

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

M.A. No. 389 of 2017  
in  
O.A.No.884 of 2017

A. P. Hodarkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Not on Board. Production allowed.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for extension of returnable date of notice and for receiving notice.

4. Extension granted.

Sd/-  
(P. N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M. T. Joshi)  
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 9/3/18

CORAM: M.T. Joshi  
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri K. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: P. N. Dixit

Shri/Smt. M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondents

order passed in the  
Tribunal's column.

Adv. To

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

M.A. No. 495 2017  
in  
O.A.No. 1091 of 2017

R. A. Shaikh .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Not on Board. Production allowed.

3. Second notice is hereby waived.

DATE: 9/3/18

CORAM: M.T. Joshi  
Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALHE (Member) A.

APPEARANCE: P.N. DIXIT

Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

order passed in the  
Tribunal's order.

Adj. FO

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M. T. Joshi)  
Vice-Chairman

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Shri. <u>RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. <u>R. B. MALIK (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O/T.P.D. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>order passed in the Tribunal's column.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.03.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.798 of 2018</p> <p>A. R. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Production allowed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for extension of returnable date of notice and for receiving notice.</p> <p>4. Extension granted.</p> <p>Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/3/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. <u>M. T. JOSHI</u> (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. <u>B. MALIK</u> (Member A) <u>P. N. DIXIT</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. <u>V. P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>MS S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O.T.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. TO. <u>M.A. is disposed of</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">vsm</p>	<p><b>Date : 09.03.2018</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.143 of 2018 in O.A.No.525 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>M.R. Desai</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and MS S. P. Manchekar., the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in the Misc. Application, the same is allowed. The respondent no.5 be added as prayed for. The correction can be carried out during the course of the day. Upon addition of the respondent no.5, issue notice to the Respondent No.5 returnable on 12.05.2018.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

O.A.No.106 of 2018

P.D. Jadhav .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By the present application, the applicant is seeking quashing and setting aside the G.R. Dated 25.05.2017, where under no upper age limit is prescribed for appointment of a Legal Advisor on the contract basis with the concerned respondents. It is to be noted that earlier there was age limit of 62. However, in the meantime vide impugned G.R. no upper age limit was prescribed in order to see that more experienced personal from the legal field like retired district judge etc. would be available.

3. The submission of the applicant is that only to accommodate the respondent no.6, the said changes are made. Except bare allegations, we do not find any material in this regard. In these circumstances, the Original Application is dismissed in limine.

DATE: 9/3/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. M.T. Joshi  
RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. P.N. Dixit  
K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is dismissed

in limine.

@rd

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M. T. Joshi)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>9/3/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Shri M.T. Joshi (V/C) Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Humble Shri P.N. Dixit (MIA)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Head appl. in person</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.S.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>20/4/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>12/4/18</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.03.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.417 of 2014</p> <p>V. J. Kulkarni .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the respondents files on record a copy of the decision taken by the State Government dated 01.03.2018. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. A copy is provided to the party in person. Party in person seeks time.</p> <p>4. At the request of party in person, S.O. to 20.04.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>9/3/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <u>Shri M.T. Joshi (Vice)</u> <u>Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (MIA)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>B.H. Nuraldey</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>M.A. is disposed of.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.03.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.133 of 2018 in O.A.No.38 of 2018</p> <p>V.H. Lonare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. B.N. Punalekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc. Application is allowed. The matter is restored. On restoration of O.A.No.38 of 2018, fresh notice be issued to the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.T.JOSHI, J.) VICE-CHAIRMAN</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

O.A.No.38 of 2018

V.H. Lonare & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. B.N. Punalekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.133 of 2018 in O.A.No.38 of 2018, O.A. is restored to its original number.
3. Issue notice returnable on 11.04.2018.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 11.04.2018.

DATE : 9/3/2018

CORAM : Shri M.T. Joshi (V/C)  
Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri P.H. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:

Ms. B.N. Punalekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 11/4/2018

13/4

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
MEMBER (A)

sba

Sd/-

(M.T.JOSHI, J.)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 09.03.2018

O.A.No.971 of 2017

G. Y. Patil ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Y. Malvankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 18.04.2018.

