

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.03.2020's orders

**O.A.No.938 of 2019 with M.A.No.537 of 2019**

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

The Tribunal by order dated 23.09.2019 passed reasoned order staying the implementation of impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019 whereby, the Applicant was transferred from Thane to Brihanmumbai.

In pursuance of order passed by this Tribunal on 23.09.2019, the Commissioner, Food and Drugs Department by order dated 15.10.2019 posted the Applicant on vacant post Thane-68 and since then he is working there.

Learned C.P.O. submits that the order of Commissioner dated 15.10.2019 is placed at the Government level for approval. She submits that if the Government accords approval to the order dated 15.10.2019, in that event, grievances of the Applicant as well Respondent No.2 may not survive. As this Tribunal had granted interim relief on 23.09.2019, the O.A. deserves to be disposed of on merit but delayed because of subsequent development of giving posting to the Applicant at Thane -68 and approval to the same by Government, which is taking time. This Tribunal while granting interim relief observed that no special case or reason was forthcoming to displace the Applicant in mid-tem transfer. It is the matter of record.

In view of above, three weeks time is granted as a last chance to Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate order of approval or otherwise, as the case may be about given posting to the Applicant at Thane-68, failing in which, the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 23.09.2019 will be deemed to have made absolute on the expiration of three weeks from today.

Original Application is accordingly disposed of. Likewise Misc. Application is also disposed of.

No order as to cost.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------	-------------------

**Date : 09.03.2020**

**O.A.No.912 of 2019**

**(with)**

**M.A. No.527 of 2019**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

In this O.A., the Tribunal has passed reasoned order on 17.09.2019 thereby staying the effect on operation of impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019.

However, later by virtue of order passed by the Commissioner on 03.10.2019, the Applicant has been posted as Assistant Commissioner (Food), Zone 6, Mumbai for the time being. The Applicant has already made representation on 23.09.2019 to confirm his posting at the said place or to transfer him to Zone -5, Mumbai. In view of this subsequent development, the matter was adjourned from time to time to facilitate the Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate order. However, till date no order is passed and matter is in abeyance at the level of Government.

Learned C.P.O. again requested for three weeks time so that necessary orders can be passed at the level of Government and grievances of the Applicant as well as Respondent No.2 may not survive.

Though, already enough chances are given to Respondent No.1, I am inclined to grant three weeks time as a last chance for Respondent No.1 to pass appropriate order on the representation made by the Applicant referred to above or about the order passed by the Commissioner on 03.10.2019 thereby posting the Applicant as Assistant Commissioner (Food), Zone-6, Mumbai. If no order is passed within three weeks from today, in that event interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 17.09.2019 will deem to be made absolute without any reference to the court.

Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of.

In view of above, Misc. Application does not survive, therefore, disposed of.

No order as to cost.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member(J)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.03.2020

**O.A.No.895 of 2019**

Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. The Respondent No.2 and his Counsel are absent.

In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.09.2019 which has been stayed by this Tribunal by way of interim relief on 11.09.2019. While passing the order, this Tribunal has observed that within three days the Applicant was abruptly transferred from the post Sub-Division Officer, Satana, Baglan, Dist. Nashik to the post of Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition -1, Dhule.

After issuance of notices, enough time is availed by the Respondents to file reply and to contest the O.A. However, no reply is filed. Thus, it is obvious that Respondents have no ground to resist the O.A., and therefore, no reply is filed till date.

Learned C.P.O. submits that appropriate order be passed.

As such, there is no escape from the conclusion that impugned transfer order is in contravention of provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005) and interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 11.09.2019 deserves to be made absolute. There being no change to the grounds raised in O.A. which is already prima-facie, accepted by the Tribunal.

In view of above, impugned transfer order dated 09.09.2019 qua the Applicant is quashed and set aside.

