

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders<br><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b>  |
|---|---|
|   | <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.243 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The prayers made by the Applicant are two fold :-</p> <p>(1) Stay for the implementation of the order dated 30.01.2016 thereby transferring him from Pune Railway to Pune Rural on administrative ground.</p> <p>(2) Eviction order dated 15.02.2016 instructing the applicant to vacate the service quarters, be stayed.</p> <p>3. Apparently, as regards the first prayer it appears that order dated 30.01.2016 has been already implemented. However, as regards second prayer, learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that he shall take instructions whether applicant has made any representation to the concerned authority to grant him time for eviction till the decision of the present O.A., till next date.</p> <p>4. Moreover, learned P.O. raised objection regarding jurisdiction in that regard.</p> <p>5. In that view of the matter, issue notices to the Respondents made returnable on 15.03.2016.</p> <p align="right"> P.T.O.</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.111 of 2016 in O.A. No.541 of 2015

Heard Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 5.4.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 5.4.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

GRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:


Shri/Smt. P.G. Kayande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad


Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Adj. to: S.O. to 5/4/16.

  
8/3/16

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
8.3.2016

(sgj)

  
Rajiv Agarwal  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
8.3.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**C.A.No.18 of 2016 in O.A.No.877 of 2011  
(D.B.)**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkear, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is stated that notices of the present Contempt Application have been served upon the Respondents.

3. Accordingly, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. after four weeks for giving status by the Respondents in respect of compliance.

4. Adjourned to 05.04.2016

**DATE:** 8/3/16 D/B

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Shri Rameshkumar (Member) A V/C

**APPEARANCE:**


B.A. Bandiwadkear

**APPEARANCE FOR:**

N. G. Gohad  
C.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 5/4/16

B/A

  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) <b>✓</b><br/>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) <b>✓</b></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/3/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.236 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Banndiwadkar appearing for the Applicant stated that notices of the O.A. have been already served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. after two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply, along with identical matters i.e. O.A.No.167/2016, O.A.No.168/2016 and O.A.No.170/2016.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.03.2016.</p> <p align="center"><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p>  | <p>Tribunal's orders</p>   |
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u> OLB</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A v/c<br/><i>Shrihari P. Davare</i></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>5/4/16</u><br/><i>Bte</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.19 of 2016 in O.A.No.280 of 2014<br/>(D.B.)</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is stated that notices of the present Contempt Application have been served upon the Respondents. Hence, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. after four weeks, for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p>  | <p>Tribunal's orders</p>  |
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>29/3/16</u> <u>213</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A. VIC</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>H. G. Gohad</u> holding for<br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s<br/><u>A.J. Chougule</u> p.o. for Resps.<br/>Adj. To <u>29/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTC</u></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.898 of 2015</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. It appears that the affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf of Respondent No.3.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel Shri L.S. Deshmukh for the Applicant, on instructions, stated that the Applicant does not desire to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.</li> <li>4. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted, S.O. after three weeks for final hearing.</li> <li>5. Adjourned to 29.03.2016.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u> <u>DIB</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A/V/C</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>H. G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>9/4/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.29 of 2009 in O.A.No.243 of 2008<br/>(D.B.)</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant stated that he has traced out the brief and sought four weeks time to take instructions from the client.</p> <p>3. In view of the said submission, S.O. after four weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.04.2016.</p> <p align="right"> <br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**C.A.No.34 of 2012 in O.A.No.846 of 2009  
(D.B.)**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents tendered the copy of communication dated 08.03.2016 and sought four weeks time apprise further compliance of the order in question.

3. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant opposed the said prayer and pointed out that present Contempt Petition is pending since 2012 and further invited attention towards paragraphs 4 and 5 of the order in question dated 20.12.2011 passed in O.A.No.846 of 2009 whereby six months time was already granted to complete the exercise as stated therein.

4. In that view of the matter and considering the rival submissions, S.O. after two weeks to enable Respondents to furnish the status report in respect of compliance.

