

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2019**  
**(Sadhana U. Borse @ Sadhana S. Pachpol Vs. State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Manezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri Hemant P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 is **absent**.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent No.4 is already filed to which the applicant has filed rejoinder.

3. Subsequently amendment is carried out in O.A. as per order dated 26.08.2019 and the respondent No.5 is added. Moreover, there is change in the address of the respondent No.3.

4. In view of same, at the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notices to the respondent Nos.3 and 5 returnable on 08.04.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 08.04.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Vijaykumar R. Sul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1066 OF 2019**  
**(Vasant A. Manorkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to A.C.P.S.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 349 OF 2020**  
**(Prakash S. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Tejal R. Mankar, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 437 OF 2020**  
**(Arjun D. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.
3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 507 OF 2020  
(Anil S. Burkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1,2 and 5 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copy of the said reply.
4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
5. S.O. to 06.04.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 2 OF 2021  
(Kiran S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 01.4.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 2021**  
**(Vandana P. Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.3. Learned Advocate for the applicant received its copy today.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

4. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 129 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Sheshrao P. Lohgave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.132 OF 2021  
(Bhanudas E. Tarte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the original applicant has died.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226 OF 2021**  
**(Khilesh K. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 469 OF 2021  
(Dhondiba M. Zade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would file affidavit-in-rejoinder during the course of the day.
3. S.O. to 01.04.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2021**  
**(Sayed Naimuddin Sayed Moinuddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copy of said reply.
4. At the request of the learned for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
5. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2021  
(Vaibhav D. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Balaji S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513 OF 2021  
(Manik G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 601 OF 2021**  
**(Ramesh M. Darekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he would file service affidavit in respect of the respondent No.4.
4. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.4.
5. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 650 OF 2021**  
**(Prakash T. Vaichal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Kawre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 711 OF 2021**  
**(Lalita Late B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul M. Jade, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavits-in-reply today filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 separately are taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. for the respondents deposited extra copy of said replies for the applicant.
4. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 4.
5. S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.1 OF 2022 (W.P.NO.316 OF 2022)**  
**(Manisha E. Kadu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he would file service affidavit.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.2 OF 2022 (W.P.NO.1318 OF 2022)**  
**(Arvind A. Borude & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that during the course of the day he would file service affidavit.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2022**  
**(Laxman S. Kolhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 04.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 69 OF 2022**  
**(Sudhakar G. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that during the course of the day he would file service affidavit.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. S.O. to 04.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 96 OF 2022**  
**(Nagraj S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.427 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1816 OF 2021  
(Gajanan B. Dandge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya A. Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2020**  
**(Shantabai G. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rajeev B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case, the applicant would make application for amendment in O.A.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 114 OF 2020**  
**(Dagdu G. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.114/20

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 145 OF 2022**  
**(Bhimrao V. Mhaske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A.No.145/19

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2017**  
**(Syed Azam Syed Lal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. Record further shows that S.L.P filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against the order of the Hon'ble High Court arising out of decision in earlier O.A. was stated to be pending.

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents placed on record the status report by way of communication dated 07.03.2022 received from the respondent No.3 stating that the said SLP is still pending.

5. In view of above, S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 331 OF 2019  
(Laxman S. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1084 OF 2019**  
**(Ravindra M. Garje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Pankaj A. Bharat, learned Advocate holding for Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2020  
(Anil S. Barkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kishor D. Khade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to transfer. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128 OF 2020  
(Pandit V. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Manoj M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 522 OF 2020**  
**(Prakash D. Bharambe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2020  
(Kiran S. Pathare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.03.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369 OF 2021**  
**(Naushadbee Ibrahim Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Coudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. None present on behalf of the applicant. The matter is already fixed on 23.03.2022.
3. S.O. to 23.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.456 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1749 OF 2019  
(Sandip V. Ganage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Govind B. Chate, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.620 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2355 OF 2019  
(Sumedh D. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gawale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Copy of affidavit-in-reply already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is supplied to other side.
3. S.O. to 07.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022



**M.A.NO.326 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.581 OF 2021 WITH  
CAVEAT NO.57 OF 2021  
(Dr. Alliya Kausar A. Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A./respondent No.6 in O.A. and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.2 to 5 in the present M.A./respondent Nos.1 to 4 in O.A. Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A./applicant in O.A. is **absent**. None present on behalf of the respondent No.6 in the present M.A./ respondent No.5 in O.A., though duly served.

2. In view of absence of Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A./applicant in O.A., S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.13 OF 2022 IN O.A.N O.553 OF 2019  
(Markand N. Gangaram & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present on behalf of the applicants.

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the  
applicants, S.O. to 08.04.2022 for passing necessary  
order.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2018**  
**(Sayeda Khalida Md Naeem Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 is **absent**.

2. By consent of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O., S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2017**  
**(Walmik L.Kande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2017**  
**(Dr. Madhav F. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1073 OF 2019  
(Abdul Jabbar Abdul Rahim Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2019  
(Sanjay R. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210 OF 2020**  
**(Suresh B. Hallikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.N. Khillare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 515 OF 2020  
(Aniket D. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 617 OF 2021  
(Kiran P. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Smt. Dharti Gupta, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 30.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2021**  
**(Vinod V. Gudamwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2019**  
**(Yogiraj V. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2018**  
**(Shaikh Nasir Sk. Miya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh submits that during the course of the day he would file VAKALATNAMA on behalf of the applicant as no objection from previous Advocate Shri V.G. Pingle is obtained and he seeks adjournment. On his request, S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 08.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 697 OF 2019  
(Shobha B. Khade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.04.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 232 OF 2022**

**(Sudhakar Y. Dandge Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **12.04.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 08.03.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2022**

**(Sudhakar Y. Dandge Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **12.04.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**