No order as to cost.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2020</p> <p align="center"><b>R. A. No.05 of 2020 in O.A.No.1007 of 2018</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant in R.A., Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1007/2018.</li> <li>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder in R.A. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply to R.A.</li> <li>4. The Tribunal in O.A.No.1007/2018 by order dated 16.12.2019 quashed and set aside the suspension of the Applicant Shri Himmat Sapale, Range Forest Officer, Wada and directions were issued to reinstate him on the same post. The order passed by this Tribunal on 16.12.2019 is not challenged by the Government and had attained finality. The Applicant in R.A., Shri Sandeep Choure claims to have been affected by the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1007/2018 on 16.12.2019 contending that he is already posted on the post held by the Ori. Applicant, and therefore, he is apprehending his shifting. It appears that during the pendency of O.A.No.1007/2018, the Applicant in R.A, Shri Sandeep Choure was promoted by order dated 31.08.2019 as an ad-hoc promotion and posted in place of the Ori. Applicant. Now, in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 16.12.2019, the Applicant Shri Himmat Sapale is required to be reposted on the same post held by him before suspension.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Indeed, it is for the Respondents to implement the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1007/2018 on 16.12.2019 as the same has already attained finality. In its implementation, shifting of the Applicant Shri Sandeep Choure is required, therefore, it is for the Respondents to give another suitable posting to the Applicant Shri Sandeep Choure.

6. Shri Arvind Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant submits that some posts of Range Forest Officers are still vacant and available at Panvel, Dist. Raigad, Nagothane, Dist. Raigad. He further submits that there may be some other posts available where the Applicant in R.A., Shri Sandeep Choure can be posted.

7. In view of above, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents directed to take instructions and to apprise the Tribunal as to whether Applicant in R.A., Shri Sandeep Choure can be accommodated at other place.

8. S.O. to 12.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 09.03.2020

O.A.No.188 of 2018

1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Leaving Certificate of middle school of the Applicant in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 03.03.2020 along with an Affidavit. It is taken on record.
3. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that the office of Commissioner of Police, Pune (Respondent No.1) had made reference to Director General of Police (Respondent No.2) on 14.12.2017 and sought necessary directions in respect of the request of the Applicant for change of date of birth in service record. However, it appears that till date, no decision is taken by the Competent Authority. In the meantime, the Applicant stands retired on attaining the age of superannuation on the basis of date of birth, recorded in the service book. As such, even if he is retired, in case, his claimed for change in date of birth is allowed, he would be entitled to pay and allowances of the relevant period. Therefore, the matter in issue needs to be decided on merit.
4. However, there is no final decision of the Competent Authority on the proposal forwarded by the Commissioner of Police to the Director General of Police.
5. Learned P.O. submits that she needs short time to verify from the record as to whether any such final decision is taken or not.
6. Reply filed by the Respondents is silent about the final decision, if any.
7. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 12.03.2020 as Part-Heard.

Sd/-

V  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="756 637 1003 670"><b>Date : 09.03.2020</b></p> <p data-bbox="1003 698 1274 732"><b>O.A.No.735 of 2019</b></p> <ol data-bbox="756 802 1529 1417" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="756 802 1529 1041">1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li data-bbox="756 1079 1529 1240">2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record.</li><li data-bbox="756 1263 1529 1362">3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li data-bbox="756 1384 1141 1417">4. S.O. to 16.03.2020.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1239 1477 1300 1510" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1162 1557 1377 1632" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="768 1650 813 1672">vsm</p>

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="727 586 982 621"><b>Date : 09.03.2020</b></p> <p data-bbox="971 659 1252 694"><b>O.A.No.246 of 2019</b></p> <ol data-bbox="727 769 1502 1216" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="727 769 1502 902">1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li data-bbox="727 940 1502 1161">2. Today, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in-Sur-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, separately. It is taken on record.</li><li data-bbox="727 1183 1096 1216">3. S.O. to 16.03.2020.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1177 1271 1242 1338" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1112 1360 1323 1448" style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p data-bbox="714 1437 763 1470">vsm</p>



