

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 09.01.2020

O. A. No.14 of 2020

M. S. Kangne

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.02.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 06.02.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of '20 DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.987 of 2019</p> <p>R. T. Kale Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.992 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P. N. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed present O.A. for directions to release retiral benefits. He was suspended on 05.02.1996 in view of registration of crime under prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. He was convicted by Special Court on 05.03.2003. Consequently by order dated 28.01.2005, he was dismissed from service in view of his conviction invoking Rule 13 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979. Against the judgment of conviction, the Applicant had preferred an appeal before the Hon'ble High Court which came to be allowed by judgment dated 09.06.2016 resulting into acquittal. After acquittal, the Applicant has made various representations dated 26.07.2016, 21.11.2016, 12.01.2017, 03.03.2017, and 20.04.2017. It appears that there was only correspondence between the department on the issue but nothing was materialized and the Applicant is left without retiral benefits though stands retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.01.2015.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. Thus, conviction in the Criminal Case was the only ground for dismissal and conviction is set aside. Now, the Respondents are required to pass appropriate order about retiral benefits of the Applicant which are not passed though the period of more than four years is over. As such, there seems to be no legal hurdle to withhold the retiral benefits of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that department is seeking opinion from Anti Corruption Bureau about filing of Special Leave Petition (SLP) against the judgment of acquittal delivered by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. Indeed, four years time has already over but no SLP has filed. This shows that Anti Corruption Bureau does not want to file SLP. Therefore, the retiral benefits of the Applicant cannot be withheld only on the ground that instructions are being taken about filing of SLP.</p> <p>6. In view of above, Original Application deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(A) Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to decide representations made by the Applicant referred to above and release retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement in accordance to rules within four weeks from today.</p> <p>(B) Applicant is at liberty to make representation to the department for interest on delayed payment.</p> <p>(C) As the Applicant has been constrained to file O.A. due to inaction on part of department to take decision on his representations, I am inclined to saddle cost of Rs.10,000/- on Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.21 of 2020</p> <p>D. G. HingeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.02.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.02.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1193 of 2019</p> <p>M. D. SurveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. pertains to allotment of quarter presently occupied by Shri Abbas Shaikh, ASI who is already retired at the end of June, 2019. Applicant is presently occupying the quarter in the same building on upper floor. As his wife is suffering from cancer and unable to move herself, he had requested for change of quarter. He made representation to allot him quarter occupied by Shri Abbas Shaikh, ASI but it was not responded.</p> <p>3. On 11.12.2019 when the matter was taken up for admission, directions were issued to Respondent No.1 to clarify why the request made by the Applicant cannot be accepted on humanitarian ground.</p> <p>4. Today, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned counsel on instructions submits that department is ready to allot the quarter presently occupied by Shri Abbas Shaikh, ASI after the same is vacated by him.</p> <p>5. In view of this fair submission, O.A. deserves to be disposed of.</p> <p>6. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant also fairly submits that quarter of Shri Abbas Shaikh be allotted to Applicant on vacation.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to allot the quarter of Shri Abbas Shaikh, ASI situated at ground floor, C-2, Naigaon, Mumbaion vacation by Shri Abbas Shaikh, ASI.</p> <p>9. No order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1166 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Geeta Gavali, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 to 2. Learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 also seeks one week's time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks two weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. It seems from letter dated 26.12.2019 (Page No.96 of PB) that proposal will be placed before Civil Services Board for transfer of various medical officers including Applicant. Respondents to take the decision to that effect at earliest.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.01.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1235 of 2019</p> <p>Milind K. Shivsaran & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.01.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 28.01.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.708 of 2019 in O.A.No.1235 of 2019</p> <p>Milind K. Shivsaran & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.708/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.797 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. P. M. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. R. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit of the Applicant along with annexures. It is taken on record. Pagination be done.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one week's time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>M.A.No.17 of 2020 in O.A.No.1197 of 2019</p> <p>C.R. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents and Shri Gosavi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.01.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Applicant has prayed in M.A. the following amendment which reads as under :-</p> <p><i>"5b. this Hon'ble Tribunal may further please to allow the present Applicant to carry out the amendment in terms of Scheduled-I, Scheduled-II annexed herein with in the main plaint of the O.A. and necessary prayers accordingly."</i></p> <p>9. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents as well as learned Advocate Shri Gosavi for the Respondent No.2 have no objection to the same.</p> <p>10. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant prays that he may be permitted to serve copy of the same to all the concerned Respondents.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 23.01.2020 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.330 of 2019 in O.A. No.306 of 2015</u></p> <p>R.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant present in person. Heard, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for amending OA as per schedule annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended OA be served on all concerned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 9.1.2020 9.1.2020 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.306 of 2015</u></p> <p>R.D. Kamble .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Applicant present in person. Heard, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to file their reply to the amended OA after the amendment is carried out.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.686 of 2019 in O.A. No.341 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.K. Keswani &Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed the above MA for carrying out necessary amendment in the OA and enclosing judgments in the similar matter by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended OA be served on all concerned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.981 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. L.V. BhandarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020 as last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.983 of 2019</p> <p>J.W. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time for filing reply.3. Adjourned to 30.01.2020 last chance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p></div></div> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1038 of 2019</p> <p>A.N. BhalchandraApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to examine the same and file their sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center"> (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) </p> <p align="center"> Member(I) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.1050 of 2019</p> <p>G.M. TakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 23.01.2020 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.1079 of 2019</p> <p>M.S. ChouguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 04.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.833 of 2019</p> <p>D.K. PajalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to examine the rejoinder filed by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.552 of 2019</p> <p>R.A. BhiseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week time for filing reply by Respondent No.3 (M.P.S.C.)</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>prk</p>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman		


