

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A:971/2018</u></p> <p>Mr. N.K. Birajdar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 11th May, 2018 whereby he was kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E. invoking Section 25(1) of Maharashtra Police Act read with Maharashtra Police (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1956.</p> <p>3. Today, the matter is fixed for filing reply and for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. on instructions from the Department submitted that the D.E. is already completed and final order will be issue soon. He, therefore, proposes that the O.A. be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>5. Whereas, Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for Applicant submitted that the D.E. was required to be completed within a period of 60 days as seen from the Charge-sheet but not completed within the time framed, and therefore, the Applicant was compelled to approach this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Though as per the Charge-sheet, the D.E. was to be completed within 60 days, the fact remains that it is not completed within a specified period.</p> <p>7. In view of the above admitted fact, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable direction as the D.E. is at the verge of completion.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 8/1/19

CORAM

Honble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

~~By Shri. V.V. Joshi~~.....

Advocate for the Applicant

~~By Shri. A.J. Chougale~~.....

~~C.P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adv. C.O. to O.A. is disposed~~
of

[Signature]

8. As stated above, the Applicant was kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E. and now the D.E. at the verge of completion. Whether, the suspension was justified or not is the subject matter and the outcome of D.E. Therefore, The O.A. is disposed of with following directions :

- (A) The Respondents to complete the D.E. including passing of final order in the D.E. within one month from today and to pass appropriate order in accordance to law.
- (B) The Subsistence Allowance, if not paid, be paid.
- (C) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
08.01.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.798/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. A.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. In the present O.A, the Applicant is seeking retiral benefits, which has been withheld by the Respondents. 3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2017. 4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant files Affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record. 5. The stands taken by the Respondents is that the D.E. was initiated, and therefore, the retiral benefits could not be released. 6. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Department has initiated D.E. only after filing of this O.A. and there is delay on the part of disciplinary authority to expedite the matter. Whereas the learned P.O. submitted that the D.E. is already completed and final show cause notice has been also issued to the Applicant. 7. Thus, what emerges from the admitted fact that the Applicant retired on 31.05.2017. His retiral benefits were withheld on account of D.E. which was initiated after retirement invoking Rule 27 of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1979. Besides, admittedly, the D.E. is completed and only final order will be passed on receipt of reply of show cause notice from the Applicant.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/19</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri. M. B. Kadcam</p> <p>As a costs for the Applicant Shri. A. J. Chougale G.P. D.T.O. as Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>O.A. is disposed</u> of </p>	<p>8. As such, the question of retiral benefits depends upon the fate of the D.E. Therefore, it would be appropriate to dispose of this O.A. with suitable directions.</p> <p>9. The O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to compete the D.E. including passing of final order within six weeks from today and to pass appropriate order in respect of pensionary benefits, as the case may be.</p> <p>10. No order as to costs. Hamdast allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.01.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.24/2019</u></p> <p>Mr. S.M. Bansode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A, the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 16th December, 2013 whereby he was kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1)(c) of Maharashtra Civil Service (Disciplinary & Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has challenged this suspension order on the ground that the prolonged suspension is illegal as neither D.E. is initiated nor review has been taken in terms of G.R. dated 14th October, 2011.</p> <p>4. It is really surprising that, though the Applicant has been suspended in 2013, no steps have been taken to initiate the D.E. or to place the matter before the Review Committee to consider the subject of revocation of suspension. As such, this prolonged suspension appears totally unwarranted.</p> <p>5. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. submitted that she has to take instructions from the Department and wants four weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>6. Mr. Manoj Lohar, Superintendent of Police, Mumbai is present on behalf of Respondent. He stated that he wants to search the record to ascertain the position. It is appalling that the concerned Department who issued the suspension order itself is not aware about the progress in the matter. Mr. Manoj Lohar, S.P, Mumbai to remain present on the next date and to inform this Tribunal about the status of D.E. and also to file reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In view of above, the matter needs to be expedited.</p> <p>8. Issue notice made returnable on 15th January, 2019.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 15th January, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.01.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.255/2018</u></p> <p>Mr. A.V. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On hearing the learned Counsels for quite some time, it transpires that there is a short point of limitation is involved. According to learned P.O., the amount has been recovered in 2016 but the O.A. is filed in 2018, and therefore, according to her, it is barred by limitation.</p> <p>4. Whereas, the learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that the amount has been recovered onwards 2016 from pension, and therefore, it is a case of continuous cause of action.</p> <p>5. However, on perusal of record, it reveals that the Applicant has not produced the document to show that the exact period of recovery.</p> <p>6. The learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, sought short time to ascertain the factual position and to argue the matter. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 22nd January, 2019.</p> <p>7. S.O. 22nd January, 2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.01.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>D.A.No.281 of 2018</p> <p>R.J. PandharpurApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.427/2018 delay caused in filing of O.A.No.281/2018 is condoned. In O.A.No.281/2018 Respondent No.1 and 2 have already filed their affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete. Matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing on 29.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.890/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. U.P. Ugale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. While hearing the matter on merit, the learned P.O. has raised the point of limitation. However, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that, in the Affidavit-in-reply, while replying Para No.2.5 of the application, replied that "The Applicant had filed the O.A. within limitation". In view of this submission, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that, now the Respondents cannot challenge the application on the point of limitation.</p> <p>3. There cannot be admission of law as any estoppels, the point of limitation needs to be considered by this Tribunal irrespective of plea raised by the parties, if it is purely question of law.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O, therefore, directed to explain so called admission made in reply while giving reply to Para No.2.5 and to file fresh Affidavit of the concerned person who has sworn the Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22nd January, 2019. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.01.2019</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

