

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A J</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>S.R. Atre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>A.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.I.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>29/3/16</u></p> <p><i>HE</i></p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.163 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.R. Atre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 29.03.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O. to 29.03.2016. <p><i>(Signature)</i> <u>10.03.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>sba</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S.R. Atre</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O. / P.D. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>MA stands disposed of</u> <u>BK</u></p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016</p> <p>M.A.No.72 of 2016 in O.A.No.163 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Atre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Considering the facts. The application to sue-jointly is granted subject to the payment of court fees if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application stands disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>10.03.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J) </p> <p>sb</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A J</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the applicant Shri/Shri <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Admit Adj. To <u>Liberty to mention</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.730 of 2015</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention is granted.</p> <p>3. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on the next date when the matter appears before the bench and not thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>10.03.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member(J) </p> <p>gba</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 10/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jadhav (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member)-1</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire s/l: Counsel with C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for Rts. 1 to 3. Adj. to Adv. S.P. Manchekar holding for adv. M.R. Patil for R. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44. Adv. G.A. Bandiwadkar for R. to 38 Adj. to 29/3/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.912 of 2015</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Present Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38. 2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. 3. O.A. proceeds without the reply of the Respondents who have not filed ^{the replies} rejoinder so far. 4. Adjourned for rejoinder to 29.03.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (R.B. Malik) Member(I) 10.03.16 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A- v/c</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>28/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTK</u></p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.16 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. after two weeks for affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Shrihari P. Davare Hon'ble Mr. M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A VC</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shrihari P. Davare for the appl.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant, Shrihari P. Davare C.P.O. NO. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>31/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">F.H. B.K.</p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.784 of 2015</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears from the proceedings that the affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise on instructions stated that separate reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2 is not necessary.</p> <p>4. It appears from the proceedings that O.A. is already admitted, hence, S.O. after three weeks for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (Shrihari P. Davare, J.) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/P.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.03.2016.

O.A.No.226 of 2016

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

DATE: 10/3/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri Hari P. Davare
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A. v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri P. B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Smt. K. B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 7/4/16

prk

(Signature)
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.03.2016.

O.A.No.321 of 2015

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3.

2. Learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar stated that added Respondents No.4 and 5 have already been served and under took to file affidavit of service on behalf of Respondents No.4 and 5. Nobody appears for Respondents No.4 and 5 and no reply have been filed on their behalf.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3, M.P.S.C., and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicants.

4. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 has been already filed.

5. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A. it is admitted with liberty to the Applicants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder/s, if any, S.O. after three weeks for final hearing.

6. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.


(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE: 10/3/16 2/2

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A/V/C

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 31/3/16



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.03.2016.

O.A.No.17 of 2016

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad appearing for the Respondent on instructions stated that she would file the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent during the course of the day and would serve the copy thereof upon the learned Counsel for the Applicant.

3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted with liberty to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, and if such rejoinder is filed, copy thereof be served upon the learned P.O. for the Respondent, at least two days in advance of the next date. S.O. after two weeks, for Final Hearing.

4. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.

DATE: 10/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

V/C

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / F.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 28/3/16

[Handwritten initials]

[Signature]
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.03.2016.

O.A.No.543 of 2014

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Counsel Shri M.D. Lonkar appearing for the Applicants, S.O. after two weeks.
3. Adjourned to 28.03.2016.


**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman**

prk

DATE: 10/3/16 DLB

Hon'ble Shrihari P. Davare (Chairman) v/c

Hon'ble M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Advocate for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/3/2016



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda at Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 10.03.2016.

O.A.No.891 of 2015

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It appears from the proceedings that the affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 4.
3. In that view of the matters and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted with liberty to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, and if it is imperative, S.O. after three weeks for final hearing.
4. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.

DATE: 10/3/16 D/B
 CORAM:
 Hon'ble Justice H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A V/C
 APPEARANCE:
 For Applicant: V.K. Jagdale
 For Respondent/s: K.B. Bhise
 Adj. To: 31/3/16


(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 10.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.255 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

DATE: 10/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. K. Jadhav (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad waives service of notices since learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar for the Applicant stated that copies of proceedings have been already served upon the Respondents and undertook to file affidavit of service to that effect.

8. Adjourned to 07.04.2016.


(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE: 10/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. Malik
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G. A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad
C.P.O / P.C. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 7/4/16

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>10/3/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Shrihan P. Davare</u> Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Ramakrishna Kumar (Member)</u> ✓/C</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>7/4/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 10.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.254 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar appearing for the Applicant leave granted to add Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department as party Respondent No.2. Amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. Issue notices to the Respondents after the said amendment, returnable after four weeks, S.O. after four weeks.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad waives service of notice for Respondent No.1. Applicant to serve upon added Respondent No.2 till next date, S.O. after four weeks.

9. Adjourned to 07.04.2016.


(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

10.03.2016

O.A No 635/2015

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Original Application has been filed by the Applicants who are working as Auditor Grade-II in Kolhapur Division. It appears that earlier Kolhapur Division was part of Pune Division and it was bifurcated by G.R, which was issued in 2011. The Applicants are seeking transfer to Pune Division.

