

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.323 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.U. Isane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. Rupali Kamlapurkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today during hearing Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.4 submits that applicant was working in ZP, Thane till April 2010. Thereafter he was transferred to Public Health Department and he joined accordingly. The applicant retired in February, 2016. Till March, 2010 he was having an amount of Rs.5,82,931/- in his GPF Account with ZP. The same was transferred to his GPF Account with Government in the year 2015. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 mentions that till the amount was transferred to GPF Account of Government, the amount was retained by ZP, Thane and therefore interest on the same would be calculated as per rules and whatever balance interest which is not paid would be calculated and paid to the applicant. She states that efforts would be made to disburse the amount to the applicant before the next date.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 28.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.225 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.M. Thakur ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to SB-2. Therefore, the same be placed before SB-2.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.275 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P. a. Gawhale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to Sur-Rejoinder filed by Respondent Nos.1 to 7. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>M.A.No.574 of 2019 in O.A.No.1032 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Kamble .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A.1032/2019 challenging appointment of Respondent No.2 on the post of Police Patil along with an application for condonation of delay of 299 days caused for filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.2 has filed reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. On previous date, learned Counsel for the Applicant was directed to take steps for service of M.A. on Respondent No.3. However, no steps seems to have been taken. On the contrary, Applicant and his Counsel are absent today. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>5. Hence, Misc. Application is dismissed in default.</p> <p>6. Accordingly O.A. is disposed of with no order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OA.830/19 with MA.450/19</u></p> <p>S.P. Sangave &amp; Ors. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 29.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.62 of 2019 in O.A. No.464 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.W. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a communication dated 7.1.2020 from the respondents intimating that the applicant has been reinstated. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. He further submits that posting orders are expected to be issued within one week.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 16.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)  8.1.2020 </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  8.1.2020 </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.1101 of 2019</p> <p>J.B. Badekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 22.01.2020 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chalrman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><sup>01</sup> Tribunal's orders Date : 08.02.2020.</p>
	<p>O.A.No.292 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : <sup>01.</sup> 08.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.94 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Jakkanawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the O.A. pertaining to tracing the missing credits has been filed long ago and replies regarding the same are not forthcoming even though several notices have been issued.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks 10 days time for reporting progress.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit)  Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>Date : 08.01.2020.</b>
	<b>O.A.No.888 of 2018 with M.A.No.224 of 2019</b>
	<b>A.S. Shirsath &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>
	<b>Versus</b>
	<b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b>
	1. Heard Shri Kaustabh Gidh, learned Advocate holding
	for Smt. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and
	<b>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</b>
	2. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 14.01.2020.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J)
	Sd/-
	(P.N. Dixit)
	Vice-Chairman
	prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 08.01.2020. Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><b>O.A.No.542 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Gulekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 23.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.02.2020.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.698 of 2019 with O.A.No.699 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S.J. Mane (O.A.698/2019)</b>  <b>Dr. A.R. Jadhav (O.A.699/2019) ....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri Arjun S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents and learned Advocate Shri Arjun S. Pawar for the Respondent No.4 both seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.02.2020.
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.933 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>P.D. Gosavi</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept in due course with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 08.01.2020. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.968 of 2019
---	---

**R.C. Pawar & Ors. ....Applicants**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that Respondent No.3 has filed affidavit mentioning that the proposal regarding promotions has been submitted on 20.04.2018 to Respondent No.1. It has been also followed up by sending queries on 07.08.2019. However, there is no further action thereafter. Respondent No.1 is therefore directed to submit the affidavit regarding action taken thereafter.

3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. Learned C.P.O. is directed to intimate Respondents accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.971 of 2019 with O.A.No.972 of 2019 with O.A.No.973 of 2019</p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. (O.A.971/2019) A.L. Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. (O.A.972/2019) S.S. Shelar &amp; Ors. (O.A.973/2019) ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks for filing reply. Issue of interim relief will be considering on next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.01.2020.

O.A.No.325 of 2018

A.M. Jadhao

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondent No.2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Adjourned to 14.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.02.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.324 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>D.A. Dalvi. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 and 2 and Shri A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Today, during Final hearing learned C.P.O. tenders communication appointing the applicant as Deputy Director on 20.12.2019. The same is marked as 'X' and taken on record for the purpose of identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, nothing survives in the O.A. and O.A. is therefore accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020.</p> <p><b>O.A.No.309 of 2015 with O.A.No.937 of 2015</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Galande &amp; Ors. (O.A.309/2015)</b>  <b>N.T. Kamble (O.A.937/2015) ....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 as per directions from the Tribunal. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.01.2020 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 08.01.2020.

**C.A.No.33 of 2019 in O.A.No.752 of 2018**

**Dr. R.V. Solanke**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Prachi Mestry, learned Advocate holding for Shri Arshad Hainday, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Respondents are directed to file fresh affidavit on behalf of Principal Secretary.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 14.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 08.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.921 of 2015</p> <p>A.V. Disale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, Y.M. Birajder, learned P.O. i/b Shri V.V. Ugale, learned P.O. for the Respondents and Shri A.A. Desai with Shri R. Takale, learned Advocate for the Intervenors.</p> <p>2. M.P.S.C. is directed to provide merit list candidates in advance to learned Advocate Shri A.A. Desai.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 08.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1071 of 2019</p> <p><b>A.B. Suryawanshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that instructions have been received and he will file reply within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

<sup>o.i.</sup>  
Date : 08.02.2020.