5. Hamdast permitted. S.O. to 22.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 22/3/16

D/B

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**C.A.No.52 of 2015 in O.A.No.315 of 2014  
(D.B.)**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents sought two weeks time as last chance to approach the Hon'ble High Court and to obtain stay therein against the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.315 of 2014 dated 24.02.2016, in view of the said submission, S.O. after two weeks as last chance.

3. Adjourned to 22.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A VC

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 22/3/16

  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

O.A. No:654 of 2015

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Just as Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate opened his submissions our attention is invited to Para 22 of the affidavit in reply filed by Shri Mangesh G. Pote, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Azad Maidan Division, Mumbai. Normally, in whatever manner the facts may have been phrased we do utmost of our own to decipher the meaning and implications thereof. However, we are really unable to comprehend the contents of this particular Para 22. The matter is of great moment which requires the enforcement of the provisions of Persons with Disability Act, 1995 which for all practical purposes is a Social Welfare Legislation. At the moment we do not say anything more of our own but we direct the deponent of the affidavit in reply named above to personally remain present inter alia to assist us in the import thereof. We reserve the option of initiating some action, if need be, after hearing him. S.O. to 22.3.2016. Hamdast.

DATE: 8/3/16

GRAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar (sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 22/3/16.  
Hamdast.

R.B. Malik  
(R.B. Malik) 8/3/16  
Member (J)  
8.3.2016

Rajiv Agarwal  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
8.3.2016

FH/HOB

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>None for the applicant</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>5/4/16</u></p> | <p><u>O.A. No.306 of 2010</u></p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to the applicant returnable on 5.4.2016.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i><br/>(R.B. Malik) 8/3/16<br/>Member (J)<br/>8.3.2016</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i><br/>Rajiv Agarwal<br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman<br/>8.3.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>OFFICER:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri P. B. MAHER (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents<br/>O.A. is admitted.<br/>Adj. To S.O. to 5/4/16.<br/>Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p> | <p><b>08.03.2016</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 297/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed by the Applicant. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 5.4.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Rajiv Agarwal</i><br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N.A. Gohad</u><br/><del>C.P.O. for the Respondents</del></p> <p><u>Ad. S.O. to 22/3/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p align="center"><b><u>08.03.2016</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 1127/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer files copy of order dated 20.2.2016, which according to her cancels the order of transfer of the Applicant. However, on reading of the order it is seen that it is nothing but compliance of the interim order of this Tribunal dated 29.12.2015, staying transfer of the Applicant and the order itself mentions clearly that this order is subject to final decision of the Tribunal in the present O.A.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to file their reply on the next date. S.O to 22.3.2016.</p> <p align="right"> <i>Rajiv Agarwal</i><br/>           (Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>           Vice-Chairman         </p> <p align="center">Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u><br/>C.E.O./ P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>O.A. is admitted.</p> <p>Adj. to <u>S.O. to 11/3/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>FH</i><br/><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p><b>08.03.2016</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 1132/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed by the Applicant on 14.2.2016 .</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 11.3.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Rajiv Agarwal</i><br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents<br/><u>O.A. is admitted.</u><br/><u>Adj. To</u><br/><u>S.O. to 6/4/16.</u><br/><u>Reply Filed by R. 104. FM</u></p> | <p><b>08.03.2016</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 1132/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply is filed. Learned Advocate Shri Lonkar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 6.4.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Rajiv Agarwal</i><br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**08.03.2016**

**O.A No 1106/2015**

Heard Shri A.S Gadre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed by the Applicant.

O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 18.3.2016.