Information on the following points will be required from the Respondents to decide this matter.

(i) What is the policy of the Government regarding choice being given to the persons affected by bifurcation of Pune Audit Division of Cooperation Department into Pune and Kolhapur Division?. Whether policy which was followed that when Pune Administrative Division was bifurcated into Pune and Kolhapur Division, i.e. choice was given to all the employees to either opt for Pune or Kolhapur Division, will be followed in the present case?

(ii) On instructions from Shri R.K Behere, Special Auditor, Class-I under Divisional Joint Registrar (Audit), Pune Division, Pune, learned P.O stated that policy decision has been taken by the Government not to post any Auditor in the District Cooperative Bank in the State. The persons who are now working are to be adjusted in other posts. Copy of the office order in this regard may be placed before this Tribunal on the next date.

(iii) If there are 13 posts of Auditor, Grade-II vacant in Pune Division, what is the hitch in accommodating the persons who are working in newly created Kolhapur Division and sought transfer to Pune Division to adjust them in the said vacancies? If these posts are to be used for accommodating Auditors working in District Central Cooperative Banks, why no steps have been taken so far?

DATE: 10/3/16

OFFICE:

Hon'ble SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble SHRI J. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCES:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

~~Presenting Officer for the Respondents~~

~~For General's order~~

~~Adj. To~~

S.O. to 23/3/16.

Part heard.

PH

S.O to 23.3.2016. Part Heard.

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 10/3/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p> <p>Adj. To R.A. Adj. to 31/3/16. for Reply as a last chance</p>	<p><u>10.03.2016</u></p> <p><u>M.A 505/2015 in R.A 35/2015 in O.A No 682/2014</u></p> <p>Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Review Application has been filed on 23.9.2015 seeking review of this Tribunal's order dated 9.6.2015 in O.A 682/2014. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that M.A is filed seeking condonation of delay of two months and 16 days in filing the Review Application. Though Review Application and M.A is filed in September, 2015 no reply in the M.A has been filed as yet. It is seen that there is a very small delay in filing the Review Application and more time has been taken by the Respondents in filing reply to the M.A seeking condonation of delay. If the Respondents were really serious they would have filed reply to the M.A. It seems that they are not serious in the matter. In view of no effective opposition seeking condonation of delay, the M.A seeking condonation of delay is allowed.</p> <p>Last chance is granted to the Respondents to file reply to the Review Application.</p> <p>S.O to 31.3.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </p>

Akn

5

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000--2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 10.03.2016</p>
<p>DATE: <u>10/3/16</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) A-1 APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocates for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To: <u>28/3/16 Hamdast</u></p>	<p>O.A.No.208, 209, 210 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter is heard for some time on the issue of interim relief, for which liberty was reserved by my order of 29.2.2016. Having perused the record and proceedings and having heard the submission of both the sides for some time, I find that as of today, the interim relief in the manner in which it is sought can not be granted. 3. However, again depending upon the stand that would reveal in the affidavit-in-reply which will have to be filed on the next date itself on pains of suffer the interim order, the same liberty still kept intact. 4. The parties must note now that these O.A.s have been instituted, whatever decision is taken by them from now on (from the date of institution of this O.A.) will be subject to the ultimate out come hereof and the judicial forum has the power even to grant mandatory order at interlocutory stage which so that no steps are taken to force a state of fait-accompli. 5. With this the O.A.s stand adjourned for affidavit-in-reply to 28.3.2016. Hamdast <p style="text-align: right;">  (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.03.2016

O.A.No.247,248,249,250 and 251 of 2015

1. Heard Smt. Prabha Badadare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant presses the matter for urgent interim-relief. Perusal of the record would show that there is an order on Writ Petition made by the Nagpur Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

3. In so far as the order dated 2.3.2016 is concerned on the face of it, it applies to the Applicants of the High Court. Therefore as of today, it is not possible for me to grant any interim-relief but it goes without saying that in as much as O.A. has been filed subsequent steps and stages herein by the Respondents shall be subject to the ultimate out come hereof.

4. Issue notice returnable on 28.03.2016.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.


6. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 28.03.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 10/3/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice R.B. Malik (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice R.B. Malik (Member A)
APPLICANTS:
Smt. Prabha Badadare
Address: N.K. Rajpurohit
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit
C.O. No. 247, 248, 249, 250 and 251 of 2015
Adj. To: 28/3/16. Hamdast


10.3.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 10.03.2016.


O.A.No.95 of 2005 (D.B.)

1. Applicant and learned Advocate absent and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The postal package containing the intimation to the Applicant has been returned un-served with the remarks "left".


3. I have carefully perused the order dated 06.02.2006. Having gone through the record hereof I find no order as such made by the Tribunal at any time in the past. I, therefore, think that the reference to the matter being pending before the Hon'ble High Court was apparently in relation to the O.A. in which the facts may be identical but no order as such was apparently made in this O.A. itself. It is therefore, made clear that on the next date if the applicant remains absent and / or unrepresented an appropriate order would be made.

4. Adjourned to 31.03.2016.


(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

DATE: 10/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) 

APPEARANCE:

Applicant: None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 31/3/16

prk