O.A.No.1019 of 2019 with O.A.No.1022 of 2019

G.S. Kumawat (O.A.1019/2019)

A.S. Sonawane & Ors. (O.A.1022/2019)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks for filing reply. Issue of interim relief will be considered on next date.

3. Adjourned to 21.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 08.02.2020.</p>
	<p>O.A.No.1029 of 2019</p> <p>Pillai M.V. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustabh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana 'B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.01.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ✓</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 08.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.256 of 2019 with O.A.No.257 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.D. Kulkarni (O.A.256/2019) Dr. P.D. Shendge (O.A.257/2019) ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents,</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.P. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit in O.A.No.256/2019 and O.A.No.257/2019 as per directions given in the order dated 26.11.2019. Both are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</span> Member(J) <span style="float: right;">Vice-Chairman</span></p> <p>prk</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 08.02.2020. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.119 of 2019 with M.A.No.14 of 2020

A.T. Sakore (O.A.119/2019)

S.P. Sabale (M.A.14/2020)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Tushar R. Sakore, husband of the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3 & 5 and Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that Respondent No.5 had mentioned in his affidavit that the process of verification regarding NCL is yet to be completed. Affidavit has been filed on 23.07.2019.

4. Respondent No.5 or a responsible officer on his behalf is directed to remain present on next date to clarify the position. Husband of the Applicant Shri Tushar R. Sakore submits that learned Advocate for the Applicant is busy otherwise.

5. Adjourned to 14.01.2020.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.52 of 2019 in O.A. No.560 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order dated 29.11.2019 passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P. No.10925 of 2019. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Para 5 of the said order reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"5. At this stage, learned AGP submits that sometime may be given to comply the impugned order. We are inclined to accept the request and grant four weeks time to the Government to comply with the impugned order."</i></p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks one weeks time for reporting progress.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.54 of 2019 in O.A. No.768 of 2018</u></p> <p>K.R. Nannaware ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit on behalf of respondent no.2 and on instructions from Shri S.A. Andhale, Under Secretary submits that proposal for pension case has been submitted to the AG, Nagpur on 23.12.2019.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to find out the progress expeditiously and report same to this Tribunal on 15.1.2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.662/19 &amp; MA.612/19 in OA.1084/19</u></p> <p>K.D. Salunkhe &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants files additional affidavit on behalf of the applicants in support of his claim regarding locus etc. Ld. CPO seeks time for examining the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.1.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2014 in O.A. No.465 of 2008</u></p> <p>The Tracers Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that he will furnish list of applicants who did not receive the benefits in pursuance of earlier order of this Tribunal. At his request adjourned to 5.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.50/2019 in O.A.846/2003 &amp; O.A.389/2001</u></p> <p>V.A. Pawar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents no.1 &amp; 2 and the same is taken on record. He submits that the matter may be adjourned by one week for reporting progress.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.s.642, 643, 644, 646, 647/19 in</u> <u>OAs.1122, 1123, 1124, 1127, 1128/19</u></p> <p>E.J. Barshinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one weeks time to file reply. At her request adjourned to 15.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>7 Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> </div> </div> <p>(sg)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.491/19 in MA.472/19 in OA.507/18</u></p> <p>A.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the OA which was dismissed may be restored.</p> <p>3. He is directed to deposit cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of this Tribunal and produce receipt thereof.</p> <p>4. At his request adjourned to 14.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.474/19 in OA.862/19 with MA.475/19 &amp; MA.569/19 in OA.925/17</u></p> <p>D.K. Gunjal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 6, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.7, 11, 15, 19, 21, 24, 26, 31, 42, 52 to 55.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.6 in MA.569/19 in OA.925/17 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that MA.476/19 in OA.925/17 has been withdrawn and the same has been replaced by MA.569/19. Therefore, MA.476/19 may not be shown on board.</p> <p>4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate seeks two weeks time for filing in MAs.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.1.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.625 of 2019 in O.A. No.874 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 16.1.2020 for filing reply in MA.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.12 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 29.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 8.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 8.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.834 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Patil</b> <b>....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <b>...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Prerana Janvekar holding for Shri R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Sd/-</b> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.546 of 2016</p> <p>A. L. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present matter is Part-Heard.</p> <p>3. On previous date, on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing. However, today also again learned Counsel sought adjournment on the ground that he has sought certain documents and same will be received by him at the end of this month.</p> <p>4. Shir A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents also seeks adjourned stating that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. who is in charge of the matter is on leave.</p> <p>5. In view of above, matter is adjourned for final hearing with specific direction that no further time will be granted on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.17 of 2020</p> <p>V. S. Ahire &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents Intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 04.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 08.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>M. A. No.16 of 2020 in O.A.No.17 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>V. S. Ahire &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.16/2020 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">~ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.16 of 2020 with M.A.15 of 2020</p> <p>S. A. Talekar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.02.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. in case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 04.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.11 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. Kamble <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 04.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1083 of 2019 with 1086/19, 1087/19, 1092/19, 1093/19 with M.A.621/19 with 1094/19 with M.A.622/19 with 1088/19 with 1095/19 with O.A.1112/19 with O.A.1212/2019</p> <p>S. A. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, Shri U. V. Bhosale and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O., one week's time is granted for filing reply on behalf of Government, if any.</li> <li>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 15.01.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>