*Rajiv Agarwal*  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

DATE: *8/3/16*

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. *A.S. Gadre*~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. *N.G. Gohad*~~

~~P.O. for the Respondents~~

*O.A is admitted.*

~~Adj. R. *18/3/16*~~

Akn

*EH*  
*[Signature]*



| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>QJAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>G. M. Savagave</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u><br/><del>G.P.O.</del> for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 29/3/16.</p> | <p><b><u>08.03.2016</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 75/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri G.M Savagave, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>It appears that a D.E was pending against the Applicant and that was the reason his pensionary dues was not finalized. By G.R dated 19.9.2015 the D.E has been concluded and penalty of deduction of Rs. 500/- per month from the pension of the Applicant for a period of 5 years have been imposed. That issue is not out of way.</p> <p>Learned P.O on instructions from Shri Satish Bane, Administrative Officer, from the office of Director of Health Services, Mumbai, stated that the pension has already been sanctioned by the Accountant General, Maharashtra. However, the P.P.O will be issued in a day or two. She also stated that the following dues of the Applicant have already been cleared:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Encashment of earned leave</li> <li>(ii) Group Insurance Scheme.</li> <li>(iii) G.P.F.</li> </ul> <p>Learned P.O stated that there may be some difficulty in making payment of DCRG of the Applicant as the Applicant has taken HBA which he has not repaid. Learned Advocate Shri Savagave stated that the Applicant has not taken HBA and the question of paying the same does not arise. Learned P.O is directed to produce copy of sanction order sanctioning HBA to the Applicant, copy of disbursement order, the bond given by the Applicant and undertaking given by the Applicant to repay the said HBA on the next date along with short affidavit.</p> <p>S.O to 29.3.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Rajiv Agarwal</i><br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/><del>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</del><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/><del>Shri/Smt. N.A. Gohad</del><br/>P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><del>Adj. To S.O. to 5/4/16.</del><br/>Hamdast.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p align="center"><b>08.03.2016</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 43/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Ms Swati S. Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This Tribunal has directed the Respondent no. 4 to vigorously follow up the matter with Accountant General, Maharashtra State, with regard to the pensionary dues to the Applicant. Mr E.B Jadhav, Clerk from the office of Respondent no. 4, is present today and states that the sanction from office of A.G is expected today. Though more than a year has lapsed affidavit in reply has not been filed, because the Applicant has also raised other issues like grant of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit of ACP scheme to her after completion of 12 and 24 years of service respectively. There are other dues like G.I.S and Encashment of leave etc. which are within the powers of the Respondents to sanction without reference to the A.G. However, no progress appears to be made with regard to these issues by the Respondents.</p> <p>The response of the Respondents in the matter has been highly unsatisfactory. Last chance to file affidavit in reply is granted. If no reply is filed on the next date, heavy cost will be imposed and the Respondents may have to be called to remain personally present in the Tribunal to explain the reasons for the delay. Similarly, it is expected that substantial progress will be made on the next date regarding payment of pensionary dues to the Applicant and her other grievances.</p> <p align="right">S.O to 5.4.2016. Hamdast.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i><br/>Rajiv Agarwal<br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. S.J. Chaurasia<br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/><del>Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad</del><br/>C.P.O. for the Respondents<br/>O.A. is admitted.<br/>Adj. in rejoinder filed<br/>by applicant.<br/>S.O. to 5/4/16.<br/>FH<br/>[Signature]</p> | <p><b>08.03.2016</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 679/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri S.J Chaurasia, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in rejoinder has been filed by the Applicant. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 5.4.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Signature]<br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>(Vice - Chairman)<br/><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. <u>G. Sadavarte</u> Akn<br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/><del>Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad</del><br/><del>G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</del><br/><del>holding for N.K. Rajpurohit</del><br/><del>e.p.o.</del><br/><del>Adj. T.</del><br/>O.A. is admitted.<br/>S.O. to 29/3/16.</p> <p align="right">FV<br/>[Signature]</p> | <p align="center"><b><u>08.03.2016</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 881/2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed by the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Sadavarte states that he does not wish to file rejoinder. O.A is admitted.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 29.3.2016. Respondents should keep the record regarding suspension of the Applicant for perusal of this Tribunal on the date of hearing.</p> <p align="right">Rajiv Agarwal<br/>(Rajiv Agarwal)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**C.A.No.04 of 2016 in O.A.No.260 of 2012  
(D.B.)**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant stated that notices for the Contempt Application have been already served upon the Respondents on 16.01.2016.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents stated that the application for extension of time to comply in the order in question was already filed in December, 2015 and the Respondents were waiting for the order to be passed therein which ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> rejected by order dated 02.03.2016 and copy thereof was received by the Respondents on 04.03.2016.

