

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 658 OF 2017

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Shivaji Mahadeo Bade,)
Craft Instructor, [Draughtsman Civil],)
Government I.T.I, Malegaon,)
Taluka Baramati, Dist-Pune and having)
Address at & post Utkarsh Apartment,)
Flat no. 9, Near Govt. I.T.I College,)
Malegaon, Tal-Baramati, Dist-Pune.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. Government of Maharashtra)
Through Principal Secretary,)
Skill Development & Entrepreneurship)
Department, Mantralya,)
Mumbai 400 032.)
2. Director,)
Vocational Education & Training,)
Maharashtra State, having office at)
3, Mahapalika Marg, Mumbai 400 001.)...**Respondents**

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

DATE : 09.02.2018

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This application was heard for sometime.
3. Applicant has prayed for following relief:-
“15(a) This Hon’ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an order directing the Respondents to consider the representations submitted by the Petitioner dated 17.4.2017 and post the Petitioner as Craft Instructor [Draughtsman Civil] at Government ITI, Shevgaon, Tal-Shevaon, Dist-Ahmednagar, forthwith and on such terms and conditions as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper.”
(Quoted from page 10 of O.A).
4. During the previous hearing, effort was made to find out as to whether applicant can be accommodated by transferring him, as sought.
5. Respondents have come with a plea that there is no candidate serving under the Government on a permanent post to take charge of the work and equipment from the applicant. This fact though debated, is not disputed.

6. All that is urged is that let the charge be given to an ad hoc employee. This request is opposed by the Respondents and is rightly so.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant states in the background that if Original Application is disposed of with prayer (a) without fixing time limit and leaving the applicant's chance open, he is ready to be a fait accompli.

8. With the foregoing observations, present Original Application is disposed of in terms of prayer (a) with rider that applicant's request can be considered as and when possible, and if occasion arises and within absolute discretion of the Respondents.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 09.02.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>9/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resps)</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u> Ld. Adv. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appli)</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>M.A. is rejected.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.02.2018.</p> <p>M.A.No.26 of 2018 in O.A.No.610 of 2017</p> <p>The Secretary,Applicants (Org. Respds.) Versus N.J. BharmaleRespondent (Org. Appli)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Original Respondents) and Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Original Applicant).</p> <p>2. State has filed this application for enlargement of time fixed by this Tribunal in its judgment / order passed in O.A.No.610/2017 on 15.12.2017 and this application is coming up after 2 ½ months.</p> <p>3. The Government's decision issued by the Respondent No.1A to disqualify the candidates for no fault on their part was under challenged and was criticized and set aside by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Present M.A. is coming forward from recruiting authority, while they do not, cannot, ought not have any grievance or lis in the matter.</p> <p>5. In this background, request for grant of extension of time sought by recruiting authority, through present M.A. deserves to be rejected and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>9/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>order is corrected.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>J.H.</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.102 of 2018</p> <p>C.N. ShirsatApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Note for speaking to minutes is accepted.</p> <p>3. Hence, the word "not" be added after the word "is" and before the word "shown" in paragraph 9 of order dated 05.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.02.2018.

O.A.No.334 of 2015 with O.A.No.335 of 2015

S.N. Myana (O.A.No.334/2015)

M.M. Badbe (O.A.No.335/2015)Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Note for speaking to minutes is accepted.

3. Original order passed in O.A.No.334 of 2015 be numbered as order passed in O.A.No.335 of 2015 and visa-versa, and original judgment be transposed and be placed in concerned case as original judgment therein.

DATE : 9/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to order is corrected

MT

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

C.A. 8/2018 FH

Original Application No. 632 of 2017

DISTRICT

Shri V.A. Deshpande

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate Shri L.S. Deshmukh)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

09.02.2018

C.A 08/2018 in O.A No 632/2017

Shri Vijay A. Deshpande ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that the applicant has not served the notice of contempt on the Contemnors personally.

3. In this view of the matter, learned advocate for the applicant prays for permission to withdraw contempt application with liberty to serve personal notice by addressing each of the Contemnors, give 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, then file contempt application.

4. Contempt Application stands disposed of with liberty as above.

DATE : 9/2/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : L.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to CA stands

disposed of.

Handwritten initials

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 09.02.2018.

