

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/7/16.</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M.N. Sandhyanshiv</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>O.A. is formally admitted.</u></p> <p><u>S.O. to 25/7/16.</u></p> <p><u>sd/</u></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.438 of 2016</p> <p>Shri L.P. Varule &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Or ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.N. Sandhyanshiv, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks an adjournment to file affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was already given.</p> <p>3. The O.A. proceeds without reply but making it clear that on the next date when the matter appears before the bench, if the reply is tendered, it will be taken on record but no adjournment would be given for the said purpose.</p> <p>4. The O.A. is formally admitted and it will be placed before the appropriate bench on 25.07.2016.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.07.2016.</p> <p><u>sd/</u> <u>07-7-16</u> <b>(R.B. Malik)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>[PTO.]</p>

Sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2016

O.A.No.461 of 2016

Shri A.M Naik .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or ...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad , the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder is not filed.
3. Admit: The matter be placed before appropriate bench for arguments on 21.07.2016.
4. S.O. to 21.07.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~  
~~(Chairman)~~  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. N.K. Jagdale  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. T. DA's admit  
S.O. to 21/7/16.

*FH*  
*[Signature]*

Sd/-  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)

Sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

07.07.2016

O.A No 1006/2015

Shri A. G Deore & others ... Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer is being instructed by Shri R.K. Fund, Assistant Sales Tax Commissioner, Nasik. Nobody from Finance Department and G.A.D is present. Some initial submission made on behalf of the Applicant. The perusal of the record would show that the affidavit in reply has been filed by the Assistant Commissioner of Sales Tax, Nasik. No affidavit has been filed either by the Finance Department, Respondent no. 4 or G.A.D, Respondent no. 5. The perusal of the record would show that this O.A may involve crucial issues to be determined interalia in respect of the various provisions including Section 47 of the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, and therefore, much against our inclination to hear the matter at the earliest and in order to decide the matter in an effective manner, we think it will be proper to give an opportunity to the Finance Department and G.A.D to file an affidavit by a very responsible Senior Officer bearing in mind the movement of the matter. If the affidavit of a responsible officer from Social Justice Department is considered necessary, the same may also be filed because in any case, State is represented in the array of the Respondents.

S.O to 22.7.2016. Haradast.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:


Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
 (Vice - Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar  
 Advocats for the Applicant  
 Shri K.S. Gaikwad  
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Sd/-  
 (R.B. Malik)  
 Member (J)  
 Akn

Sd/-  
 (Rajiv Agarwal)  
 Vice-Chairman

Ad. To S.O. to 22/7/16.  
 Haradast. 

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 07.07.2016**

**O.A.No.438 of 2016**

**Shri L.P. Varule & Ors. ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Or ...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri M.N. Sandhyanshiv, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks an adjournment to file affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was already given.

3. The O.A. proceeds without reply but making it clear that on the next date when the matter appears before the bench, if the reply is tendered, it will be taken on record but no adjournment would be given for the said purpose.

4. The O.A. is formally admitted and it will be placed before the appropriate bench on 25.07.2016.

5. S.O. to 25.07.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16.

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.N. Sandhyanshiv  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is formally admitted.

Adj. to S.O. to 25/7/16.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2016

O.A.No.629 of 2016

Dr. N.N. Dhumey

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or ...Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Vrushali L. Maindad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 18.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Liberty reserved for seeking interim relief to the Applicant.

8. S.O. to 18.07.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Ms. Vrushali L. Maindad~~  
~~holding for A.A. Desai~~  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri ~~Smt. K.B. Bhise~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Ad. To

S.O. to 18/7/16



Sba

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)

16

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2016

O.A.No.538 of 2016

Dr. V.D. Kamathewad ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Or ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad , the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record.

3. Admit. Liberty to mention is granted.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member(J)

16

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. ~~A.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad.

P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by

Adv. for Applicant

O.A. is admit  
Liberty to mention  
granted.