4. Learned P.O. on instructions further stated that Respondents' desire to challenge both the orders before the Hon'ble High Court and sought three weeks time.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances, S.O. after two weeks.

6. Adjourned to 22.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandrarao (Member) A v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 22/3/16.

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p>   | <p>Tribunal's orders</p>   |
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u> <u>DLB</u><br/> CORAM:<br/> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/> <del>Shri Han P. Davare</del><br/> Hon'ble Shri M. Ganeshkumar (Member) A <u>VIC</u><br/> APPEARANCE:<br/> Shri <del>Sat</del> <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u><br/> Advocate for the Applicant<br/> Shri /Smt: <u>H.K. Rajpurohit</u><br/> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s<br/> Adj. To: <u>5/4/16</u><br/> <u>BTC</u></p> | <p>Date : <b>08.03.2016.</b><br/> <b>C.A.No.118 of 2015 in O.A.No.846 of 2012<br/>(D.B.)</b><br/> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.<br/> 2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant, on instructions from the Applicant, stated that the Writ Petition has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court by the Respondents and interim order has been passed therein recently.<br/> 3. However, he sought four weeks time to obtain the copy of said order accordingly, S.O. after four weeks.<br/> 4. Adjourned to 05.04.2016.<br/> <br/> <p style="text-align: center;"><br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <br/> <p>prk</p> <br/> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p> </p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <del>M. Rameshkumar</del> (Member) A <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> V/K</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>V.V. Joshi</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/3/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.K.</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.113/2015 in O.A.No.154/2015 with<br/>C.A.No.114/2015 in O.A.No.1105/2015 (D.B.)</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 15.03.2016 for the compliance of the order in question and also order dated 09.02.2016 as last and final chance.</p> <p>3. If the said order is not complied with till next date, the concerned officer shall remain present before this Tribunal on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2016.</p> <p align="right"> <br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**C.A.No.60 of 2006 in O.A.No.1083 of 2003  
(D.B.)**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwaddekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. At the request of learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwaddekar appearing for the Applicant, S.O. after three weeks, to enable the learned Counsel to take instructions from the Applicant.
3. S.O. to 29.03.2016.

  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

DATE: 8/3/16      P/B

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice (C.A.) J. J. (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Sr. Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A V/C

APPEARANCE:  
Shri B. A. Bandiwaddekar  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri N. G. Gohad  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To..... 29/3/16





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**M.A.No.59 of 2016 in O.A.No.21 of 2015**

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants to seek amendment in O.A.No.21 of 2015 as per the schedule of the proposed amendment.

3. It appears from the proceeding of O.A. that the Applicants have challenged the reversion orders passed against them and also sought directions to the Respondents to consider them for promotion.

4. However, after filing the said O.A. the Applicants have retired on superannuation and therefore they desire to bring the said facts on record and also seek deemed date of promotion.

5. Hence, it is apparent that the Applicants desire to bring subsequent events on record which occurred after filing the O.A. Hence, proposed amendment appears to be of formal nature and the same deserves to be allowed. In the result, application is allowed and the applicants to carry out the proposed amendment in O.A.No.22/2015 within a period of two weeks and to serve the amended copy of the O.A. upon the Respondents within a period of one week thereafter and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

DATE: 8/3/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. Gaikwad (Member) A- v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicants

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. to M.A stands disposed of  
accordingly.