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 09.03.2020**

**O.A.No.667 of 2019**

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P.Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned for final hearing on 30.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No. 138 of 2017</u></p> <p>H.B. Surawanshi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received necessary details from the respondents under R11 and he proposes to bring the same on record.</p> <p>3. As per his request S.O. to 23.3.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(Sgd)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 09.03.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.152 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit of Dr. Nitin Kareer, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai in terms of order passed by this Tribunal dated 20.02.2020. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The Applicant had earlier challenged the suspension by filing O.A. No.172/2019 which was disposed of by this Tribunal on 24.04.2019 thereby giving directions to the Respondents to take review of the suspension of the Applicant within six weeks from the date of order. As such, in terms of decision passed by the Tribunal, appropriate order of revocation of suspension or continuation of suspension, as the case may be, ought to have been issued latest by the end of June, 2019. However, no decision was taken within time given by this Tribunal.</li> <li>4. It is only by the decision dated 25.06.2019, the Review Committee decided to revoke the suspension and to reinstate the Applicant in service. Accordingly, by order dated 09.08.2019, the Government has passed the order of reinstatement. As such, there is a delay at every stage.</li> <li>5. Apart, what is appalling to note that even after issuance of order passed by the Government on 09.08.2019, no steps were taken in the right earnest for issuance of posting order. Ultimately, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. for directions to issue posting order and thereafter only some steps were taken in this regard. <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Respondents sought to justify the delay in the affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai stating that report from the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Record, Pune was called by letter dated 29.08.2019 and the same was received on 30.11.2019. As such, there is a delay of three months for receipt of report from the Settlement Commissioner. Even thereafter also, the matter was kept in cold storage and it is only on 10.02.2020, the Civil Services Board made recommendations regarding posting of the Applicant. Ultimately, it is only on 26.02.2020, the Applicant has been given posting on vacant post on the establishment of Special Deputy Superintendent of Land Records (Gaonthan), Gaganbawada, Dist.Kolhapur.</p> <p>7. Thus, even from the date of issuance of Government order dated 09.08.2019, there is a delay of more than six months for issuance of posting orders. It is stated in the affidavit that the period from 09.08.2019 to 26.02.2020 will be treated as duty period. As such, it is because of delay at every stage, now pay and allowances for more than six months period are required to be paid from the Government ex-chequer which is public money. In other words, even if no work is done by the Applicant, now Government is required to pay allowances from tax payer's money. Thus, laxity and want of due diligence in administration is obvious.</p> <p>8. In view of above, it is incumbent on the part of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to look into the matter and fix the responsibilities for such lapses so that public money is not being wasted. In terms of above, Additional Chief Secretary, Revue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai shall file affidavit on next date.</p> <p>9. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 23.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="737 515 922 539">Date : 09.03.2020</p> <p data-bbox="938 566 1154 590">O. A. No.203 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="737 648 1357 743">1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li data-bbox="737 776 1357 836">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2020.</li><li data-bbox="737 869 1357 964">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li data-bbox="737 997 1357 1167">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li data-bbox="737 1201 1357 1329">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li data-bbox="737 1362 1357 1532">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li data-bbox="737 1566 1357 1736">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li data-bbox="737 1747 997 1771">8. S.O. to 07.04.2020.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1045 1809 1203 1935" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p data-bbox="727 1937 764 1962">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.03.2020

O.A.No.72 of 2019

1. Heard Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 08.04.2020.

Sd/-

v  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2. E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.03.2020