9. In view of the fact that interim relief was granted on 17.11.2017 while issuing notices, the same interim relief in terms of prayer clause IX(e) is hereby granted until further orders.

DATE : 9/3/2018

CORAM : Shri M. T. Joshi (CJ)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Y. Malvankar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 18/4/2018

MTJ

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M. T. Joshi)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>9/3/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Shri M. T. Joshi (CJ) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (MN)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>B. Punalekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>3/4/2018 before</u> <u>Division bench.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.T.</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 09.03.2018</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No. 179 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>A. E. Dahifale</b> .....Applican</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....R spondents.</p> <p>1. This O.A. pertains to the Division Bench as merely recovery is not challenged but the punishment imposed is challenged. Therefore, the matter be kept by the office before the Division Bench.</p> <p>2. Heard Ms B. Punalekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the Rule 18 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (D &amp; A) Rules, 1979, an appeal is provided though the order of punishment might have been passed in the name of the Hon'ble Governor.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions regarding withdrawal, if any. At her request, S.O. to 03.04.2018 for taking instructions as above.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.03.2018

O.A.No.341 of 2017

R. B. Wadile .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant wants to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh application for challenging the punishment orders detached in affidavit in reply.

3. Original Application is allowed to withdraw with liberty as prayed, subject to law of limitation.

**DATE :** 9/3/2018

**CORAM :** Shri M. T. Joshi (V/C)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt : K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to OP is allowed to withdraw.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M. T. Joshi)  
Vice-Chairman

vsm.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> 9/3/2018</p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Shri. M. T. Joshi (VLC) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (JN)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : P. V. Patil Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : Archana B. K. C/O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to : OP is disposed of.</p>	<p>Date : 09.03.2018</p> <p>O.A.No. 1113 of 2016</p> <p>S. K. Patole .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue in the present application is as to whether once the name of the original applicant for employment on compassionate ground is enlisted, the request can made for substitution by the another legal heir; more precisely the substitution of the name of the widow by that name of the son who in the meantime becomes major.</p> <p>3. Both the sides relied on the various judgments of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. We, however, rely on the ratio of Writ Petition No. 7793/2009 (Vinodkumar K. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra and others, dated 09.12.2009). In that case also similar situation was arisen. The hon'ble High Court has directed the respondents to consider the request made by the petitioner's mother to consider the name of the petitioner instead of herself.</p> <p>5. In the circumstance, Original Application is disposed of without any order as to costs. The concerned respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider according to law the request of the present applicant for considering his employment on the compassionate ground instead of his mother.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>9/3/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri M. T. Joshi (V/C)</u> <u>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M.M.)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.</u> <u>O.A. S.O. to 31/4/2018.</u></p> <p><i>YJ</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.03.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.10 of 2018 in O.A.No.191 of 2017</p> <p>M. A. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to carry out the amendment as allowed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Amendment be carried out within one week.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.04.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M. T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.173 of 2018

G.R. Gujrathi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 05.04.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. In the meantime the concerned Respondent is directed to take decision of the matter since the proposal reverted back for more than one time.

9. S.O. to 05.04.2018 for taking decision.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
MEMBER (A)

Sd/-

(M.T.JOSHI, J.)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

sba

DATE : 9/3/2018

CORAM : Shri M.T. Joshi (V/C)

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. H. Dixit (M.H)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/4/2018

*B.T.*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.03.2018

O.A.No.218 of 2016

R.S. Jikare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 seeks time to file documents which will show that the Respondent No.4 has worked with the concerned school prior to receipt of grant in aid of the said school for the period for which the experience certificate was granted to her.

3. Liberty to file documents, if any, to all parties is granted.

4. S.O. to 04.04.2018, for reporting compliance.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
MEMBER (A)

sba

Sd/-

(M.T.JOSHI, J.)  
VICE-CHAIRMAN

DATE: 9/3/18

CORAM:

M.T. Joshi

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) A.

APPEARANCE: P.N. Dixit

Shri/Smt. S.K. Hande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 4/4/18.