*File*

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p>  | <p>Tribunal's orders</p>   |
| <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p>  |  |
| <p><b>O.A.No.21 of 2015</b></p>   |  |
| <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>  |  |
| <p>2. It appears from proceedings that the O.A. is already admitted. However, since amendment has been allowed by order dated 08.03.2016 in M.A.No.59/2016, by allowing the said M.A.</p> |  |
| <p>3. S.O. after four weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply, if any, to the amended portion of the O.A. Steno copy is allowed.</p>  |  |
| <p>4. Adjourned to 29.03.2016.</p>  |  |
| <p>DATE : <u>8/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p>  |  |
| <p>CORAM :<br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A v/c</p>  | <p><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p>                             |
| <p>APPEARANCE :<br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>V.V. Joshi</u>.....</p>  | <p>prk</p>   |
| <p>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u>.....<br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p>   |  |
| <p>Adj. To. <u>29/3/16</u>.....</p>   |  |
| <p><i>B.T.</i><br/><i>D.</i></p>  |  |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:<br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Shrihari P. Davare<br/>Hon'ble Shri A. Kameshkumar (Member) A- V/C</p> <p>APPEARANCE:<br/>S.P. Manchekar<br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad<br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">B/R</p> | <p>Date : 08.03.2016.</p> <p><b>O.A.No.59/2016 with O.A.No.60 2016 with<br/>O.A.No.61/2016 with O.A.No.90/2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. after two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.102 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad stated that learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule is on leave and therefore requested for adjournment.

3. Request granted, S.O. to 22.03.2016 for final hearing.

  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

DATE: 8/3/16 DLB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri S. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Shri M. K. Chougule (Member) A. V/C

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule holding for

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's

Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O. for R.O.B.

Adj. To 22/3/16

F.H.  


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/> Hon'ble Justice <del>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</del><br/> <del>Shrihari P. Davare</del><br/> Hon'ble Shri <del>M. Rameshkumar (Member)</del> A. V/L</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/> Shri <u>S.A. Chavan</u><br/> Advocate for the Applicant<br/> Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u><br/> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.132 of 2015 in O.A.No.1131 of 2015</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Chavan, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to next Tuesday, i.e. 14.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/> Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.145 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after ~~two~~<sup>six</sup> weeks.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.04.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Mr. Anand Kumar (Member) A VC

APPEARANCE:

Shri G. Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C/O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To... 20/4/16

*B.T.C.*

*(Signature)*  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.107 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Giri, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after four weeks.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.04.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A. VC

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Giri .....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A. G. Ghod .....

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....5/4/16.....

*BTK*

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Justice A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Justice <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A J/C</p> <p>ADVOCATE:</p> <p>Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To: <u>22/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.48 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad appearing for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof have been served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. after two weeks for filing separate affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |



| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 8/3/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri M. P. Davare (Member) A- V/c</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u><br/>Shri/Smt. M. D. Gire<br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad<br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 5/4/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B. K.</p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.106 of 2016</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Gire, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after four weeks.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 05.04.2016.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"> <br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.105 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Giri, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after four weeks.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.04.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri M. D. Giri.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N. K. Rajpurohit.....

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....5/4/16.....

*B.K.*

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>President: <del>Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)</del><br/> <del>Shrihari P. Davare</del><br/>         Hon'ble Shri. <del>.....</del> (Member) A. v/c</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>As Counsel for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>H.G. Gohad</u><br/>         C.F.O./Secy for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>2/13/16</u></p> <p><i>B.T.</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.237 of 2016 with M.A.No.109 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar appearing for the Applicants on instructions stated that the Respondents No.1 and 2 have been duly served with the notices of the present O.A. and notice has been sent to Respondent No.3 by R.P.A.D. and acknowledgement thereof is awaited.</p> <p>3. Moreover learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar also states that present O.A. is covered by the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.841/2015 on 29.01.2016 which is annexed herewith as Exhibit-J at page 65.</p> <p>4. In that view of the matter and at the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.03.2016 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**M.A.No.109 of 2016 in O.A.No.237 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri. B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. The cause pursued by the Applicants in the O.A. is common and concurrent.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. disposed off accordingly.

