

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

08.03.2019

O.A.No.745 of 2017

U. T. SarodeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P.Pothbare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this Original Application, the Applicant has prayed for direction to the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to release his pensionary benefits, which seems to have been withheld by the Respondents, though the Applicant stands retired on 30.11.2012.

3. This matter came up before this Tribunal on 22.01.2019. The speaking order was passed, directing the Respondent No.1 to explain the delay in completing the D.E. in the present matter. The charge sheet in D.E. was issued on 15.07.2005 since then there was no final order in D.E. It is only after passing the speaking orders from time to time by this Tribunal, the Respondent No.1 woke up and finalized the process for finalizing the D.E. In pursuance of the directions given by this Tribunal, the Respondent No.1 has filed the reply and ~~to~~ ^{to} explain the delay. There is a delay in completing the D.E. at every stage. Lastly the D.E. came to an end, in view of the order issued by the Government dated 13.02.2019 which states that the Applicant is found guilty in D.E. and punishment of deduction of 30% pension for 8 years has been imposed as per Rule 6 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.

4. As such, in view of finalization of D.E., now the Applicant will get his remaining retiral benefits subject to punishment imposed in D.E.

5. If the applicant feels aggrieved by the punishment imposed in D.E., he can challenge the same in accordance to law.

6. Needless to mention that now the Respondents are under obligation to release the gratuity as well other retiral benefits which shall be released within two months from today, failing which the Applicant will be entitled to interest.

7. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.911 of 2015

H. M. Chikhale & othersApplicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the Applicants are seeking benefits of revised pension with deemed date of promotion. After much pursuance and orders passed by this Tribunal, the Respondent No.1 decided to extend the pensionary benefits to the Applicant from due date of promotion as seen from the letter dated 07.03.2019 tendered by the learned P.O..
3. Perusal of the letter dated 07.03.2019 reveals that the Government has accepted the demand of the Applicants (Who stands retired long back) and directed the Respondent No.2 to calculate the amount dues and pay the same.
4. In view of above, now it is upto the Respondent No.2 to re-fix the pension of the Applicants and pass appropriate orders extending the Applicants of monetary benefits as per their entitlement.
5. Learned P.O. submitted that the exercise of re-fixation of pay and allowances as well as pension will be completed within three months.
6. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 complete the exercise of re-fixation of pay and allowances as well as pension of the Applicants in terms of letter dated 07.03.2019 tendered today and to release the monetary benefits to the Applicants. This exercise be completed within three months from today, failing which the Government will be liable to pay interest.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.821 of 2018

Dr. S. S. Lahade
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicant
...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Sakholkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A. initially, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 31.08.2018 which has been stayed by this Tribunal on 25.09.2018. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2018 resultantly, there was no suspension in force on the date of retirement of the Applicant. Though, as per impugned order dated 31.08.2018, the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. till date, admittedly the charge sheet is not issued in D.E. This being the position. There could be no valid reason to the Respondents to withhold the retiral benefits.
3. Though enough time is granted, the Respondents failed to file reply.
4. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered the letter dated 18.02.2019, which shows that the leave encashment and provisional pension has been sanctioned. Learned P.O. further submitted that G.P.F. also will be paid soon.
5. In view of above, the present matter needs to be disposed of expeditiously.
6. The matter is adjourned for final hearing under the caption of 'hearing at the stage of admission'. The Respondents are at liberty to file reply by next date. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed ahead finally.
7. S.O. to to 25.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.01 of 2019

M. V. GhadageApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Shr A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 05.02.2009. It is only after the observation made by this Tribunal in earlier orders, the Respondents realized the mistake and revoked the suspension w.e.f. 08.03.2019.
3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the copy of letter dated 08.03.2019 issued by the Respondent No.2 stating that the suspension has been revoked w.e.f.08.03.2019.
4. Though the suspension is revoked, it is quite belated and the Issue of legality of prolonged continuation of near about 10 years needs to be decided on merit.
5. In view of above, if the Respondents desire, they are at liberty to file reply within one week, failing which the matter will be decided on merit without reply.
6. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.916 of 2018

