

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.1062 of 2019

Dr. B. T. Karavekar

)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Applicant
Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.12.2019.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As observed by this Tribunal in its earlier orders dated 07.11.2019, 21.11.2019 and 29.11.2019 that this is a classic case of total negligence, lethargy and blatant violation of the orders passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 decided on 22.11.2010.

3. In O.A. No.628/2010 the Applicant had challenged the suspension order. O.A.No.628/2010 was decided by this Tribunal on 22.11.2010 with direction to the Respondents to complete the D.E. within three months and failing to which the Applicant would be entitled for reinstatement in service. As D.E. could not be completed within time granted by this Tribunal, the Applicant was reinstated in service and stands retired on 31.05.2018.

4. After retirement also no steps are taken by the Respondents to finalize the D.E. as well as to grant retiral benefits, and therefore, he has filed the present O.A.

5. Thus what transpires that despite specific directions given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 by order dated 22.11.2010, D.E. was not completed within three months or then thereafter within reasonable time.

6. This Tribunal has also noted that Enquiry Officer had submitted the report on 19.03.2018 addressed to Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai which was allegedly not traceable in the department. This action shows irresponsible manner of working of the department.
 7. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 21.11.2019 Shri Pradeep Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Dept. Mantralaya, Mumbai has filed affidavit stating that now efforts are being made to search and collect the copy of enquiry report and on tracing the same, necessary action would be taken.
 8. Learned P.O. today submits that enquiry report is obtained and show cause notice is issued to the Applicant on 27.11.2019 calling his explanation on or before 15.12.2019. She, submits that on receipt of explanation of the Applicant further necessary steps would be taken to pass further orders in D.E. soon.
 9. After retirement provisional pension was granted till November 2018 and no further provisional pension was granted onwards. However, today learned P.O. submits that now, the file is being processed for continuation of further provisional pension.
 10. As such, it is explicit that only after filing of O.A. the department has woke up and initiated some action.
 11. The fact remains that there is total disobedience rather blatant disregard to the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.628/2010. Though the period of eight years is over till date no final order is passed in D.E. which ought to have been passed within three months from 22.11.2010.
 12. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that because of inordinate and unreasonable delay in completion of D.E., he is filing independent proceeding to challenge continuation of D.E. This situation arose because of negligence and total inaction on the part of concerned officials who failed to see that the D.E. is completed within three months in terms of order passed by this
-

Tribunal or thereafter within reasonable period. In fact, there are various Government Circulars and Government Resolutions which mandates completion of D.E. within a year and it also provides where the D.E. is not completed within stipulated period, the concerned department is required to obtain extension from Competent Authority. In the present case, there is absolutely nothing to show that extension was asked for or granted. Suffice to say, the order passed by this Tribunal as well as Government's Circulars are defied with impunity. This aspect is noted by this Tribunal in various matters.

13. In view of above, it is imperative to direct the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya Mumbai to cause inquiry as to who are responsible for not completing of D.E. as directed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010. Mere, submission that now the D.E. will be completed soon, will not absolve the concerned officials from their liability as well as penal consequences for contempt of the order of the Tribunal.

14. Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, is therefore, directed to cause enquiry into the matter to find out who are responsible for not completing the D.E. within stipulated time given by the Tribunal and what action is proposed against the concerned errant officials. He should file the Affidavit on 20.12.2019 without fail.

15. Registrar is further directed to send the copy of this order to Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra for information and necessary action, as he deems fit.

16. S.O. to 20.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.944 of 2019</p> <p>M. S. Jawanjal-PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken on board for speaking to minutes to correct the typographic error crop up in order dated 03.12.2019. In order dated 03.12.2019, in Para No.10, date of grant of interim relief is shown as 25.02.2018 instead of 25.02.2019. This is only correction.</p> <p>3. In view of above, date 25.02.2018 in Para No.10 be corrected and read as 25.02.2019. Necessary correction be carried out.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 09.12.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.517 of 2019 in O.A.No.951 of 2019</p> <p>B. R. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Applicant has filed O.A.No.951/2019 for direction to release retiral benefits along with M.A.No.517/2019 for condonation of delay of one year and nine months caused in filing O.A. 3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2016 but his retiral benefits were withheld due to punishment of Criminal Case. He was acquitted in Criminal Case on 18.07.2016. The Applicant had issued notice through Counsel on 16.07.2019 for grant of retiral benefits but in vain. 4. Thus, in view of acquittal in Criminal Case and retirement of the Applicant in 2016, there seems to be no legal hurdle for grant of retiral benefits to the Applicant but he is deprived of it from 2016. 5. As per contents of the notice issued through Advocate, it seems that there was Departmental Enquiry initiated as well as completed but thereafter no steps were taken for passing final order in D.E. It is thus obvious that the Applicant is deprived of retiral benefits for more than three years due to non completion of D.E. 6. In M.A., no reply is filed though enough chances are availed. 7. In present situation, withholding of retiral benefits can be said continuous cause of action. Therefore, I am inclined to condone the delay. 8. Delay caused in filing O.A.No.951/2019 is condoned. 9. Misc. Application is allowed with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">1 Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.12.2019.