*[Signature]*

Sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 07.07.2016**

**M.A.No.165 of 2016 in O.A.No.322 of 2016**

**Shri Navgan Sanjay Nana ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra &Or ...Respondents**

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman) →

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ↓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri Navgan Sanjay Nana~~ *for the applicant*

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : .....

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adv. S.O. to 28/7/16.~~

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)  
Member(J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2016

O.A.No.640 of 2016

**Shri K.A. Pawar** .....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Or ...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record.

3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant informs that the Applicant does not want to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. Compilation is tendered on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.

5. O.A. is admitted. Liberty to mention is granted.

6. Hearing of the O.A. is expedited.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by p. No. 1.

O.A. is admitted.

Liberty to mention granted.

O.A. is expedited. *[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) /  
Member(J)

Sba



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders.
<p>DATE : <u>27/11</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>29/8/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.636 of 2016</p> <p>Shri S.B. Raikar ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The Supt. Engineer, Thane Irrigation Circle &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 29.08.2016..</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 29.08.2016.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 07.07.2016.

C.A.No.19 of 2016 in O.A.No.280 of 2014

Smt. M.J. Medhi ...Applicant

Vs.

Shri N. Ramaswamy, The Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar states as follows:-

That the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 280 of 2014 is stayed by Hon'ble High Court, pending final hearing of the Writ Petition.

3. Adjourned to 30.11.2016 with liberty to have it circulated before due date if occasion arises.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 30/11/16 with liberty to sba

have it circulated before due date if occasion arises

HT

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchitambar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>J. H. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./T.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>29/8/16.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.622 of 2015 in O.A.No.1103 of 2015</p> <p>V.R. Sakate ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble for the Applicant prays for leave to amend and substitute entire paper book of M.A..</p> <p>3. Leave to substitute as prayed for is granted. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi/J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/7/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) * Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTC</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.713 of 2015 with O.A.No.714 of 2015</p> <p>K.P. Aghav ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar for the Applicant prays for time to decide as to whether the Applicant would like to go through the case.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 21.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) <i>(Signature)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2016.

O.A.No.670 of 2016

Dr. C.G. Gaikwad

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

....Respondent.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant undertakes to substitute the annexures by proper, typed and clean copies within two weeks.
3. Issue notice returnable on 29.08.2016.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 29.08.2016.

DATE : 2/8/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. K. Ramakumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 29/8/16

*HT*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, *[Signature]*)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>20/7/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <del>Shri Smt. S.P. Manchekar</del> Advocate for the Applicants <del>Shri Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</del> C.P.O. / B.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>20/7/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 07.07.2016.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.615 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>G.D. Pawar &amp; Ors.</b> ..... Applicants.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</b> ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents prays for adjourned.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>T.A.H. Joshi, J.P.U. Don</b> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/7/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. K. Keshikumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: A. V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O. / R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 21/7/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MTE</p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.667 of 2016</b></p> <p>V.D. Sul ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 21.07.2016.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. Affidavit be filed within 10 days and copies of record which lead to transfer be supplied by annexing those to the O.A..</li> <li>8. S.O. to 21.07.2016.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2016.

O.A.No.668 of 2016

A.S. Gosavi .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Affidavit be filed within 10 days and copies of record which lead to transfer be supplied by annexing those to the O.A..

8. S.O. to 21.07.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 2/7/16.

HT

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,   
Chairman



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2016.

C.A.No.101 of 2014 in O.A.No.476 of 2012

Dr. V.V. Rane

...Applicant

Vs.

Shri Sanjay Kumar, Principal Secretary & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Dr. V.V. Rane, Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Case was heard for some time. It has transpired that the matter of fixation of pay need to be re-examined in the background that the fixation order dated 10.10.2013 was not preceded by an order of regular promotion of Applicant which could have enabled the Applicant an opportunity to furnish an option regarding the date as of his choice for fixation of pay, in view that formerly Applicant was enjoying the position of Director on ad-hoc or temporary basis, by promotion.

