


**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>A. Patil</u> for R.No. 4 to 7.</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 15/6/17</u> at 3.00 PM.</p>	<p><b><u>R.As.19 to 21/2016 in O.As.1114, 675 &amp; 674/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. K.N. Lonkar &amp; 2 Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, Mr. A.A. Gharte, the learned Advocates for the Applicants, Mr. K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. N.K. Rajurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 and Mr. A. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 4 to 7 in R.As. 20 &amp; 21/2016. Mr. V.H. Ghanate, Desk Officer is present on behalf of MPSC but the learned Counsel for them is not present.</p> <p>After some debate at the Bar, we direct that these 3 RAs be placed for final hearing on 15<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 at 3.00 p.m. and the Respondent Nos.4 to 7 in RAs.20 &amp; 21/2016 must file their Affidavit-in-reply just before the hearing commences and furnish a copy thereof at least one day in advance to the other side. It is made clear that, regardless of whether all the parties are present/represented or not, if those who are to argue the matter are present, we shall hear the matter without any further adjournment. With this, the RAs stand adjourned to 15<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.06.2017 (skw)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 07.06.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u>  C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 21/6/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.461 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.R. Gawali</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Reserving the right of the Applicant to renew the request of interim relief including the next date, the notice be issued hereof returnable on 21.06.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 21.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 01/06/17  Member (J)  07.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.438 of 2016

Shri L.P. Varule & Ors. ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.N. Sandhyanshiv, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Sandhyanshiv, Ld. Advocate has tendered rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. We have perused the affidavit affirmed by Shri Prakash B. Surwase, Deputy Secretary, PWD, Mantralaya, Mumbai. The tone and nature of the affidavit is highly unbecoming. We seriously deplore it. Shri Surwase, Dy. Secretary is personally present in the Court. He undertakes to file handwritten apology.

4. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Anil A. Kulkarni, Dy. Secretary, PWD states that, Secretary is not available and in view of this factual aspect hearing is adjourned.

5. He further states that for stating exact policy and steps to be taken for filling up vacancies of the post of Junior Engineers from the category of Civil Engineering Assistants will be reported on the next date, for which time of one week may be granted.

6. S.O. to 14.6.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarkar)  
Vice-Chairman  
7.6.2017

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
7.6.2017

(sgj)

DATE: 7/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Sandhyanshiv

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Heard both sides

Ad. To: 14/6/2017

P.H.  
S.R.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Rajiv Agatwal (Vice-Chairman)</u> <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>14/6/2017</u> <i>gte</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.18 of 2017 in O.A. No.165 of 2016</u></p> <p>Smt. R.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered affidavit in reply affirmed by the Collector. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate prays for one weeks time to verify whether the order passed in OA is duly complied with.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agatwal) Vice-Chairman 7.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>7/6/17</p> <p><u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Vice)</p> <p>S. Talekar</p> <p>K.B. Bhise</p> <p>Adv. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for R.4</p> <p>22/6/17</p> <p>prk</p>	<p>Date : 07.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1123 of 2016</p> <p>M.B. Sonawane ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3, affirmed by Shri Sanjay D. Kamalakar, Deputy Secretary, office of Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department, G.T. Hospital Complex, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri S. Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt.: <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Adj. To:</b> <u>14/6/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BT</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.317 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri V.R. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for one weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last change.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman 7.6.2017 (sgl)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 7.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. H. Agarkar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : None for the app. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule, Ld. S.P.O. for the Respondent/s : HOB. Shri D.B. Khairi S.M. Counsel Ad. To : R.H. Agarkar</p> <p>S.O. to 16/6/17</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.51 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. S.B. Deshmukh .....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khairi, the learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.1 and 2.</p> <p>2. Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarkar) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/6/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>g.k.</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.462 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri B.A. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman 7.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 7.6.2017</p>



**M.A. No.223 of 2017**  
**In**  
**O.A. No.464 of 2017**

**Shri L.M. Tapase** ... **Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... **Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 05.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 05.07.2017. Learned P.O. do  
waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
07.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 7/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/7/17.