DATE: 8/3/16

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A - v/c

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Counsel: B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Counsel: N.G. Gohad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: MA disposed of accordingly.

*[Handwritten initials]*

*[Signature]*  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders  |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Sri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A-1/C</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/3/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p>Date : 08.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.235 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 22.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/>Vice-Chairman</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A - <u>VIC</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Adv. <u>A.R. Joshi</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>T. F. Gohad</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>15/3/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.K.</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.37 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O., on instructions, stated that the affidavit-in-reply is under typing and therefore requested one week's time for filing the same.</p> <p>3. In view of the said submission, S.O. after one week, for filing reply, as last and final chance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.03.2016.</p> <p align="right"> <br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Shrihari P. Davare<br/>Hon'ble Shri B. Rameshkumar (Member) A-1/C</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>23/3/16</u><br/><u>B. K.</u></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.859 of 2015</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears from the proceedings that the affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar appearing for the Applicant stated that the Applicant does not wish to file any rejoinder thereto.</p> <p>4. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted.</p> <p>5. S.O. after two weeks i.e. 23.03.2016 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right"> <br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b> </p> <p>prk</p> |

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Komandkumar (Member) A ✓/c</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>15/3/16</u></p> <p><i>B.K.</i></p> | <p>Date : 08.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.1086 of 2015</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents, S.O. after one week to enable her to take instructions in respect of revision of Pay and pension as per sixth pay commission with <del>arrear</del> <sup>arrears</sup> and interest, if any, thereon, as prayed in prayer clause 10(b) of the present O.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.03.2016.</p> <p><i>shri</i></p> <p>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> |



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br>- Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>DATE :</b> <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jashi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri M. K. ... (Member) A. V.C.</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b><br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for. A.J. Chougule</u><br/>P.O. for the Respondent/s<br/>P.O. for Resps.<br/>Adj. To. <u>22/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.55 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the O.A, it is admitted, with liberty to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, S.O. to after two weeks for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.03.2016; along with identical matter i.e. O.A.No.20 of 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>DATE :</b> <u>2/3/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b><br/> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/> Shrihari P. Davare<br/> Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A/JC</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b><br/> Shri/mt : <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u><br/> Advocate for the Applicant<br/> Shri/mt : <u>N.G. Gohad holding for</u><br/> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s<br/> <u>A.J. Chougule, P.O. for K&amp;S.</u><br/> Adj. To..... <u>22/3/16</u><br/> <i>BTE</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.20 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant and also sought time to file separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and further stated that separate reply for Respondent no.3 is not necessary.</p> <p>3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted, with liberty to the Respondent No.1 to file separate affidavit-in-reply, if any, and also with liberty to the Applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder/s, if any, thereto.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.03.2016, for final hearing to be heard along with identical matter i.e. O.A.No.55 of 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><br/> <b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)</b><br/> <b>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.14 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit-in-rejoinder on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 3 have been already filed and learned P.O. sought time to take instructions whether separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is necessary and if necessary for filing the same. However, such reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is not filed today.

3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted with liberty to the Respondent No.2 to file separate affidavit-in-reply, if any.

4. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant states that applicant shall not file any rejoinder, in respect of reply filed by Respondents No.1 and 3 and liberty granted to the Applicant to file <sup>rejoinder</sup> if any, if the Respondent No.2 files any separate reply.