O.A.No.109 of 2018

V. B. Gaikwad & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record
8. S.O. to 01.03.2018.

DATE: 9/2/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: B.P. Patil

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 1/3/18

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/2/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> B. P. Patil</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: M.A. is allowed. <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.02.2018.</p> <p>M.A. No.62 of 2018 in O.A.No.109 of 2018</p> <p>V. B. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 09.02.2018.

O.A.No.737 of 2012

V. R. Naik

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwkad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the issue regarding grant of promotion to the employees belonging to reserved category has been decided by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay against them. The said decision has been challenged by the State before the Apex Court in S.L.P.No.28306/2017 and same is pending. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and, therefore, he prayed to grant permission to withdraw the present O.A. with liberty to approach the tribunal on final decision of the Apex Court in S.L.P. No.28306.2017.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A., Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with a liberty to applicant to approach this tribunal on final decision in the S.L.P. No. 28306/2017 pending before the Apex Court. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

DATE: 9/2/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: B.P. Patil

Shri/Smt. M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaiwkad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is disposed of

of

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/2/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J.</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> B.P. Patil</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt.:</u> R. Hake-Patil</p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant</u> Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar</p> <p><u>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</u></p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 1/3/18.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 09.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.637 of 2017</p> <p>B. K. KhnadekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. Hake Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to remove objections. 3. S.O. to 01.03.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/2/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J.</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> B. P. Patil</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt.</u> R. Hake Patil Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt.</u> Ms. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> S.O. to 1/3/18..</p> <p></p>	<p>Date : 09.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.913 of 2017</p> <p>B. V. BirajdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. Hake Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to remove objections.3. S.O. to 01.03.2018. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.02.2018.Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.122 of 2018

D. S. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.03.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O. to 08.03.2018.

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

DATE: 9/2/18

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
B. P. Patil

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 8/3/18.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 09.02.2018.
Tribunal's orders

M. A. No. 73 of 2018 In O.A.No.129 of 2018

S. V. Thorat & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Rajole, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants have approached this Tribunal and claimed interim stay to the execution of the order passed by the respondent no.2 to respondent no.7 on 15.12.2017. It is contention of the applicants that they are Zilla Parishad employees and they are challenging the order dated 15.12.2017 issued by the respondent no.2 to respondent no.7.

3. I have heard the learned Advocate for the applicant on the point of jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain the service matter pertaining to Zilla Parishad employees as provided under provision of Section 15(2) of the Administrative Act.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants are Zilla Parishad employees but they are challenging the order dated 15.12.2017 issued by respondent no.2 and, therefore, this tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain the O.A. Therefore, he prayed to entertain the O.A.

5. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the applicants are Zilla Parishad employees and the government has not issued any notification conferring the jurisdiction on the Tribunal to entertain service matters related to Zilla Parishad employees. Therefore, in view of the Section 15(2) of the Administrative Act, the present O.A. is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

6. Admittedly, the applicants are employees of Zilla Parishad. No notification under Section 15(2) of the Administrative Act, 1985 conferring the jurisdiction on this Tribunal to entertain the service matters relating to the employees belonging to local bodies i.e. Zilla Parishad has been issued by the Stated Government till today. Therefore, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present O.A. Consequently, the O.A. cannot be entertained. Hence, M.A. and O.A. are rejected.

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

DATE: 9/2/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
B.P. Patil

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R.M. Rajole

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. & P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: M.A. and O.A. are
rejected.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

CONT A. NO 67/17
IN
O A. NO 675/16.

DATE: 8/1/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. A. Ghavale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. K. Rajpurshit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 22/1/18 by consent

B/E

22/1/18

D/B not available

Board discharged.

Adj. to 9/2/18 at the
request of adv. for the appl.

B/E

DATE: 9/2/2018

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. K. Rajpurshit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/7/2018

At the request of learned P.O. soto
3/7/2018 with liberty to circulate before
due date if occasion arises.

Sd/-

(Signature)
9/2/2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1182 OF 2017

DISTRICT: MUMBAI

R.J. Medsing

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 09.02.2018.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 27.02.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is directed as follows :-
- He shall call for case diary of Crime No.F 379, dated 07.05.2014 of Mumbra Police Station.
 - Peruse the dairy himself within one week.
 - After perusal of case dairy decide as to whether applicant's case needs to be reviewed.
 - If he finds that applicant's case be reviewed, convene a review meeting, take decision, and report the conclusion/ decision on the next date.
9. S.O. to 27.02.2018.
10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-
— (A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk