

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>COMMISSIONER (Chairman)</p> <p>Member A</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/7/16. Hamdast &amp; steno copy is allowed.</u></p> <p><u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p><u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>BT</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.863 of 2015</u></p> <p>Smt. Sangeeta P. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are called to state as to whether the applicant's case would be considered for promotion to the post of API and if the answer is in affirmative then as to whether time frame can be committed. It shall suffice if a statement is made in writing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2016.</p> <p>4. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.173 OF 2016****DISTRICT: MUMBAI****S.B. Ambre****.... Applicant****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors****....Respondents.**Shri <sup>Mendadkar R.K.</sup> ~~A.V. Bandiwadkar~~, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN****DATE : 08.06.2016.****ORDER**

1. Heard Shri R.K. Mendadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In this case Applicant is claiming pensionary benefits on the basis of following points :-
  - (a) Applicant has rendered services in the Government till the date of her superannuation.
  - (b) Applicant's claim for scrutiny of Scheduled Tribe Certificate is pending before the competent scrutiny committee.
  - (c) In the judgment and order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.920 of 2014 this Tribunal has taken the view that the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1979 have overriding effect over Government's decision which provides for deferring the grant of pension to the retired Government servants whose Caste / Tribe certificate is unverified or is pending for scrutiny.

3. State Government should clarify its stand as to whether it has accedes to the judgment and order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.920 of 2014, or has decided to prefer to challenge it before the Hon'ble High Court.
4. Appropriate statement answering this query be made in foregoing paragraph by made by G.A.D., on the next date, by filing affidavit.
5. After seeing the response of the Government, the O.A. will be heard.
6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra and secure the response.
7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
8. S.O. to 12.07.2016.



Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

MUMBAI

Original Application No. ....

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.136/2016 in O.A.290/2016**

**Shri P.S. Gotsurve ... Applicant**  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri S.S. Chindarkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

It appears that the Applicant was serving Alibaug Nagar Parishad. It is, therefore, clear that this matter may not be within the jurisdictional competence of this Tribunal. However, on the request of the learned Advocate Shri Chindarkar, it is adjourned to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 making it clear that on that day, an order disposing of this OA may have to be made.

S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
08.06.2016

(skw)

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Chindarkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. for the Respondents

S.O. to 14/6/16.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**O.A.264/2016****Shri C.B. Sawant  
V/s.****... Applicant****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri R.B. Harale, Clerk, Collector Office, Sangli on behalf of the Collector, Sangli. The learned P.O. seeks an adjournment on the ground that parawise comments have been received, but the Affidavit-in-reply has not yet been prepared. Everything apart as the matter was being debated at the Bar, it appeared that the Respondents may as well have defaulted upon the final order of this Tribunal which spoke through me on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2014 whereby the compliance was to be made within eight weeks from that day. The compliance was not made within that time limit is something which is beyond the pale of any dispute. The option of taking suo-motu action in contempt is kept reserved, but the Respondents are directed to make sure that in whatever Affidavit-in-reply they file to this OA, that must be of a responsible Officer, who is in the know of the facts and responsible to the truism thereof and not just of any other employee. It must be explained quite clearly and unequivocally as to why the time limit was not kept and detailed date-wise accounts of events must also be furnished. I am already taking into consideration the time that is likely to be required, but on the next date, if the Affidavit-in-reply is not filed or even if it is filed, it is not in accordance with these directions, I will presume under the elementary principles of Rules against traverse that the Respondents have nothing to say and an appropriate course of action will be adopted.

S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. Steno-copy allowed.

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri K. R. Jagdale~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri A. J. Chougule  
P.O. for the Respondents~~

Adj. To

S.O. to 5/7/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
08.06.2016



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 08.06.2016.

O.A.No.207 of 2016

P.R. Nakil .... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant prays for leave to tender rejoinder.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted and copy of rejoinder be served upon the Respondents.

4. Admit. O.A. to come up for hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice M. J. Tamasolkar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.  
O.A. to come up for hearing in due course.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 08.06.2016.

O.A.No.818 of 2015

A.B. Shinde .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3. None for Respondent No.4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant adjourned to 13.06.2016 for withdrawal.

  
Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
By: R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant  
K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3

None for R.to. 4.  
Adj. To: 13/6/16 for withdrawal.







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice K. R. Jagdale (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>30/6/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>Bte</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.592 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri J.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is called to state as to whether:</p> <p>(i) the order passed in OA No.1215 of 2013 is acceded.</p> <p>(ii) the facts of the present case are similar to the facts in OA No.1215 of 2013.</p> <p>3. The statement be made on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.6.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.1016 of 2015

Shri K.R. Kumbhar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders affidavit in reply to the amended OA. It is taken on record.

3. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time to consider the affidavit in reply and argue the case for admission hearing.

4. S.O. to 20.7.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

8.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ (Chairman)

Hon. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ (Member) A

Advocate for Applicant:

Sd/- A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Respondents:

Sd/- K.S. Gaikwad

Clerk:

Adj. To: 20/7/16

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandrar (Member) A</p> <p>ATTENDANCE: Shri <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>A.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./A.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>14/6/16 stereo copy &amp; Hamdast allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p><u>08.06.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 1063 /2015</u></p> <p>Shri C.V Alsatwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Potbhare states that copy of the affidavit filed by the State is served on him today.</p> <p>3. Perusal of the affidavit filed by the D.G.P reveals that certain comments are made for taking action on consulting with the State Government.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to state as to within how much time action will be taken.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.M) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM</p> <p>Hon. <u>[Signature]</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. <u>[Signature]</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>By <u>R.M. Kolge</u> .....</p> <p>By <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>18/7/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.06.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.351 of 2016 with M.A.No.188 of 2016</p> <p>A.T. Bhuwad ..... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant prays for leave to substitute the annexure A by typed copy.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge undertakes to carry out the substitution forthwith.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents states that instructions are received to pray for two weeks time.</p> <p>6. Instead of two weeks time as prayed even longer time can be granted. However Respondents are put to caution that no further adjournments would be granted.</p> <p>7. It is hoped that the incumbent holding the post of Respondent No.1 should himself read the copy of FIR Office note on the basis of which applicant's name for pension is kept in abeyance, and file his own affidavit stating as to whether applicant's name is found in the array of accused persons in the crime subject matter of FIR M6/2013 at Vani Police Station at Yavatmal, and can the applicant's pension be withheld, on that ground.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 18.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

08.06.2016

O.A No 480/2016

Shri R.S Galadge ..... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke I/b Shri P.V Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, holding for Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for two weeks time for filing reply. Time granted.

2. S.O to 12.7.2016. Interim relief shall continue.

DATE: 8/6/16

OFFICIALS

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shri Shyamsunder Solanke  
I/b Shri P.V. Patil  
for the Applicant

H.S. Gohad holding for  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s  
K.S. Gaikwad P.O. for Resps.

Adj. To. 12/7/16

Akn

Sd/-  
(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.154 of 2016

Smt. Firdous M.Y. Patel ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.7.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.7.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
8.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.S. Wable (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.S. Wable

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 25/7/16.

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

M.A. No.64/2016 in O.A. No.154 of 2016

Smt. Firdous M.Y. Patel ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders reply to MA. It is taken on record.

3. OA is delayed by one year. Prayers contained in OA pertain to appointment on compassionate grounds. The applicant has offered explanation relating to delay stating that time was lost in pursuing the matter. The fact that the applicant was pursuing is not in dispute. The applicant has explained the delay satisfactorily. Therefore, the MA is allowed and delay in filing the OA is condoned.

Sd/-

A.H. Joshi, J.Y. | *am*  
Chairman  
8.6.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. K. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.S. Wable

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To... MA is allowed and  
delay in filing the OA is  
condoned.

*HT*



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri H. Ramachandran (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>By <u>M. G. Gohad</u></p> <p>PO: <u>Shri A. R. Joshi, Ld. Adv.</u></p> <p>Shri <u>A. R. Joshi, Ld. Adv.</u></p> <p>For the Respondent/s (Opp. Appl.)</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>By consent adj. to 21/6/16.</u></p> <p><i>HT</i></p>	<p><u>R.A. No.33 of 2015 in O.A. No.706 of 2014</u></p> <p>Executive Engineer, Sindhudurg ..Applicant Vs. Shri Prakash G. Kale &amp; Anr. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent and Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that Shri A.J. Chougule, Ld. Presenting Officer is in charge of the brief and he is required to leave the premises on account of personal difficulties and prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 21.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) <i>HT</i> Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri _____ (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Secy: <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Secy: <u>A.S. Wable</u> C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>18/7/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.73/2016 in O.A. No.164 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri V. V. Londhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders reply to MA. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders.
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <del>.....</del> <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> <sup>Rep.</sup> Advocate for the Applicant (Org. App.) Shri /Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. App.)</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>gje</i></p>	<p><u>MA.40/16 in RA.2/16 in OAs.956, 957 &amp; 958/14</u></p> <p>The General Manager, Brihan Mumbai Milk Scheme &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. Shri S.T. Tiwari &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants prays for three week's time for filing reply to the MA and RA.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <del>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</del> Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

08.06.2016

O.A No 243/2016

Shri S.V Shelar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for weeks time to file reply. Time granted.