O.A.No.942 of 2019

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit of Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to explain the constitution of Civil Services Board which recommended transfer of the Applicant. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 11.03.2020 for final hearing at the stage of admission.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.154 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. again is another example of exhibiting laxity and total inaction on the part of concerned to take departmental action in the matter of departmental proceeding which needs to be looked into seriously.</li> <li>3. The Applicant was suspended by order dated 02.08.2016 in contemplation of D.E. Later by order dated 01.02.2019, the suspension was revoked and Applicant was reinstated in service. However, no further steps were taken for initiation of D.E. As the Applicant is retiring at the end of May, 2020, he has filed the present O.A. under apprehension that his retiral benefits will be withheld for long period on the ground of proposed D.E.</li> <li>4. Thus, what emerges from the record that though the suspension order dated 02.08.2016 has been revoked in 2019, no steps were taken for initiation of D.E. despite various Government Circulars which inter-alia mandates that in case of suspension of the employee, D.E. should be completed within six months. Surprisingly in the present matter, the charge sheet itself was not issued for years together.</li> <li>5. It is only after filing of the present O.A., when notices were issued to the Respondents, some steps seems to have been taken to initiate the D.E.. Thus, breach of various Government Circulars as well as inaction of the part of Respondents to initiate the D.E. is obvious. The Additional Chief Secretary, Agriculture Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is, therefore, directed to file the Affidavit to explain the delay in initiation of D.E. so that further appropriate orders in the matter of pensionary benefits of the Applicant can be passed.</li> <li>6. Hamdast granted.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 23.03.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="743 510 932 539">Date : 09.03.2020</p> <p data-bbox="954 566 1156 595">O. A. No.40 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="743 676 1365 805">1. Heard Shri Gaurav. A. Bandiwadekar holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li data-bbox="743 838 1365 898">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2020.</li> <li data-bbox="743 931 1365 1026">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li data-bbox="743 1059 1365 1229">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li data-bbox="743 1263 1365 1391">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li data-bbox="743 1424 1365 1590">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li data-bbox="743 1623 1365 1789">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without referende to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li data-bbox="743 1822 993 1851">8. S.O. to 07.04.2020.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="1040 1866 1198 2004" style="text-align: right;"> <sup>N</sup>  Sd/-  <sup>V</sup>  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p data-bbox="716 2004 756 2026">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="716 524 902 551">Date : 09.03.2020</p> <p data-bbox="919 573 1133 600">O. A. No.199 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="716 656 1341 757">1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li data-bbox="716 786 1341 848">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.04.2020.</li> <li data-bbox="716 878 1341 978">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li data-bbox="716 1008 1341 1180">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li data-bbox="716 1209 1341 1346">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li data-bbox="716 1375 1341 1547">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li data-bbox="716 1576 1341 1749">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li data-bbox="716 1778 1000 1805">8. S.O. to 07.04.2020.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="1052 1823 1211 1962" style="text-align: right;">       Sd/-        (A.P. Kurhekar)        Member(J)     </p> <p data-bbox="732 1973 773 1995">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.561 of 2019 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.E. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to file short affidavit enclosing chart of service details of the applicant as PSL, ASI, Head Constable.</p> <p>3. Id. PO mentions that he would file short affidavit enclosing order of the Hon'ble High Court passed in writ petition challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1128 of 2013 etc.</p> <p>4. By consent S.O. to 23.3.2020. Steno copy granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.79 of 2020 in O.A. No.394 of 2019</u></p> <p>Smt. H. H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for bringing the son of deceased applicant as heir on record of OA.</p> <p>3. On instructions the Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he would produce the details of his present assignments.</p> <p>4. As per his request S.O. to 7.4.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.12 of 2017 in O.A. No.808 of 2016</u></p> <p>J.M. Gurav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Dhongade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that he would produce necessary record along with its receipts on the next date. At his request adjourned to 20.4.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.691 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.C. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO submits that she would file reply as she has received the perawise remarks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.781 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.R. Ghate .....Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I/d. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 706 of 2019 in O.A. No. 293 of 2017</u></p> <p>The Commissioner of Police &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. S.M. Sawant ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that W.P. No.3527 of 2020 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, nothing survives in the MA and the same is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.988 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.Y. Mokashi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.561 of 2019 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.E. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to file short affidavit enclosing chart of service details of the applicant as PSI, ASI, Head Constable.</p> <p>3. I.d. PO mentions that he would file short affidavit enclosing order of the Hon'ble High Court passed in writ petition challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1128 of 2013 etc.</p> <p>4. By consent S.O. to 23.3.2020. Steno copy granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>