

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.10.2019

M.A.No.523 of 2019 in O.A.No.882 of 2019

K.K. Bangar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Drs. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. This is M.A. for modification of interim relief, accordingly in O.A.No.882 of 2019 dated 16.09.2019, paragraph 3 of the same reads as under :-

"In the interest of justice and equity the order dated 16.03.2019 is stayed till filing of the reply."

3. During hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the impugned order at Exhibit A, page 24 of the O.A. was dated 14.08.2019 remained to be mentioned inadvertently. As a result the Respondents have terminated the services of the Applicant.

4. Respondents were required to file reply on 01.10.2019. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Vijaykumar P. Soshte, Under Secretary, G.A.D. Mantralaya submits that the reply would be filed on 22.10.2019.

5. In view thereof interim relief is modified to include the impugned order dated 14.08.2019 and accordingly the order dated 14.08.2019 is stayed till 22.10.2019.

6. Respondents to file reply and provide copy at the earliest to learned Advocate for the Applicant.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

8. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.624 of 2019</u>
	B.C. Tribhuvan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the name of respondent no.1 and submits that he will carry out the amendment during the course of the day.
	3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and served on all the respondents.
	4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply till 16.10.2019.
	5. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
	Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019
(sg)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.427 of 2019</u>
	M.P. Mohite & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant would apply for documents under RTI and seeks time to file rejoinder till 11.11.2019.
	3. S.O. to 11.11.2019.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019
	(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.990 of 2019</u>
	K.N. Gawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are present. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment till 18.10.2019 for bringing on record the orders and directions of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in one of the cases against the applicant.
	3. S.O. to 18.10.2019.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019
	(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.400 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. C.D. Chaptre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.185 of 2019 in O.A. No.347 of 2019</u>
	P.A. Gangarde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. This MA is for condonation of delay and OA is for compassionate appointment. Ld. PO submits that she will file reply in MA as well as OA.
	3. The respondents are directed to file reply in MA as well as OA positively by 8.11.2019.
	4. S.O. to 8.11.2019 by way of last chance. No further extension will be granted.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019
(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.398 of 2019</u>
	Dr. R.N. Saapkale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO submits that reply would be filed by 14.10.2019.
	3. S.O. to 14.10.2019.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019
	(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr D.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vaibhav Birmule, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2019 for filing reply by way of last chance. No further extension will be granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N.*Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.10.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.882 of 2019</p> <p>K.K. Bangar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.523 of 2019, O.A.No.882 of 2019 is adjourned to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p>

prk

Date : 09.10.2019.

O. A. No.985 of 2019

Dr. S. P. Godbole

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 04.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Date : 09.10.2019.

O. A. No.986 of 2019

M. D. Karande

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant Ms N. G. Gohad, and, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.987 of 2019</p> <p>R. T. KaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.11.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 06.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>D.A.No.547 of 2019</p> <p>Dr.V.V. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. None for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply. I am, therefore, not inclined to give more time.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.770 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.716 of 2018</p> <p>N. K. KravaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the last date, the matter was adjourned to file Rejoinder. However, no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is admitted and adjourned for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.772 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. KapareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.906 of 2019 with O.A. No.927 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. Magdum R. P. MoreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 in O.A.906/2019.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.10.2019 for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.698 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.J. Mane Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.<li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.<li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.<li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.<li>8. S.O. to 19.11.2019. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 09.10.2019

O.A.No.699 of 2019

Dr. A.R. Jadhav Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Date : 09.10.2019

O.A.No.980 of 2019

S.D. Shelke Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Appointment should be subject to the clearance by this Tribunal.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
10. S.O. to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1172 of 2016 (Subject : D.E.)</p> <p>S.M. Govande Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during hearing learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents produces copy of communication dated 28.09.2019 issued by Respondent No.1 (State Government) withdrawing the charges and charge-sheet against the Applicant. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri S.B. Deshpande for the Applicant submits that in view of the above communication the O.A. does not survive and therefore may be disposed off.</p> <p>4. O.A. is therefore disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 09.10.2019

M.A.No.521 of 2019 in O.A.No.962 of 2016

M.P. Khadilkar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents waives services.
9. S.O. to 19.11.2019.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.955 of 2019</p> <p>Or. S.S. Rajderkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Sarang Pathak, learned Advocate holding for Shri G. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.11.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. In case the post of Dean is to be filled in before 05.11.2019, clearance should be obtained from this Tribunal, as far as candidate is concerned. In case reply is not received by 05.11.2019 as mentioned above, Tribunal will proceed further for interim relief. 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. 10. S.O. to 05.11.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Oixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.52 of 2019</p> <p>P.V. Shelke Patil & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.158 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. Putta Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant files rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted. To be heard in due course, with liberty to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate)

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.614 of 2019</p> <p>K.R. Hire Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. files reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder. To be taken in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appaarence, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.10.2019

O.A.No.538 of 2019

P.D. Gaikwad Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondents no.2 and 4. They are taken on record.

3. Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder. To be taken in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Date : 09.10.2019

O.A.No.482 of 2019 with M.A.No.530 of 2019

B.M. Yadav Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri A.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate with Ms. S. Joshi, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5.

2. Today during hearing learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan for the Applicant submits that she has no objection if the result of the post in question is declared by Respondent No.2 (M.P.S.C). She further submits that the appointment further should be subject to clearance by this Tribunal i.e. appointment should not be made without particular approval of this Tribunal.

3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that once the result is published by Respondent No.2 (M.P.S.C.), Respondent No.1 (State Government) shall scrutinize the experience and other relevant documents before making appointment as is the procedure.

4. All the objections raised in this O.A. by the Applicant should be considered by Respondent No.1 and satisfy the Tribunal before making appointment. O.A. is kept pending as per request of the learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan for the Applicant.

5. In view of the above, in the meantime Respondent No.2 (M.P.S.C.) is permitted to declare result.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

7. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.408 of 2019</p> <p>D. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.4 and 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.408 of 2019</p> <p>D. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.4 and 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.408 of 2019</p> <p>D. R. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.4 and 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p>

vsm

Date : 09.10.2019.

O.A.No.523 of 2018 with M.A.532 of 2018 in M.A.471 of
2018 in O.A.523 of 2018

G. V. Zunjar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. M. Kamtan , learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 4. None for Respondent No.5.
2. In the present matter the documents which were required to be produced along with application form for the post of Police Patil were not submitted along with an application but seems to have been produced later on.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the documents were to be submitted along with an application and cut-off date was 02.12.2017 and interviews were taken on 21.12.2017. Whereas, the copy of Non Creamy Layer Certificate reveals that it was issued by the concerned authority on 30.12.2017. The appointment order was issued on 01.03.2018.
4. However, in reply in Paragraph No.5 - S.O.O., Solapur Shri Shivaji Jagtap states that documents were submitted by the Respondent No.5 on the date of interview and the same were accepted.
5. As stated above, Non Creamy Layer Certificate itself was issued on 30.12.2017, and therefore, question comes how those documents were accepted at the time of interview held on 21.12.2017 as the document itself was not in existence on the date of interview.
6. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to clarify the position and to file affidavit of S.D.O. to clarify the facts.
7. S.O. to 11.10.2019 as Part-Heard.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.549 of 2019 in O.A.No.339 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S. S. Jatkar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Present M.A. is filed by learned C.P.O. for four weeks extension to implement the order passed by this Tribunal in O.a.No.339/2019 on 11.09.2019. The order passed in O.a.No.339/2019 is as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“7. In view of above, present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions as D.E. is on the verge of completion.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">8. Respondent is directed to pass final order in D.E. in accordance to rules within four weeks from today.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">9. If the final order is not passed within four weeks from today, the suspension of the Applicant will be deemed to be revoked and the Applicant will be entitled for reinstatement in service, subject to further outcome of D.E..</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">10. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.”</p> <p>3. Now, the present M.A. is filed for four weeks extension on the ground that the file is under process and is sent to M.P.S.C. for its concurrence for imposing punishment as required in law.</p>
--	---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

4. Learned C.P.O., therefore, requested for four weeks extension.

5. Per contra, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) submits that time granted by the Tribunal has expired yesterday and already enough time is availed by the State.

6. Thus, what transpires that after the Tribunal has passed the order, D.E. is completed and file is submitted to M.P.S.C. for concurrence. As such, the matter is already under process and expedited.

7. In view of above, I am inclined to grant two weeks time from today to pass final order in D.E. If no final order is passed within two weeks from today then suspension of the Applicant will be deemed to be revoked and the Applicant will be entitled to reinstatement in service, subject to further order of D.E.

8. Misc. Application is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.120 of 2018 in O.A. No.61 of 2018 with
M.A. No.121 of 2018 in O.A. No.98 of 2018 and
M.A. No.122 of 2018 in O.A. No.99 of 2018

The Director/Secretary, Maharashtra State
Board of Technical Education ..Applicant
(Orig. Res No.1)

Vs.