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.535 of 2019</p> <p>G.M. Sawant ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Sakolkar seeks adjournment of two weeks and file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.763 of 2019</p> <p>K.V. GiriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment of two weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.768 of 2019</p> <p>V.G. RelekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkash Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept for final hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.47 of 2019 in O.A. No.30 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.R. Nangre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions submits that progress in the matter following recommendations made by MPSC would be intimated on 14.1.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1085 of 2019</p> <p>S.L. NavkhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply.</p> <p>3. Matter will be taken up for Final Hearing on next date.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 15.01.2020. (H.O.B.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1126 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. ShewaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 16.01.2020 to satisfy the Tribunal regarding incompetency of the Respondents to initiate Departmental Enquiry.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- P.N. Oixit (P.N. Oixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1170 of 2019</p> <p>R.N. YamgarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnel on 23.01.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Ruies, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Respondents are directed to file affidavit regarding legal Impediment, if any. 9. Adjourned to 23.01.2020. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1181 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. NaraleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week for filing his reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.870 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M. Sathe, Applicant in person and Shri S.D. Doie, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions learned P.O. submits that the competent authority being Secretary (Industries, Energy and Labour Department) [Respondent No.10] will file his affidavit regarding action to be taken against the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.966 of 2019</p> <p>S.W. MalodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p>\wedge Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(I) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.955 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.S. RajderkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 who is the competent authority in the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentioned that he would seek instructions from the applicant regarding the O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.960 of 2019</p> <p>A.E. KerureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 and 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Shri D.G. Mishra, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. 2. Learned Advocate Shri D.G. Mishra for the Respondent No.3 has filed affidavit. It is taken on record. 3. in the present O.A. Applicant has challenged the initiation of Departmental Enquiry. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is seeking interim relief for stay of Departmental Enquiry. 4. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Respondent No.4 has not yet filed reply and seeks time to file reply. However, he raised the issue of territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. He has pointed out that the Applicant was suspended at Beed and therefore the O.A. ought to have been filed before Aurangabad Bench. 5. Whereas, learned Advocate Shri Talekar for the Applicant, submits that Respondents being located at Mumbai the Principal Seat of Mumbai has jurisdiction to file the O.A. 6. In view of the above, it will be appropriate to decide the issue of territorial jurisdiction before going into merits of the case. 7. At the request of learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Respondent No.4 matter is adjourned for hearing on the point of jurisdiction. 8. Adjourned to 14.01.2020 (H.O.B.) <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.13 of 2020 in O.A. No.980 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.B. Ghadge ..Intervener And S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Intervener has filed the above MA for intervention in the above OA. He prays that Intervener be added as party Respondent No.3 in the above OA. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO has no objection for the same.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to add Intervener as party respondent no.3 in the above OA.