoreApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Shri B. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri P.N. Dixit, Member(A)

DATE : 11.02.2019.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Heard on the point of interim relief.
9. Limited question which arises in this case is as to eligibility of Respondent No.4 on the ground that Respondent No.4 is not entitled and eligible to secure non-creamy layer certificate as is averred in paragraph 6.16 of the O.A..
10. Applicant has demonstrated that through the copy of application, which is at page 44 of the paper book of O.A., documents in relation to the position held by Respondent No.4 were sought, however, have not been supplied. Thus, prima facie, applicant has made due case to demonstrate that appointment of Respondent No.4 would come under serious cloud of lack of eligibility in view of her position.
11. In the aforesaid background, in the event Respondent No.4 has not already joined, pursuant to the appointment, (copy whereof is at Exhibit-X, page 57 dated 17.12.2018 of the paper book of O.A.), Respondents No.1, 2 and 3 shall not permit Respondent No.4 to join.
12. In so far as supply of documents are concerned applicant's advocate shall furnish to the C.P.O. copy of documents which he wants and inspection of papers which he wants and C.P.O. shall arrange the papers thereof within 10 days.
13. S.O. to 08.04.2019, with liberty to Respondents to circulate before due date, if affidavit-in-reply is filed.
14. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
15. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
16. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 11.02.2019.

Tribunal No. 554 of 2018
O.A. No. 554 of 2018

R.R. TarkarApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.P. Kanade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 28.02.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.A.)
Chairman

DATE: 11/2/19
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri ~~P. N. Dixit~~ (Member) A
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. S.P. Kanade
ADVOCATE for the Applicant
MISS S.P. Manchekar
Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To: Head

order passed in
Tribunal column -
SO to 28/2/19
e

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 11.02.2019. M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018 Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/19</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice S.L. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPLICANT:</p> <p>Shri/Sd/- S.P. Kanade</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sd/- S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Heard</p> <p>order passed by Tribunal Column - 5020 28/2/19.</p>	<p>R.R. TarkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.P. Kanade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri S.P. Kanade for the Applicant prays for two week's time and leave to substitute M.A. for condonation of delay. 3. Time as prayed for is granted and leave to substitute M.A. is granted. 4. If substitution is done affidavit-in-reply filed by State will be stuck off and issue fresh notice in both M.A. and O.A. 5. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2019. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O. to 28.02.2019. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.02.2019.
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Manjekar (Member) A. P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/St. : <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>MISS S.P. Manjekar</u> Shri/St. : C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>heard.</u> <u>by consent of both parties adj'd 11/3/19.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.544 of 2018</p> <p>V.B. ShendeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manjekar for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-</p> <p>Results as regards performance of candidates who have under gone the process of selection for the posts of Deputy Director Town Planning shall be declared within one week and those of the posts of Assistant Director will be declared within two week's.</p> <p>3. By consent of both parties, adjourned to 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member(A) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE</u> 11/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri S.S. Dere</p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant:</u> Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</p> <p><u>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> Head - leave to amend as prayed for is granted. Liberty to circulate the matter after amendment</p>	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.6 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. SalunkeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant prays for leave to amend. 3. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted. 4. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere is granted liberty to circulate the matter after amendment. <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>11.02.2019</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 911/2018</u>
---	---

Shri S.D Pol ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents

2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend to bring on record judgment of M.A.T at Nagpur.

3. Leave to amend and add is granted.

4. S.O to 15.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 11/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri ~~M. Kamathkar~~ (Member) A
P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R. M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 15/3/19.

leave to amend and add is granted.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.02.2019.

O.A.No.302 of 2016
(O.A.No.389/2013 at Aurangabad Bench)

S.R.S. MunirApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. None appears for the Applicant.
3. O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution.

DATE: 11/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A
P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the Applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To. O.A. is dismissed
for want of prosecution

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar, Counsel with U.N. Bhosale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.B. Manojkar C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: 11/3/19 By Counsel of both parties, (with O.A. 683/18)</p>	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.506 of 2018 with O.A.No.683 of 2018</p> <p>U.J. Marathe & Ors. (O.A.No.506/2018) P.D. Pagar (O.A.No.683/2018)Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel with Shri U.B. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.506/2018, Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.683/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-</p> <p>Results as regards performance of candidates who have under gone the process of selection for the posts of Deputy Director Town Planning shall be declared within one week and those of the posts of Assistant Director will be declared within two week's.</p> <p>3. By consent of both parties, adjourned to 11.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 83 of 2018</p> <p>A. V. Shahane & Ors. ...Applicant s</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent nos. 2 and 3 also. It is taken on record.3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 28.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1124 of 2018</p> <p>M. P. GurusiddhanwarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 21.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.783 of 2018 with O.A.No.784 of 2018</p> <p>P. G. DalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 (OA 783/18). It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 though enough time is granted.</p> <p>3. Original Application is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.257 of 2018</p> <p>S. K. NaraApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM: P.N. Dixit (M.A.) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member)A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Sust. G.P. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Miss S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... heard. order passed in Tribunal Column. In view of the above, OA has become infructuous and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.565 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.P. Langote ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO produces a communication dated 14.1.2019 from the respondents which states as under: श्रीमती प्रिती पांडुरंग लंगोटे यांची फेर चारित्र्य पडताळणी वैद्यकीय तपासणी करून त्यांना या कार्यालयाचे आदेश क्र.६८-अ/आस्था-२/पो.भ.२०११/नियुक्ती/२०१८-१३१२९, दिनांक ३०/१२/२०१८ अन्वये नियुक्ती आदेश देण्यांत आले आहे. नमुद उमेदवार हे दिनांक ३०/१२/२०१८ पासून या आस्थापनेवर कार्यरत असून पोलीस मुख्यालय सोलापूर ग्रामीण येथे मुलभूत प्रशिक्षण घेत आहेत.</p> <p>Copy of the same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Copy of the same should be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>B. In view of the above, OA has become infructuous and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM: P.N. Dixit (m.A.) Hon'ble Justice Shri. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. M. Ramachandran (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>absent and advocate are absent</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>53719 for filing reply</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Sgi)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.741 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.L. Bramhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of I.d. CPO adjourned to 5.3.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> P.N. Dixit (M.A.) Hon'ble Justice A. M. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramkrishna Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. U.V. Bhosale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 12/2/19.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2018</u></p> <p>U.D. Kharat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that recovery is being made after retirement.</p> <p>4. The issue of interim relief would be considered after filing of reply by the respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.457 of 2018

A.R. Chavan ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Miss Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer
for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1
and 2 and the same is taken on record.

B. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course
with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
11.2.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/2/19

CORAM: P.N. Dixit (Cm))
Hon'ble Justice Shri H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Baneshikumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate are absent.

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss: A.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Admit. To come up
for final hearing in due
course with liberty to
file Rejoinder, if any.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.446 of 2018

DATE: 11/2/19

COSE: P.N. Dixit (M.A.)

Hon'ble Mr. J. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Mr. _____ (Member-A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate are absent

Advocate for the Applicant absent

Shri/Smt. Miss S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Dr. A.A. Mane ..Applicant


Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 25.2.2019. Ld. CPO states that bill for DCRG is submitted to the AG.

Adj. To: 25/2/19



Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

11.2.2019

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> P.N. Dixit (M.A.) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri S.K. Jadhav (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Applicant and advocate are absent -</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Miss S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 12/2/19</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.166 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.P. Jedhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 12.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>