M.A.NO.427 OF 2018

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.281 OF 2018

R.J. Pandharpur

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER(J)

DATE : 08.01.2019.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the applicant was serving as Police Inspector and stands retired on 30.04.2017. However, he was not granted the benefits of promotional post on his retirement in view of his reversion to the post of P.S.I. for want of posts in 2015. Therefore applicant has filed this O.A. for deemed date of promotion and for retirement benefits on the posts of pay of P.I. As the O.A. could not be filed within one year from the date of retirement he has filed the M.A. for condonation of delay of one year.

3. Learned Advocate Shri V.V. Joshi for the Applicant submitted that O.A. is being filed for retirement benefits of the promotional post of pay of P.I, it is continuing cause of action and therefore the question of limitation in fact does not survive. Secondly, he placed reliance on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of N. BALKRISHNAN V. M. KRISHNAMURTHY, (1998) 7 SCC 123, wherein it has been held that the rules of limitation are not meant to destroy the rights of parties. The object of providing a legal remedy is to repair the damage caused by reason of legal injury. The Apex Court has further expressed the views that no hard and fact rules can be made to infer or ascertain sufficient cause because the expression sufficient cause should receive a liberal construction and the object of the Court should be pragmatic and not pedantic and what is to be seen is that the litigant has acted with reasonable diligence.

4. Whereas learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents opposed the application on the ground that delay is not explained appropriately. In M.A. applicant sought to contend that because of the marriage of his daughter and other family difficulties he could not make application within limitation and therefore prayer to condone delay of one year.

5. As stated above it seems the case of continuous ^{cause} ~~course~~ of action and secondly the court should adopt justice oriented approach so as to decide the matter on merit.