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*

06-17

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  <del>(Vice-Chairman)</del>  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Smt. <u>M.A. Patil</u>.....  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u>.....  C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. 19/6/17</u>  Adj. To.....  FH  <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 211 of 2013</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.S. Patil</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>In as much as the learned Advocate for the Applicant is present, the OA is withdrawn from the column of dismissal and appointed for final hearing to the extent necessary in accordance with the judgment of the <b>Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No. 11596/2014 (Shri Vishwas S. Patil v/s. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.), dated 12/07/2016.</b></p> <p>S.O. to 19.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u>  06/17</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  07.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**O.A. No.460 of 2017**

**Shri S.R. Shaikh** ... Applicant  
V/s.  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

It is made clear that even as no interim relief is granted today but in case at any suitable stage at this OA, it is found that the Applicant is entitled to some relief then the fact that a Clerk may have been appointed there would not come in the way of relief to be extended to the Applicant. I must, therefore, made it clear that any newly appointed Clerk, who is appointed shall be subject to ultimate outcome of this OA.

Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 05.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 7-6-17  
Member (J)  
07.06.2017

DATE: 7/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to  
5/7/17. Hamdast.

**Mrs. N.V. Kapare**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah.**

**... Applicant**  
**... Respondent**

Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO is being instructed by Mr. S.N. Vispute, Senior Clerk, Medical Education Department, Mumbai.

It is clear that the Applicant has not completed her tenure at the place where she has been transferred from. Therefore, although time will have to be given to the Respondents to file the Affidavit-in-reply, but the Applicant cannot be left unprotected. The learned PO submits that the Applicant has been relieved. In my opinion, however, this Tribunal just like any other judicial forum is sufficiently empowered to make orders of mandatory nature even at interlocutory stage and I, therefore, direct that the impugned order in so far as it relates to the Applicant is stayed till further orders and she be reposted in the place she has been transferred from within three days from today.

Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 07-06-17  
Member (J)  
07.06.2017

DATE: 7/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To S.O. to 5/7/17.~~

Hamdast

(skw)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.467/2017****Mr. K.S. Hamre****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah.****... Respondent**

This OA can be disposed of at this stage itself.

The OA seeks direction to the Respondent to decide his representation of 13.2.2017 (Exh. 'B', Page 15 of the Paper Book (PB)) which requested *inter-alia* to give him a posting at a convenient place bearing in mind his health related problems.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent.

A very detailed narration of facts will be out of place. It appears that the Applicant met with an accident and is suffering from its after effects, and therefore, vide his representation above referred to, he sought to be posted ~~at~~ a convenient place. No decision has been taken thereon for the last about four months. In the said application, there are reasons assigned in justification of the request made by the Applicant. In my opinion, this OA can be disposed of with direction to the Respondent to decide the said representation bearing in mind the existing realities presented by the said representation within a period to be stipulated hereby.

This OA, is therefore, disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to decide the representation hereinabove referred to, on or before 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 and communicate its outcome to the Applicant within one week thereafter. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

**DATE:**

7/6/17

**CORAM:**~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. Dr. G. Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. H.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To.....

O.A. is disposed

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

07.06.2017

(skw)

**O.A. No.477 of 2017**

**Dr. M.S. Ali**

**... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.**

**... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The Applicant an Assistant Professor having been appointed in the faculty of Urdu way back in 1997 has now been transferred to Nanded vice the Respondent No.3. The Applicant is supposed to teach there Pedagogy but it appears that she will have to teach basics of how to teach Mathematics. That being the state of affairs. I do not think that OA can be dismissed as a moonshine or push over like matter. The Applicant has made a representation, a copy of which is at page no.15, dated 01.06.2017, Exb. 'C' that has not been decided so far.

As of now, I direct that the said representation be decided within three weeks from today and its outcome to be communicated to the Applicant within one week thereafter. In case the decision is against the Applicant keep the effectuation in abeyance for further period of two weeks and in the meanwhile as the learned P.O. submits that the Applicant has been relieved, she be reposted to function as before till further orders. Hamdast.