O.A.No.546 of 2016

A. L. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Kori holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit along with annexures. It is taken on record.

3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 01.01.2020

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.902 of 2019</p> <p>M. W. BhoganApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for filing reply in connected O.A.Nos.901, 1010 and 1011/2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1182 of 2019</p> <p>T. Y. GoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present O.A., challenge is to the suspension order dated 01.10.2018. 3. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order on the ground that the prolong suspension which is more than one year is illegal and no decision is taken by the Government to review the suspension. 4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions and apprise the Tribunal as to whether or not suspension matter of the Applicant was placed before the Review Committee and to inform about the same on the next date. 5. In the meantime, Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2019. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O. to 16.12.2019. Steno copy is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.12.2019.

M. A. No.664 of 2019 In O. A. No.1132 of 2019

K. S. GhongadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 07.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.251 of 2019</p> <p>D. S. SabaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. B. Nikhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel appeared for Respondent No.4 and sought time to file Vakalatnama as well as to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing on 10.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.213 of 2017</p> <p>A. S. GitayApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.3. At the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted.4. S.O. to 16.12.2019 as Part-Heard. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.951 of 2019</p> <p>B. R. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. for condonation of delay is allowed as per order passed in M.A.No.517/2019, today.</p> <p>3. In view of the observation made by this Tribunal in order passed today in M.A.517/2019, it is necessary to know why retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld for three years.</p> <p>4. Respondent No.3 i.e. S.D.D. is, therefore, directed to depute the responsible officer from his office to remain present in the Tribunal on 16.12.2019 to know that why retiral benefits are withheld for such a long time.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 16.12.2019.</p> <p>12. Steno copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MA.1/19 in OA.2/19, MA.550/19 in OA.989/19, MA.239/19 in OA.436/19, MA.469/19 in OA.859/19, MA.471/19 in OA.860/19, MA.213/19 in OA.402/19 & MA.236/19 in OA.433/19</u></p> <p>R.S. Bhagwat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondent no.1 (Water Resources Department) is directed to file combined affidavit in reply in all these OAs in the light of communication dated 21.8.2019 from Irrigation Department addressed to all Superintending Engineers to submit details pertaining to various applicants.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 13.1.2020. Ld. CPO is directed to bring it to the notice of the respondents for filing their report.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.988 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.Y. Mokashi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 13.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.691 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.C. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 16.12.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A. No.950 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Inamke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 6.1.2020 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.729 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she has received parawise remarks and seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 6.1.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.630 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.M. Gayhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate adjourned to 16.12.2019. Matter would not be extended beyond 16.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.965 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.J. Pagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.13 of 2019 in O.A. No.35 of 2019</u></p> <p>Y.L. Dharavane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply would be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any. Place the above matter for final hearing on 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.1137 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.J. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any. Place the above matter for final hearing on 6.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.50 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.T. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 3.1.2020 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixif) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.800 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a communication from Home Department addressed to Director General of Police directing to take suitable action as per the prevailing rules. Ld. PO on instructions submits that she may be granted three weeks for reporting progress.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA No.590 of 2019 in OA. No.800 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Kulkarni ..Intervener And P.H. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Intervener and Advocate for the Intervener are absent. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time to file reply and Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply in the MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MAs. No.192 to197 of 2019 in OAs. No.371 to 376 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.T. Sawarkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 6.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.399 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Ingole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Arvind G. Awasarmol files joint Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant and seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.525 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Nirmale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant is present. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks adjournment for filing reply regarding discrimination compared to Dr. Warkhe.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vaibhav Birmole, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.12.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.550 of 2019 in O.A. No.989 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.L. Navghane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice in MA returnable on 13.1.2020. This MA is for condoning delay of 5 years and 4 months.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier, and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MAs. No.192 to197 of 2019 in OAs. No.371 to 376 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.T. Sawarkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 6.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.12.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>