6. Therefore, in view of above delay is condoned and M.A. is allowed. Respondents to file reply in O.A.No.281/2018 within three weeks.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Covam, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.770 of 2018</p> <p>S.Y. ShaikhApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.2 & 3 and Shri S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.1</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Shri S. Deshmukh for Respondent No.1 has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole, two week's time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No. 2 & 3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.8 of 2018</p> <p>R.O. UgaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete. Matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing on 05.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.609 of 2018</p> <p>K.M. MoreApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant has challenged the transfer order. However, during the pendency of this application he has made representation dated 11.12.2018 to the Respondents No.1 to accommodate him at Ahmednagar or Alibaug on vacant post. It is for Respondent No.1 to consider the representation independently and to take decision thereon.</p> <p>3. In view of the representation made by the applicant Respondents seeks two week's time for Final hearing so that the representation can be decided by that time.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for final hearing on 22.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.669 of 2018 in O.A.No.876 of 2017</p> <p>J.R. SonkavdeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Oole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.669/2018 has been filed for restoration of O.A.No.876/2017 which has been dismissed by order dated 28.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Sakolkar for the Applicant submitted that as the matter was not noted in his dairy he could not attend it on 28.11.2018 and therefore requested to restore the O.A. so as to decide it on merit for the reasons stated in the application.</p> <p>4. M.A.No.669/2018 is allowed and O.A. is restored to the file. O.A.No.876/2017 be listed for final hearing on 05.02.2019, in view of the order passed in M.A.No.669/2018. This O.A. is restored to file adjourned for final hearing on 31.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.711 of 2018</p> <p>B.R. KhatkeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 to 4 and is also adopted on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>D.A.No.1115 of 2017</p> <p>S.R. PawarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete. Matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing on 05.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>21/1/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri. P. N. Dixit C.M.J.</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>App. & his adv. are absent</u></p> <p>Associate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>11/1/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.625 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance OA is adjourned to 11.1.2019. In case applicant does not remain present, matter would be taken up for dismissal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. A. Dixit (Mtn)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A. Jaiswar</u> Ld. Adv.</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>15/1/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.604 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.J. Mulik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A. Jaiswar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : <u>Shri/ Smt. Miss Punam Sapate</u> Ld. Adv. holding for <u>Adv. Smt. P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./C.O. to <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.122 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss Punam Sapate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply has been filed on behalf of respondent no.2. According to her he is competent authority.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p style="text-align: left;">(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.93 of 2018

P.K. Sapate ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar,
learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 15.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019.

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (Cmty)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. None for the app.

Adv. for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 15/1/2019

(sgl)

S.P.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri Y. H. Dixit (Mn)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S.S. Dere</u> Adv. for Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>23.1.2019 by way</u> <u>of last chance.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1052 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.K. Ligade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that no reply has been filed by any of the respondents inspite of directions issued earlier. No reason has been mentioned for failure as well. She seeks further two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.487 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

DATE : 21/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. Name for the app.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 15.1.19.

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (Mn)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Ms. Punam Sapate</u> Ld. Adv. holding for Adv. Smt. P. Mahajan Adv. _____ Applicant</p> <p><u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./Adv. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>PH</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.378 of 2018 in O.A. No.686 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.D. Rawte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Punam Sapate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3. and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/19</u></p> <p>COSEK: <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (C.M.A.)</u> <u>Hon'ble Justice Shri H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Ms. Prachi Hendre Ld. Adv.</u> <u>holding for Shri V.V. Joshi Ld. Adv.</u></p> <p><u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>15/1/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.240 of 2018 in O.A. No.398 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>21/1/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S.S. Dere</u> Adv. for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.958 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.D. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>8/1/2019</u></p> <p>COM: <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</u> <u>Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>A. _____ Applicant</p> <p><u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C. _____ for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. O. to <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.914 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.A. Desale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>8/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P. N. Dixit (M.A.)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>5/2/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Mic</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1001 of 2018 with O.A. No.923 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.M. Koli S.M. Nilkanth ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>08/01/19</u></p> <p>CORAM Honble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocates for the Applicant <u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p><u>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p><u>Agree to O.A. is disposed of</u></p>	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.3 of 2019 (Subject : Transfer)</p> <p>K.H. SableApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant has challenged order dated 24.12.2018 whereby he was deployed from Otur Police Station, Pune (Rural) to Police Headquarters, Pune (Rural). However today learned P.O. has tendered the copy of letter dated 05.01.2019 whereby Respondents has cancelled order dated 24.12.2018 and he has been reinstated in its original place of posting.</p> <p>3. Copy of order is taken on record. Since grievance of the applicant no more survive, O.A. is therefore disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">D..e Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.15 of 2019</p> <p>C.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicant is absent. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is taken on today's board in pursuance of the order passed by this Tribunal yesterday, in view of the withholding of pensionary benefits despite order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.551 of 2016 dated 31.08.2016.</p> <p>3. However, Shri Dnyanchandra M. Gitte, Administrative Officer, Commissionerate of Agriculture, Pune is present and submitted that the departmental enquiry in question in O.A.No.551/2016 has been already closed, but the applicant has been found involved in another incident while the applicant was working at Ratnagiri and therefore another departmental enquiry was contemplated. He further stated that the proposal is under consideration at the Government level and therefore pensionary benefits were not released.</p> <p>4. On the above background learned P.O. seeks two week's time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.402 of 2017</p> <p>T.H. DhekaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today though the matter is for final hearing learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents submitted that reply on behalf of Respondent No.8 is not yet filed and he wants to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.4 has filed affidavit-in-reply which is at page 98. Thereafter, Respondents No.4 and 6 have also filed affidavit-in-reply to the amended portion which is at page 174. In this matter there are in all 8 Respondents. However, learned P.O. makes a statement that he wants to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.8 only and that will be enough for the purpose of this application.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. two week's time is granted on behalf of Respondent No.8.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.337 of 2017 in O.A. No.35 of 2017