S.O. to 19.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
07.06.2017

07-06-17

DATE: 7/6/17-

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi~~

~~P.O. for the Respondents~~

S.O. to 19/6/17

*[Handwritten signature]*

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.300/2017****Mr. B.M. Kamble****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah.****... Respondent**

Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent.

The learned PO is being instructed by Mr. Ashok V. Dere, Office Superintendent in the office of Inspector General of Prison, Pune.

The learned PO tenders a copy of the order dated 24/29.5.2017 along with other Office Notings. In this view of the matter, the Respondents are directed to take all the steps necessary in the matter in so far as the disposed of **OA 576/2012 (B.M. Kamble Vs. D.I.G. of Prison and 2 others, dated 22.6.2015)** on or before the next date.

S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 7/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.B. Kololgi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/7/17

Hamdast

(skw)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 07.06.17

Member (J)

07.06.2017





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCES:</b> Advocate for the Applicant: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.U./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>9/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 07.06.2017.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.396 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>R.S. Patil</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Prayer as well as grant of further time in the background of long speaking initial order, appears to be wholly unjust.</p> <p>4. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer is directed to speak to the officer concerned and make statement as well as make all preparations as may be required to argue the case, on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**M.A.NO.218 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.311 OF 2016  
WITH  
M.A.NO.219 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.55 OF 2016  
WITH  
M.A.NO.220 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.282 OF 2016  
WITH  
M.A.NO.221 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.41 OF 2016  
WITH  
M.A.NO.222 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.15 OF 2016  
(Aurangabad Bench)**

**A.B. Bhoge & Ors. (M.A.NO.218 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.311 OF 2016)  
K.K. Pehere (M.A.NO.219 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.55 OF 2016)  
P.B. Dhawale (M.A.NO.220 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.282 OF 2016)  
D.N. Kalal & Ors. (M.A.NO.221 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.41 OF 2016)  
J.P. More (M.A.NO.222 OF 2017 IN O.A.NO.15 OF 2016)**

**....Applicants.**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 07.06.2017.


**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. This is an application for transfer of Original Applications from Aurangabad Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai.
3. In view that the Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench, Original Applications can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai.

4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :-

- (a) O.A.No.311/2016, O.A.No.55/2016, O.A.No.282/2016, O.A.No.41/2016 and O.A.No.15/2016 are transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law.
- (b) Applicants should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and to deposit the same within 15 days.
- (c) Applicants shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents.
- (d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondents.
- (e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicants.

5. Miscellaneous Applications are accordingly allowed.



(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

### O.A. No.478 of 2017

**Shri S.S. Kulkarni ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned C.P.O. is being instructed by Smt. Chhaya R. Chavan, Jr. Clerk, Central Hosp. Ulhasnagar.

The matter is heard for interim relief. After some debate at the bar, I direct the Applicant to implead Smt. Kankoshe as a party Respondent No.4 by an appropriate amendment during the course of the day and serve her immediately.

The matter be placed on board for consideration of interim relief on 12.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
07 06 2017

DATE: 7/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 12/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <del>Ramesh Kumar</del> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: S. Suryawanshi P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3. Adv. K.R. Jagdale for R. 4. Adv. To: 5/7/17</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BAC</p>	<p>Date : 07.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.315 of 2016 with O.A.No.425 of 2016 with O.A.No.426 of 2016</p> <p>S.J. Waychal (O.A.No.315/2016) A.S. Bhosale (O.A.No.425/2016) G.T. Deokar (O.A.No.426/2016)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.315/2016.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to amend for incorporating certain paragraphs and add one annexure.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants undertakes to carry out the amendment within one week.</p> <p>5. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for three weeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply to the amended O.A..</p> <p>6. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 05.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>2/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) <i>2/6/17</i></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare holding</u> <u>A.S. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>13/6/17.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTC</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 292/2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri T.K Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and, Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Potbhare prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.06.2017. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.466 of 2017

S.N. Jagtap

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.D. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 14.07.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. It shall not be necessary for the Respondents to file detailed affidavit-in-reply and it shall be highly appreciated, if before next date appropriate executive decision is taken.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

9. S.O. to 14.07.2017.

DATE : 2/6/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : A.D. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 14/7/2017.

BTE

Sd/-

(Majiv Aggarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.06.2017. Tribunal's orders

C.A.No.76 of 2016 in O.A.No.242 of 2015

V.D. Kulkarni & Ors. ....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents..

1. Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-

Government has taken the decision and granted benefit as ordered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.242 of 2015.

3. Applicant has grievance in the background that though this Tribunal has declined to grant backwages, wages from the date of judgment cannot be denied and clarification to that extend is necessary.

4. Learned P.O. prays for time till 12.06.2017 so as to enable her to inform the authorities to issue appropriate corrigendum.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

6. S.O. to 12.06.2017.

DATE: 7/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Rajiv Agarwal 2/16  
Hon'ble Shri M. Radhesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.S. Shinde

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 12/6/17.

Steno copy & Hamdast is  
allowed to Ld. P.O.

BTE

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryavanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Adj. To</b> <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.R.</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 830/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.R Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Manik Gutte, Joint Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest, Mantralaya, Mumbai is present.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions from Shri Manik Gutte, Joint Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest states that the matter is processed and the file is pending with G.A.D and it would be received back within one week.</p> <p>4. For reporting further steps to be taken, S.O to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders.
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>2/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Padalkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/7/2017</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 1034/2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.M Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.K Padalkar learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that para-wise remarks are received and two weeks time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of learned Presenting Officer, adjourned to 14.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, ) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare holding As. Deshpande Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To, 13/6/17.</p> <p align="right">Akn</p>	<p><u>07.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 252/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.L Haridas &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Potbhare prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">= Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 07.06.2017.
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant (O.A. 916/16) Shri/Smt. : <u>Adv. M.D. Lonkar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents (O.A. 1099/16) Adv. To: <u>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for R. 1 to 3. Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar for R. 4 to 6. S.O. to 10/7/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>O.A.No.916 of 2016 with O.A.No.1099 of 2016</p> <p>M.B. Patil &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.916/2016) J.S. Phad &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.1099/2016) ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.916/2016, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1099/2016, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 16.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Provisional seniority of Deputy Collectors for the period from 01.01.1990 to 31.12.1995 is published for inviting objections within one month.</p> <p>(b) Simultaneously the seniority lists qua each recruitment session conducted thereafter shall also be prepared.</p> <p>(c) Hearing may be adjourned by four weeks and on that date a report of objections as complied would be made, and a statement would be made as to the time frame within which the objections would be decided.</p> <p>3. Promotions, if any, process in the meantime, the proposal to promote be placed before this Tribunal for leave to promote.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.07.2017.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>7/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare holding</u> <u>As. Deshpande</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>13/6/17</u></p> <p align="right"><i>gca</i></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>07.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 151/2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Potbhare prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**07.06.2017**

**O.A No 134/2017**

Shri Nitin L. Dugane & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard, Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate  
for the Applicants and Shri K.B Bhise, learned  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
Applicants adjourned to 8.6.2017.

**DATE:** 7/6/2017

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.B. Talekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 8/6/2017 at the  
request of Ld. Adv. for the  
appl.

wjm

(O.A - 358/17)

BE

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents O.A. es. formally admitted. Adj. To S.O. to 12/6/17. Handcast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH [Signature]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.284/2017</u></p> <p><b>Dr. M.A. Waghmare</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah.</b> ... Respondent</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent.</p> <p>My order dated 24.4.2017 gave direction to the Respondents with regard to the Head Quarter of the Applicant during suspension. A communication placed before me today of 3<sup>rd</sup> June, 2017 shows that the necessary steps have been taken. I am completely dissatisfied and unimpressed by the reasons and in my opinion, it is a fit case for taking suo motu cognizance of contempt. I direct that the compliance with my order dated 24.4.2017 should be made by 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 and if it was not done then, without any further reference to even this Bench, the steps be taken to issue notice to the Respondents asking them to show cause why an appropriate contempt action be not initiated against them.</p> <p>The OA is formally admitted and is adjourned to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2017. Handcast</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>