5. Accordingly, S.O after three weeks i.e. on 29.03.2016, for final hearing.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shrihari P. Davare - V/C

APPEARANCE:

B.A. Bandiwadekar

APPEARANCE FOR THE RESPONDENTS:

N.G. Gohad

Presenting Officer

Adj. To: 29/3/16

(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A-1/2</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u><br/>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>5/4/16</u><br/><u>BTE</u></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.938 of 2015</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. K.S, Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It appears from the proceedings that the affidavit-in-reply has been already filed on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A., it is admitted, with liberty to the Applicants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. after four weeks i.e. 05.04.2016, for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_, Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.9 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. after two weeks for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.
3. Adjourned to 22.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri Shrihari P. Davare (Member) A. M.C

For Applicant  
By C.T. Chandratre

For Respondent  
By Ms. N.G. Gohad  
C.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 22/3/16

*prk*

  
**(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,<br/>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br/>directions and Registrar's orders</p>  | <p>Tribunal's orders<br/><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p>  |
| <p><b>M.A.No.83 of 2016 in O.A.No195 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V. Natu, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. The cause pursued by the Applicants in the O.A. is common and concurrent.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><b>DATE : 8/3/16</b></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) <b>VC</b></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b><br/>Shri <u>S.V. Natu</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u><br/>C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>MA disposed off accordingly.</u><br/><b>BT</b></p> | <p><b>M.A.No.83 of 2016 in O.A.No195 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V. Natu, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. The cause pursued by the Applicants in the O.A. is common and concurrent.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No195 of 2016 with M.A.No.83 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri S.V. Natu, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable after three weeks.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within three weeks. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon. ~~Shri J. M. Joshi~~ (Chairman)  
Hon. ~~Shri N. K. Rajpurohit~~ (Member) A VC

APPEARANCE:

For Applicants S.V. Natu

For Respondents N.K. Rajpurohit  
Chief Presenting Officer

Adj. To 28/3/16

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.15 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 3 and Shri Uday Mande, the learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Counsel Shri Uday Mande for the Respondent No.2 has filed his note of appearance and a separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and the same are taken on record and copy thereof is served upon learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant.

3. In that view of the matter and considering the cause made out in the present O.A, it is admitted with liberty to the applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder/s, if any, S.O. after four weeks.

4. Adjourned to 12.04.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri <sup>Shrihari P. Davare</sup> M. Ramakrishna (Member) A v/c

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad  
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 12/4/16

*BTE*

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 08.03.2016.**

**O.A.No.211 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar appearing for the Applicant pointed out that specific order was passed by this Tribunal on 29.02.2016 directing the Respondents to examine whether Applicant can be granted the relief which was granted to the Applicants in O.A.No.866/2015, O.A.No.867/2015 and O.A.No.868/2015.

3. Moreover it also appears that learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad sought time to take instructions in that regard on the said dated i.e. 29.02.2016. However, learned P.O. stated that nobody appears for Respondent No.1 today.

4. In that view of the matter, S.O. after one week to comply with the directions issued by this Tribunal by order dated 29.02.2016 by way of last chance.

5. S.O. to 17.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Shrihari P. Davare  
Hon'ble Sri M. Ramonikumar (Member) A. VIC

Applicant

B.A. Bandiwadekar

Presenting Officer

M. N. G. Gohad

Respondent's

App. No. 1713/16

*B.K.*

  
(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>8/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Shrihari P. Davare<br/>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A/V/c</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>Shri/Secr. <u>R.M. Kolge</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Secr. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u><br/>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009 with C.A.No.54 of 2014 in O.A.No.173 of 2009 with C.A.No.55 of 2014 in O.A.No.54 of 2010 (D.B.)</b></p> <p>1. Heard R.M. Kolge, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit appearing for the Respondent tendered the copy of the affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 as per order dated 15.01.2016 along with copy of communication dated 02.03.2016 issued by the Desk Officer, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department and the same are taken on record and copies thereby have been served upon learned Counsel for the Applicant</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel Shri R.M. Kolge appearing for the Applicant, S.O. after two weeks to enable to study the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i><br/><b>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM:<br/>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)<br/>Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>C.K. Bhagoj</u><br/>Advocate for the Applicant<br/>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u><br/>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/3/16</u><br/><u>gte</u></p> | <p>Date : 08.03.2016</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.68 of 2016</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.K. Bhagoji, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. O.A. is admitted.</li> <li>3. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents the fixed date is being given. Order of status quo has been made.</li> <li>4. Status-quo shall continue till next date adjourning before appropriate bench to 28.03.2016.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 28.03.2016.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <br/> <u>8/3/16</u><br/> <b>(R.B. Malik)</b><br/> <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>gba</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,<br>Appearance, Tribunal's orders or<br>directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

Date : 08.03.2016

O.A.No.659 of 2015

1. Heard Shri K.K. Waghmare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention is granted.
3. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on the next date when the matter appears before the bench and not thereafter.