Dr. S. A. Ganu
Versus

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered copy of the letter dated 03.01.2019 issued by the Government address to the Presenting Officer informing that the proposal to grant of pension w.e.f. 14.08.2008 in terms of Rule 62 to 80 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 is placed before the Hon'ble Minister for the approval and on that ground two weeks time has been sought.
3. Letter referred to above is of 03.01.2019 and till date the period of more than two months in place of two weeks is over. However, till date no decision is taken. Shri Vijaykumar Adhayage, Law Officer, Public Health Dept. is present and stated that the file is still before the Hon'ble Minister for decision.
4. The present petition is filed by the Applicant for grant of retiral benefits as per section 47 of Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995 and is waiting for the retiral benefits up to the age of 67 years, which is very sorry state of affair. This Tribunal in its earlier orders dated 06.12.2018 as well as 03.01.2019 highlighted the necessity of passing the order immediately so that the Applicant could get his retiral benefits at the earliest. However, no decision is taken by the Respondents No.1 and lethargy on the part of administration is obvious.
5. Today, the learned P.O. again requested for two weeks time to obtain the necessary orders from the Government.
6. By way of last chance, two weeks time is granted to the Respondent No.1 to take decision in the matter and to communicate the same to the Tribunal without fail.
7. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.
8. S.O. to 25.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.985 of 2018</p> <p>V. G. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the present O.A. has become infructuous in view of fresh transfer order passed by the Respondents and, therefore, it be disposed of.</p> <p>3. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the transfer order dated 24.10.2018 and status quo was granted. However, later the Respondents have issued fresh transfer order and, therefore, the present application has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of as no cause of action survives.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.15 of 2019 in O.A.No.1159 of 2017</p> <p>S. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed separate Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 as well as also filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 jointly. The same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1057 of 2018</p> <p>B. A. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. S. Bhavake , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter the Tribunal has granted liberty to amend the O.A. by order dated 01.03.2019. The amendment was to be carried out forthwith and the matter was adjourned to 15.03.2019 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the amendment could not be carried out on 01.03.2019 in terms of order passed by this Tribunal and requested to allow him to carry out the amendment today.</p> <p>4. Allowed to carry out the amendment in O.A. during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.03.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.46 of 2018 in O.A.No.82 of 2018</p> <p>N. K. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply in M.A. as well as in O.A. it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to 11.03.2019 tagged along with M.A. No.543/2018 in O.A.909/2018 with M.A.544/2018 in O.A.910/2018.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.124 of 2019 in O.A.No.225 of 2019</p> <p>B. M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.04.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 05.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.03.2019.

O. A. No.81 of 2019

S. P. Pathak

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar holding for Shri S. B. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 05.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.220 of 2019</p> <p>V. G. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.03.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 22.03.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.08 of 2019</p> <p>M. D. SorteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 08.03.2019. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No. 105 of 2019

M. B. GhodkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Tribunal has passed detailed order on 04.02.2019 and granted interim relief in terms of prayer clause 15(C) of the O.A. till filing of the reply.
3. As observed the order dated 04.02.2019, the facts of the present case is quite unusual. The Applicant was transferred by order dated 31.05.2018 but he was not relieved for administrative exigency and was continued on the same post i.e. Assistant Conservator of Forest, Mangrove Protection, Mumubai. However, abruptly by impugned order dated 01.02.2019, he was directed to be relieved. The said order is under challenged in the present O.A. contending that it amounts to mid-term transfer.
4. The Applicant contends that he is due to retire in November, 2019 and suffers from 100% Stenosis, which requires continuous medical treatment. He has also made representation to the Respondent No.1 to consider his difficulties and allow him to continue at the same post.
5. In view of above, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions.
6. The Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Respondent No.1 to decide the representation made by the Applicant dated 05.03.2019 regarding his request to retain at the same place within two weeks from today and pass appropriate order in accordance to rules. The decision as the case may be, be communicated to the Applicant within a week.
7. If the representation of the Applicant is rejected, it should not be implemented for two week from the date of the decision.
8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A. P. Kurhekar)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.213 of 2019

P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicants are seeking directions in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.09/2019 decided on 25.02.2019. The issue pertains to repatriation of the employees who were temporarily shifted to Palghar from Thane. In O.A. No.09/2019, the directions were issued to relieve the Applicants within eight weeks from the date of order.