3. Shri A.S. Khemnar, Director, Institute of Science, Mumbai who is present states that he will examine the matter and find out as to whether an order modifying the order of fixation can be issued/sought.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

5. S.O. to 2.08.2016.

DATE: 7/7/16

CONAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sr. V.V. Rane appl. in person present

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sr. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 2/8/16

BTK

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.07.2016.

O.A.No.669 of 2016

R.A. Gosavi .... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Affidavit be filed within 10 days and copies of record which lead to transfer be supplied by annexing those to the O.A..

8. S.O. to 21.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI) J.P. m  
Chairman

DATE: 7/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. Tg. : 21/7/16

H.E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. J. ... (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> <i>None for the appl.</i></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri /Smt: <u>A. J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>29/8/16</u> by way of last chance</p> <p><i>ME</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.99 of 2016</p> <p>C.W. Gaikwad ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None appears for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 29.08.2016.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J.) <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 2/8/16</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice (Retd.) H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice M. K. ... (Member)</p> <p>ADVOCATE:</p> <p>Shri. A.V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. K.B. Bhise</p> <p>Chgo / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To: 18/8/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;">APK</p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.55 of 2016 in O.A.No.930 of 2014</p> <p>Shri S.A. Joshi ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Smt. Medha Gadgil, Principal Secretary &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states on instruction received from Shri D.S. Kinge, Divisional Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Department as follows:-</p> <p>(a) Soon the order of this Tribunal is received steps were taken to comply with the order.</p> <p>(b) Proposal were sent to G.A.D. on 22.03.2016.</p> <p>(c) Back quires are received and now the matter is being pursued.</p> <p>(d) Further steps would be taken without loss of time and progress as would be achieved would be reported on the next date, for which purpose further time would be required and time frame can not be stated.</p> <p>(e) If one month's time is granted exact steps will be taken and will be informed forthwith.</p> <p>3. For reporting steps as may be taken, adjourned to 18.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No, ..... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/7/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri / Smt: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BK</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.53 of 2016 in O.A.No.279 of 2015</p> <p>Shri A.A. Suryawanshi ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs. Smt. Mausami Barde, the S.D.O. Bhor &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states after taking instructions from Smt. Mausami Barde, Sub-Divisional Officer, Bhor Sub-Division, Bhor i.e Respondent No.1 who is present as follows:-</p> <p>The first step to be taken for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal for including the Applicant's name in waiting list will be complied with on or before 11.07.2016 and further action for consideration of Applicant's case for appointment will be complied within 15 days and compliance would be reported.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon. Jc Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Jc Justice Sri K. B. Bhise (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Adv. for the Applicant Shri. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> Adv. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>4/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>AT</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.07.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.86 of 2013 in O.A.No.1023 of 2012</p> <p>Shri S.S. Kokitkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The Joint Director, Technical Education ...Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. In response to order passed on 4.7.2016, affidavit affirmed by Shri Pramod A. Naik, Joint Director, Technical Education, Regional Office Mumbai is taken on record. It discloses that for compliance to the steps noted in the affidavit in paragraph nos.3 to 5, total 24 days time is required.</p> <p>3. Further steps may be complied with on the next date and brought on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.654 OF 2016****DISTRICT : SATARA****M.D. Shinde****.... Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.... Respondents.**

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 07.07.2016.**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 29.08.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. It is shown from the contents of O.A. and annexures that Applicant is similarly situated to the Applicants in O.A.No.467 of 2015 (decided with other Original Applications) wherein the services of those Applicants are protected until the decision as regards their continuation / regularization is taken.

8. In view of the foregoing observations, there shall be interim order in terms of prayer clause 10(a) with liberty to the Respondents to proceed to consider on its own merits as to whether the Applicant should be continued or appointed on regular vacancy in terms of paragraph 11 of O.A.No.467 of 2015.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

10. S.O. to 29.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. JOSHI J.)  
Chairman

prk