DATE : 8/3/16 DLB

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri K.K. Waghmare

Advocate for the Applicant


Shri Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / E.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.

Adj. To Liberty to mention is granted

ATE

  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

Date : 08.03.2016


O.A.No.159 of 2016

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1,2 & 3 and Ms. Madhuri Soni, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Ms. Madhuri Soni, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered Vakalatnama. It is taken on record.

3. The Respondents are directed to positively file affidavits-in-reply on the next date because of the nature of the dispute herein.

4. By way of last chance, S.O. to 29.3.2016.

  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)  
8/3/16

sba

DATE: 8/3/16 DIB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jadhav (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Shri K.S. Gaikwad

Adv. Madhuri Soni for R.No. 4.

Adj. To..... 29/3/16 as a last chance



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

Date : 08.03.2016

O.A.No.317 of 2015

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention is granted.
3. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on the next date when the matter appears before the bench and not thereafter.

DATE: 8/3/16 DIB  
 CORAM:  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
R.B. Malik  
 Hon'ble Justice M. Kamlesh Kumar (Member) A J

APPEARANCE:  
 For Applicant: G.A. Bandiwadkar

For Respondent:  
 Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Admit.  
Liberty to mention is granted.

F.H.  
[Signature]

[Signature]  
8/3/16  
 (R.B. Malik)  
 Member(J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

Date : 08.03.2016

M.A.No.54 of 2016 in O.A.No.31 of 2015

1. Heard Shri Govind Solanke holding for Shri Pratap Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.4 is taken on record.
3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 15.03.2016.

DATE: 8/3/16 dlb

CORAM:

Member (Chairman) A.H. Ingle

Member (Member) R.B. Malik

APPEARANCE:

Shri Govind Solanke holding for

P.V. Patil  
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 15/3/16

[Signature]

[Signature]  
8/3/16

(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders  |
|---|--|
|   | <p><b>Date : 08.03.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.09 of 2016 in O.A.No.07 of 2015 (D.B.)</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad appearing for the Respondents tendered the copy of communication dated 02.03.2016 annexing therewith the copy of the order dated 01.03.2016, the said communication and order are taken on record and marked as documents annexure- X colly appointing Applicant on the post of Nursery Assistant in Dhule, and stated that the order in question dated 14.10.2015 passed in O.A.NO.7 of 2015 has been complied with.</p> <p>3. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant made grievance about condition no.11 which has been imposed upon the applicant in the said appointment order dated 01.03.2016 and thereby written undertaking has been sought from the Applicant, while giving appointment, that he was not under private / Government employment and further stated that the said condition is unwarranted.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> |



| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders  | Tribunal's orders   |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>8/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>Shrihari P. Davare</u> (Member) A v/c</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>C.T. Chandraty</u></p> <p>Advocate <u>M.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.M.O. <u>.....</u> (Member/s)</p> <p>Adj. To <u>C.A. stands disposed of.</u></p> <p>No order as to costs</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p>4. In the said context, learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Prakash Sangalre, District Superintending, Agriculture Officer, Dhule who is present before the Tribunal stated that the said condition shall be removed within the period of two weeks, as the applicant is already in Government service and the said statement is accepted and taken on record.</p> <p>5. In that view of the matter, present Contempt Application stands disposed off. No order as to costs.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>(Shrihari P. Davare, J.)<br/>Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> |