3. Now, in the present O.A. also similar directions are sought by the Applicants.

4. In view of above, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions.

5. It appears that the Collector, Thane is ready to accommodate the applicants, however the Collector, Palghar is not relieving the Applicants, and, therefore, they approached this Tribunal.

6. At this juncture, learned P.O. submitted that she will take instructions from the Collector, Palghar and will make statement in the afternoon session.

7. The matter be kept in the 2nd session.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 08.03.2019.

M. A. No.117 of 2019 in O.A.No.213 of 2019

P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.117 of 2019 in O.A.No.213 of 2019</p> <p>P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No. 105 of 2019

M. B. GhodkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Tribunal has passed detailed order on 04.02.2019 and granted interim relief in terms of prayer clause 15(C) of the O.A. till filing of the reply.
3. As observed the order dated 04.02.2019, the facts of the present case is quite unusual. The Applicant was transferred by order dated 31.05.2018 but he was not relieved for administrative exigency and was continued on the same post i.e. Assistant Conservator of Forest, Mangrove Protection, Mumubai. However, abruptly by impugned order dated 01.02.2019, he was directed to be relieved. The said order is under challenged in the present O.A. contending that it amounts to mid-term transfer.
4. The Applicant contends that he is due to retire in November, 2019 and suffers from 100% Stenosis, which requires continuous medical treatment. He has also made representation to the Respondent No.1 to consider his difficulties and allow him to continue at the same post.
5. In view of above, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions.
6. The Original Application is disposed of with directions to the Respondent No.1 to decide the representation made by the Applicant dated 05.03.2019 regarding his request to retain at the same place within two weeks from today and pass appropriate order in accordance to rules. The decision as the case may be, be communicated to the Applicant within a week.
7. If the representation of the Applicant is rejected, it should not be implemented for two week from the date of the decision.
8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directibns and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.213 of 2019</p> <p>P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present O.A., the Applicants are seeking directions in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.09/2019 decided on 25.02.2019. The issue pertains to repatriation of the employees who were temporarily shifted to Palghar from Thane. In O.A. No.09/2019, the directions were issued to relieve the Applicants within eight weeks from the date of order. 3. Now, in the present O.A. also similar directions are sought by the Applicants. 4. In view of above, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. 5. It appears that the Collector, Thane is ready to accommodate the applicants, however the Collector, Palghar is not relieving the Applicants, and, therefore, they approached this Tribunal. 6. At this juncture, learned P.O. submitted that she will take instructions from the Collector, Palghar and will make statement in the afternoon session. 7. The matter be kept in the 2nd session. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.213 of 2019</p> <p>P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present O.A., the Applicants are seeking directions in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.09/2019 decided on 25.02.2019. The issue pertains to repatriation of the employees who were temporarily shifted to Palghar from Thane. In O.A. No.09/2019, the directions were issued to relieve the Applicants within eight weeks from the date of order. 3. Now, in the present O.A. also similar directions are sought by the Applicants. 4. In view of above, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. 5. It appears that the Collector, Thane is ready to accommodate the applicants, however the Collector, Palghar is not relieving the Applicants, and, therefore, they approached this Tribunal. 6. At this juncture, learned P.O. submitted that she will take instructions from the Collector, Palghar and will make statement in the afternoon session. 7. The matter be kept in the 2nd session. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.117 of 2019 in O.A.No.213 of 2019</p> <p>P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. Member (Member) A <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>U.V. Bhosle</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>8/3/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.634 of 2018</p> <p>U.D. Kharat & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks one week to obtain instructions regarding prayer at 10(d) and in the light of the observations by the Hon'ble Supreme Court's in case of RAFIQ MASIH as mentioned in paragraph 6.21(c).</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/1/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Sonali mane for R. Todankar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>11/4/19.</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.817 of 2018</p> <p>R.V. KhandekarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Mane, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks four week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Ramchikumar</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>P.N. DIXIT</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Gohad for Respondents</u></p> <p>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>29/3/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>R</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.913 of 2018</p> <p>A.R. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 8/3/19
CORAM:
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A
 P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. S.T. Bhosle
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 15/4/19 at the request of Mr. S.T. Bhosle for the Applicant

Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.1127 of 2018

S.B. KadamApplicant.
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.T. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri S.T. Bhosle for the Applicant, adjourned to 15.04.2019.