3. S.O to 20.6.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri H. Demashkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. N. G. Fule

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To: 20/6/16

*Handwritten signature/initials*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. K. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. F. Gohad</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>30/6/16. Interim relief to continue</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>1372</u></p>	<p><u>08.06.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 455/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.B More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 30.6.2016. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, <u>M</u> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Oram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>M. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>M. P. Mishra (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S. Prabhune</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C/O / A.S. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>08.06.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A No 162/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.A.M.I Sayyed ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Prabhune, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer tenders affidavit in reply for and on behalf of Respondents no 1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to consider the reply. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders.

08.06.2016

O.A No 487/2016

Shri A.J Chavan ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bhandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurhoit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Rajkumar Shinde, Addl. S.P, Pune Rural has filed affidavit stating as to now he has been able to have access the record, and now record is furnished

3. Today, Learned Chief Presenting Officer has produced the papers relating to the minutes of the Civil Services Board, note leading to the transfer and report relating to the enquiry.

4. Record is perused and returned. It shall be appropriate if answer to each and every paragraph and point in the Original Application is filed to.

5. It is hoped that entire adverse material which is used as foundation should also be annexed to the affidavit in reply.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

7. S.O to 16.6.2016.

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. J. S. ... (Chairman)

Hon'ble Mr. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv. A.V. Bhandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant:

Shri N.K. Rajpurhoit

C.O. ...

Adj. To: 16/6/16. Steno copy, &

Hamdast allowed.

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Shri. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>Act. To <u>14/6/16. Steno copy &amp; Hamdast allowed.</u></p> <p><i>BHE</i></p>	<p><u>08.06.2016</u></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 333/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.A.M.U Qureshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer states that this is first date after notice and time may be granted for securing instructions from Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 1 should inform the stage of pendency of Applicant's claim and the time frame within which the decision would be taken unless already taken.</p> <p>4. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to communicate this order to Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.6.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H Joshi, <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders.

08.06.2016

O.A No 175/2016

Shri A.R Parab ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing reply on the ground that instructions are awaited.

3. It is seen that notice of order on 16.2.2016 returnable on 18.3.2016 was however, served later on. Today is first date after service.

4. Learned Presenting Officer is asked to state as to whether date of hearing was communicated. It has transpired that Competent Authority to decide matter of revocation of suspension is Respondent no. 1, and no instructions are received from Respondent no. 1.

5. Learned Presenting Officer is granted time to ascertain as to why instructions are not furnished for enabling him to make statement.

6. 5.0 to 20.6.2016. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri M. D. Lonkar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Adv. N. F. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 20/6/16 steno copy

& Hamdast allowed.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.06.2016.

O.A.No.386 of 2016 with M.A.No.199 of 2016

V.M. Shelke

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents prays for six weeks time for filing reply.

3. It shall not be necessary to file reply for Respondent No.3 if Respondent No.3 examines the case and takes decision as to eligibility of applicant.

4. In the event it is found that applicant is not eligible, only in that case affidavit answering the O.A. and M.A. for condonation of delay be filed.

5. If applicant's claim made in the O.A. is to be accepted, needless to observe that appropriate action be taken by the Respondents.

6. Respondent No.2 may call the applicant, if it is necessary to secure any information or explanation from the Applicant.

7. Action so may be taken be reported on the next date.

8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to both the parties.

9. S.O. to 14.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, 14  
Chairman

DATE: 8/6/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S.H. A. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice M. K. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant: S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Respondents:

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 14/7/16. Hamdast &

steno copy allowed.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/6/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>[Name] (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>None for the appl.</p> <p>Present: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/7/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.388 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.B. Bagayatkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad; learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None present for the applicant on second call.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>A.H. Joshi, M</u> Chairman 8.6.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>