J.R. Pingle & Ors.
S.B. More & Ors.
P.Y. Dalvi & Ors. ..Respondents
(Orig. Applicants)

And

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Orig. Respondents

Heard Shri K.V. Bhanage, learned Advocate for
Applicant No.1-original Respondent No.1 and Ms. Neelima
Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
Respondents-original Applicants submits that he has given
no objection for withdrawal of his appearance to the
respondents-original applicants and he may be discharged
from the above matters.

3. Shri Vijay Kurle and Shri Shivraj Kunchge, learned
Advocates files their Vakalatnama in OAs. No.98/2018 and
No.99/2018 and undertakes to files Vakalatnama in OA
No.61 of 2018 on behalf of original Applicants.

4. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate is
discharged from OAs No.98/18 and 99/18.

5. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N.¹Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
9.10.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.804 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. K. S. DeshpandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.804/2019 is disposed of by this Tribunal on 20.09.2019 with directions to the Respondents to pass appropriate posting order within two weeks from the date of order. However, no posting order is issued, and therefore, learned Counsel for the Applicant has again moved the Tribunal with request to initiate contempt proceeding against the Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. In the present matter, the Applicant is left without posting for more than four months and despite the interim orders as well as final order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.804/2019, no remedial measures are taken. Indeed, in O.A. the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department had filed an Affidavit on 13.09.2019 and tendered unconditional apology. He admits that there is failure on part of his office to verify the fact before issuance of order of the transfer of the Applicant. The Applicant was transferred by order dated 31.05.2019 and directed to join as Medical Officer, Shelpimpalgaon, Tal. Khed, Dist. Pune. However, when he went to join at the place of posting given to him, it was noticed that another person Shri Rajendra Mohite was already posted there by order dated 11.10.2018 and was working since 20.10.2018. As such, it was the case of transfer without verifying whether the post on which the Applicant was transferred is really vacant.
4. As stated above, the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department admits that the office has not verified the facts, and therefore, wrong posting order was issued. It is on this background, the Tribunal has disposed of the O.A. on 20.09.2019 with directions to pass appropriate order of posting within two weeks. At the time to passing of the order, the Tribunal was also inclined to impose the cost but the cost was not imposed in view of submission made by the learned C.P.O. that posting order will be issued soon.
5. Despite aforesaid position, the posting order is not issued. It is thus apparent that the Respondents have no regard to the order passed by the Tribunal and they are taking the orders of the Tribunal casually. This needs to be handled sternly.
6. In view of above, issue notice to Principal Secretary, Public Health Department as to why contempt proceedings should not be initiated against him returnable on 15.10.2019.
7. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 09.10.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.509 of 2019</p> <p>P. S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the suspension order dated 06.03.2019 whereby the Applicant who was serving on the post of Warden was suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. It appears that he was suspended for failure to maintain and produce relevant record in the matter of enquiry against Smt. Khairnar.</p> <p>3. After suspension, the Applicant made representation to reinstate him in service but in vain. Therefore, ultimately he has filed the present O.A. challenging the suspension order contending that same is illegal.</p> <p>4. Though enough chances are granted to the Respondents, no reply is filed in D.A.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has produced the order dated 21.07.2019 which shows that suspension of the Applicant is revoked and he is reinstated in service. However, while giving posting, he was posted as Warden, Government Tribal Boys Hostel, Alangun, Tal. Surgana, Dist. Nashik whereas at the time of suspension he was Warden at Boys Hostel, Manur, Tal. Kalwan, Dist. Nashik.</p>

6. Having heard the submissions advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, the present matter can be disposed of with suitable directions as the Applicant is already reinstated in service and at the same time no reply is filed by the Respondents to challenge the suspension.

7. Needless to mention that in case of suspension, the Disciplinary Authority is required to complete the D.E. within six months which is not followed in the present matter. Even it is not clear whether the department intend to initiate the charge sheet against the Applicant. This being the position, the matter cannot be kept in abeyance and the Disciplinary Authority is required to decide whether to initiate D.E. and if decides to initiate the D.E. then it should be completed expeditiously so that it has taken to the logical conclusion.

8. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs with following directions. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

(A) Respondent No.1 who is Disciplinary Authority is directed to take decision as to whether he wants to initiate D.E. against the Applicant within two months from today. If, he decides to initiate D.E. against the Applicant then charge sheet be issued immediately and D.E. should be completed within four months thereafter.

(B) If the Respondent No.1 fails to take decision of initiation of D.E. within two months, in that event, D.E. deem to have been dropped and the Applicant will be entitled to posting at the same place.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

vsm