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1233 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.B. Satpute & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 6.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.1080 of 2019 with O.A.No.1139 of 2019 (Subject : Selection)</p> <p>R.V. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Today during hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that the Impugned order is based on G.R. dated 01.07.2016 and he has been rejected by Respondent No.3 (Director, Directorate of Sports and Youth Services). 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the advertisement for the post was issued on 13.10.2017. Prior to the same, G.R. has been issued on 19.01.2017 which is clarification G.R. 4. According to him, the same has not been taken into account by Respondent No.3 resulting in the invalidation of his Sports certificate. He, therefore, prays that Respondent No.3 be directed to reconsider the verification taking into account the clarification G.R.. 5. Respondent No.3 is therefore directed to reconsider the certificate issued by them keeping in mind provisions of G.R. dated 19.01.2017 and take appropriate decision. Respondent No.3 is also directed to take into account judgment given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.699/2016 and O.A.818/2016 dated 23.02.2017. 6. With the above directions, Original Applications are disposed off. Hamdast is allowed. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.6 of 2019 In O.A.No.822 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Omkar A. Keni, learned Advocate holding for Shri U. Wanjirkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Omkar A. Keni files rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.02.2020.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.367 of 2019 in O.A. No.677 of 2019</u></p> <p>H.T. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.109 of 2015 in O.A. No.353 of 2014</u></p> <p>K.S. Ramamurthy ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the Hon'ble High Court has stayed the matter and the next date of hearing is 28.2.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.3.2020. Ld. PO is directed to file report as well as progress till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sgl)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2019 in O.A. No.975 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.S. Lambhate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. This CA has been filed in view of non compliance of the order dt.16.4.2019 passed in the above OA. The order passed by this Tribunal is confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court by order dt. 1.8.2019 in W.P. No.7753/2019.</p> <p>3. After filing of this contempt application this Tribunal has passed specific order on 19.12.2019 directing respondents no.1 to 3 to file to file their affidavit as to why they should not be punished under the Contempt of Courts Act. However, today respondent no.1 has filed his affidavit wherein it is stated that SLP is filed in Hon'ble Supreme Court on 7.1.2020. Thus fact remains that there is no stay to the order passed by this Tribunal as of now. Besides there is no compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 19.12.2019 where respondent no.1 to 3 were directed to file affidavit as to why they should not be punished under Contempt of Courts Act.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant referred to Rule 10 of MAT (Contempt of Court) Rules, 1996 and pointed out that indeed the contemnor should have remained present personally. There is merit in his submission. However, as to now we are concerned with compliance of the order dated 19.12.2019. Respondents no.2 & 3 have not filed their affidavit as per order dated 19.12.2019. Thus, prima facie they seem to have no regard to contempt proceedings as well as OA. At this stage Ld. PO requests for one day's time to comply the order dated 19.12.2019.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO should file reply by tomorrow. S.O. to 14.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sg)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.38 of 2019 in O.A. No.634 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.D. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the matter is before the Hon'ble High Court and the next date is 16.1.2020.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 22.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.42 of 2019 in O.A. No.314 of 2017</u></p> <p>J.J. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that medical test etc. in respect of the applicant is in process and is likely to be completed within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 4.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.15 of 2020</u></p> <p>B.T. Karavekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 23.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p>