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/3/19.</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchokumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : U.V. Bhosle for M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 18/3/19 esse request of ld CPO. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.596 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 18.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.) Dixit Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/3/19</u></p> <p><u>CO. No.</u></p> <p><u>Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p><u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</u></p> <p><u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>alone in the application</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Gohad for A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit</u></p> <p><u>due course of time</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>l</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.446 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. A.A. ManeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents files short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for Final Hearing in due course of time.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 29/3/19.</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. B. B. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri /Smt. N. G. Gohad</p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 29/3/19.</p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.34 of 2019</p> <p>N.G. AvhadApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad seeks adjournment for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE</u> : 8/3/19</p> <p><u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A P. N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> : Shri/Spt. <u>B. R. Deshmukh.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Spt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/3/19.</u> order passed in Tribunal column.</p> <p align="right"></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.438 of 2018</p> <p>D.B. BamaneApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks adjournment to intimate progress regarding prayer by the Applicant. Respondents are directed to furnish progress on or before 12.03.2019.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.03.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/19.</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Deshpande (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> None for the Applicant.</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant Miss Manojkumar for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 15/3/19 at the request of ld CPO manojkumar for the Respondent/s.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.14 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. R.S. ShingeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 15.03.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri Manoj Kumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Potbhare for J.N. Kamble - Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 15/3/19 as the request of ld CPO manchekar for the respondents for filing reply. </p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.949 of 2017</p> <p>A.R. PatilApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 15.03.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE : 2/3/19

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri ~~N. Ramasubramanian~~ (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. Potbhare for P.S. Bhavake

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 29/3/19 at the request of C.P.O. Manchekar for the Respondents -

l

Date : 08.03.2019.

O.A.No.1092 of 2018

B.P. Davari & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 29.03.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>9/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Smt. J. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice M. Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APP: <u>None for the applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sd/ Sent: <u>M.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/4/19</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">R</p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.221 of 2019</p> <p>N.S. MoreApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicant. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 12.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>ork</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>Date: 8/3/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Manohar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: None for the Applicant Sd/- Manohar C.P.O./P. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 12/4/19 order passed by Tribunal Colony</p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.222 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. C.A. RoyApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicant. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 12.04.2019. 9. While regular notice is issued, Respondents are directed to mention the legal impediment in considering the prayer of the Applicant. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.M. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 2/3/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. none for the applicant.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 12/4/19 order passed in <Tribunal column></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.223 of 2019</p> <p>P.P. PatilApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Drs.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None appeared for the Applicant. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. in case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 12.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 8/3/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hos'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hos'ble Shri M. Manoj Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. B. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/3/19.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>h</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">D.A.No.1008 of 2018</p> <p>N.B. MadaneApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks two week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>08/03/19</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice A. N. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Smt. <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Admit</u></p> <p><i>due course of time, with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder</i></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p>D.A.No.1060 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. BadeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 5. The same is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad further clarifies that the reply pertains to Applicant No.1. According to her remaining Applicants are employees of Zilla Parishad. Hence according to her they are not entitled for benefits of the claim. 4. Learned Advocate Shri V.P. Potbhare for the Applicants propose to file his rejoinder. 5. Admitted in due course of time, with liberty to file affidavit-in-rejoinder. <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>4/4/19</u> order passed in Tribunal column. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1133 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. Kale & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks three week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply. 3. Learned Advocate Shri A. Deshpande for the Applicants mentions that there should be interim relief as applicants should not be adversely effected by the promotion which has been initiated for nomination. 4. Issue of interim relief is kept open and would be decided on getting the reply from Respondents. Meanwhile Respondents are directed to clarify the legal impediments in promoting applicants. According to learned Advocate, Applicant and similarly situated persons have not been promoted in this cadre from 2011. 5. By way of last chance Respondents are directed to clarify on this specific issue whether any legal impediment if any, exists in promoting the applicants, on or before 28.03.2019 by filing the reply. Issue of interim relief would be considered on 04.04.2019. 6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 7. S.O. to 04.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. N. Dixit (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Kaustabh Gidh for Kranti L.C. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: 15/3/19.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D.A.No.888 of 2018</p> <p>A.S. Shirsath & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustabh Gidh, the learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents provides un-affirmed copy of affidavit-in-reply and mentions that the affirmed copy would be submitted before 13.03.2019.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>