

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1052 of 2016
<p>DATE: <u>9/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> <u>with Shri M. R. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv. <u>A.V. Bandiwadakar</u> for R. 4 to 8. Adv. <u>A.V. Mahajan</u> for R. 9 to 14.</p> <p>S.O. <u>16/3/17.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Shri D.P. Kathale & 164 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate with Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri A.V. Bandiwadakar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 8 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.9 to 14.</p> <p>2. Contesting parties as well as intervener, if any, are free to file reply on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2017. 0</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) (A.H. Joshi, J) Vice-Chairman Chairman 9.2.2017 9.2.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.478 of 2016 in O.A. No.511 of 2015
<p><u>DATE:</u> 9/2/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>None for the call.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.K. Nair sp. counsel</u> <u>G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3.</u> <u>Adv. M.D. Lonkar for R. No. 4.</u> Adv. To <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>ME</u></p>	<p>Dr. Mangala M. Thombare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri S.K. Nair, learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Perused the MA. Applicant wants to bring on record the subsequent developments.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman 9.2.2017 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>A.H. Joshi, J</u> Chairman 9.2.2017</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.3 of 2017 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016
<p>DATE: <u>3/2/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Coram R.104</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.R. Patil</u> <u>and with</u> <u>G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</u> <u>Shri M.R. Patil Ld. An. for R.1 & 164</u> <u>025. A.H.</u> <u>Ad. to</u> <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi for R. 2 to 4.</u></p> <p>To come up for hearing along with O.A. No. 1052/16.</p> <p><u>SGJ</u></p>	<p>Shri M.M. Patankar ..Applicant Vs. Shri Devidas P. Kathale & 164 Ors. And The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Applicant-original Respondent No.4, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate with Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 & 164 Ors.-original Applicants and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.2 to 4.</p> <p>2. To come up for hearing along with OA No.1052 of 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 9.2.2017 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 9.2.2017</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1142/2016

Mr. D.B. Karnale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Mrs. A.B. Kolgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply has not yet been filed. Mr. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that though the Applicant retired on 31st May, 2016, he is not getting provisonal pension regularly. It was paid for first six months and after that he has not been paid any amount. He, therefore, prayed that the Applicant should be paid the provisional pension since September, 2016. I, therefore, direct the Respondents to pay the provisional pension to the Applicant within a period of one month from today.

S.O. to 3rd March, 2017. Hamdast

DATE: 9/2/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
 (Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant. K.S. Gaikwad

holding for A.B. Kolgi

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 3/3/17.

Hamdast.

(Signature)

(skw)

Sd/-
 (Rajiv Agarwal)
 Vice-Chairman
 09.02.2017

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1010/2016

Mr. M.V. Deshmukh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This matter was heard on 12th January, 2017 when last chance was given to the Respondents to file Affidavit-in-reply. However, the Affidavit-in-reply is not forthcoming. Cost of Rs.2,500/- is imposed on ~~all the~~ *each* three Respondents which should be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal by Govt. Cheque before the next date. If on the next date, the Affidavit is not filed, it will be presumed that the Respondents do not want to file Affidavit and the matter will be heard finally.

S.O. to 2nd March, 2017. Steno-copy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-
 (Rajiv Agarwal)
 Vice-Chairman
 09.02.2017

DATE: 9/2/17

CGRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant, S. Suryawanshi
holding for Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit

~~C.P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 2/3/17.

Remuneration & stenography allowed.

(skw)