740

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to judgment & order dated 23.3.2017 passed by this Tribunal in OA.670/16. Para 9 of the said order reads as under:</p> <p><i>9. The above discussion must have presented a proper factual parameter which to work within. I attach considerable significance to the fact that the Respondent did not get themselves armed with permission to continue the DE after the time limit fixed by this Tribunal even in MA 370/2013 expired. Once a particular direction is given by a judicial authority to act in a particular manner as regards the time frame within which the work must be completed, then the authorities concerned have no freedom to freely and at will violate the said condition. The above discussion must have made it quite clear that the Respondents treated the whole matter casually and exhibited a tendency to show as if there was no direction issued by the Tribunal. The fact that their move had once succeeded should in fact have guided them to make sure that they acted within the time stipulated and if it was absolutely impossible for them to do so, then at least to seek a further extension of time. I am not prepared to dismiss all these aspects of the matter as technical. It is not just a matter of a particular direction from a judicial forum but the issue is to maintain the majesty of the judicial institution and a need to uphold it. I consider this as a significant blot on the Respondents. I make it very clear that even as I shall complete the discussion but irrespective of whatever be the conclusion drawn, "on merit", the OA will still be allowed because the Respondents took the whole process of justice casually and defiantly flouted the orders of the Tribunal. Therefore, my finding is that whatever I hold on merit of the matter on this significant point, I would be so inclined as to uphold this OA. This aspect of the matter must be carefully borne in mind as I proceed further."</i></p> <p>9. The issue of interim relief is kept open and would be considered on receipt of reply from the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 <i>Sd/-</i> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.576 of 2019 with M.A. No.320 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has given no objection to engage another Advocate. However, the same is yet to be effected. He therefore submits that the matter may be placed after two weeks. He may send similar notice to the applicant by RPAD.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.2.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</td></tr></table>	Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sgj)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020
Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020 (sgj)	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.555 of 2019 in O.A. No.999 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.V. Thokal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time for filing reply in the MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>^</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.498 of 2019 in O.A. No.818 of 2019

J.L. Mondkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time for filing reply in the MA.

3. S.O. to 21.1.2020 by way of last chance. Ld. Advocate for the applicant assures to tender copy of judgment on which he relies on the next date.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
9.1.2020

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
9.1.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.13 of 2020 in O.A. No.980 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.B. Ghadge ..Intervener And S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Intervener has filed the above MA for intervention in the above OA. He prays that Intervener be added as party Respondent No.3 in the above OA. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO has no objection for the same.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to add Intervener as party respondent no.3 in the above OA.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- →</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.422 of 2019 in O.A. No.646 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.P. Sable ..Applicant Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per detailed order dated 4.9.2019 directions were given to the respondents that the claim of the applicant for deemed date of seniority for the post of Naib Tahsildar shall be decided within two week from the date of order. However, there is no compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. On the contrary the respondent no.1 Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department has filed affidavit on 10.12.2019 stating the process of deemed date of seniority would atleast take 12 weeks time. In affidavit he has given the chart in phase wise manner. As per first page two weeks time was required for submitting the detailed proposal by Divisional Commissioner, Nashik. Till date a period of more than four weeks are over, however, there is no compliance of submission of proposal by the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik which was to be submitted within two weeks. Ld. PO states that today only the proposal is received from the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik and the same will be submitted to the GAD soon.</p> <p>4. As such there is no proper compliance of the undertaking and affidavit submitted by the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department on 10.12.2019. The issue of deemed date of seniority of the applicant is dragged on for years together for want of non-preparing of seniority list of the cadre of Naib Tahsildar, despite the order passed by this Tribunal in OAs. No.966/20145 and OA No.968 of 2014.</p> <p>5. In view of the above it is necessary to give directions to expedite the preparation of seniority list of the cadre of Naib Tahsildar. Twelve weeks time sought in affidavit dated 10.12.2019 is too long.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, we direct the respondents to complete the process of preparation of seniority list in the cadre of Naib Tahsildar vis-à-vis the claim of the applicant within six weeks from today without fail.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.2.2020. Hamdast granted.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>^v</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> <p>9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>9.1.2020</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.440 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Fulpagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.455 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.456 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.S. Gomladu ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.489 & 490 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.V. Joshi D.S. Swami ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants as well as Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Both the OAs are dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.958 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.R. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though the Id. Advocate for the applicant has circulated the matter for today, applicant as well as advocate for the applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center"> (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) </p> <p align="center"> Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center"> 9.1.2020 9.1.2020 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.665 of 2019 in CA.40/2019 in O.A. No.392 of 2016</u></p> <p>D.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant is directed to deposit cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of this Tribunal and produce receipt.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 9.1.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 9.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1121 of 2016</u></p> <p>P.N. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant on instructions from the applicant states that OA may be kept in sine die list as according to his information the matter is moved before the Government and it will take time.</p> <p>3. Accordingly the matter is adjourned sine die with liberty to circulate.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- <small>(A.P. Kurhekar)</small> <small>Member (J)</small> <small>9.1.2020</small> </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- <small>(P.N. Dixit)</small> <small>Vice-Chairman (A)</small> <small>9.1.2020</small> </p> <p>(sgi)</p>