S.A. Adake

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO mentions that respondents propose to produce
necessary record and seeks adjournment till tomorrow.

3. S.O. to 9.1.2019.

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.H. Dixit (C.M.J.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Adv. Present

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 9/1/2018

g/e

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

(sgl)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><i>D/ 8/1/19</i></p> <p><i>CC Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</i> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C/s</p> <p><i>sata 9/1/2019</i></p> <p><i>sgj</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.628 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment till tomorrow to take fresh instructions from the applicant regarding continuation or otherwise.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 8.1.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1023 of 2018

A.A. Bagwan & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 5.2.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (Mtn)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/2/19.

(sgj)

11/7
Sg

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.943 of 2018

B.I. Khot ..Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant mentions on instructions of the applicant that applicant has been given appointment in November, 2018 as prayed by him. He, therefore, proposes to withdraw the OA and submits that a letter to this effect would be filed shortly. Accordingly OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

DATE : 21/12/19

CORAM : shri. P.N. Dixit (Member)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman).

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.R. Deshpande

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Accordingly, O.A

is allowed to be withdrawn & disposed off as such.

(sgj)

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions und Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.988 of 2018

S.R. Kale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Tamhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment till 30.1.2019 for filing MA for condonation of delay.

3. S.O. to 30.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (Mn)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.S. Tamhane

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 30/1/2019

(sgl)

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.878 of 2018

Dr. V.K. Kadalage ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.D. Hon. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 11.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

(sgl)

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)
Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.D. Hon.

Advocate for Applicant

Shri S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 11.1.2019

[Handwritten Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.847 of 2018

D.K. Naik ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 16.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
8.1.2019

(sg)

DATE : 8/1/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (Mn)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 16/1/2019

BT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 08.01.2019 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1056 of 2018

V.L. Hate

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 05.02.2019.

Sd/-

^{ww}
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 08.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1136 of 2018</p> <p>R.Y. KhilareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. Devkhile, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 22.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 08.01.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1015 of 2018 (Subject : Interest Delay payment and increments)</p> <p>M.H.H. KacchiApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. Applicant has claimed interest on delayed payment of pensionary benefits. He has made representation dated 21.01.2017 claiming interest on the delayed payment but no action has been taken therefore he has filed this O.A.</p> <p>3. As the matter pertains to the interest on delayed payment it needs to be first considered and decided by the concerned authority. Hence, this matter can be disposed of with directions.</p> <p>4. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondents No.1 to 3 to consider representation dated 21.01.2017 and to decide it in accordance to law within three months from today and decision shall be communicated to the Applicant. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>