

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.306 OF 2015

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

R.D. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

Shri S.V. More, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 07.04.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri S.V. More, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri S.V. More, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time till 11.04.2017 to correct the nomenclature and description of Respondent No.4.
3. Leave to amend and time as prayed is granted. Respondents No.4 as corrected be served with fresh notice.
4. We have heard this matter for some time.
5. Applicant has shown by producing on record copy of log-book in which it is shown that :-
 - (a) The Applicant has worked as driver from 13.07.2007 onwards and his name is entered in column No.10, which is meant for writing the name of the driver.
 - (b) Three different Deputy Superintendents have signed the register to vouch for the contents therein.



6. In the affidavit-in-reply it is stated that the post of Driver was not sanctioned for the office of Deputy Superintendent State Excise, Old Customs House, and applicant could not be, nor was he actually appointed as Driver. Though the services were taken from the Applicant as and when required, he could not be termed as full time driver duly appointed and he could not be paid as regularly appointed as full time Driver.

7. Copy of the log-book which is kept on record exhibits that, barring few days, the vehicle was actually played on all working days between 9.am. till the office hours and many times beyond office hours.

8. According to the Applicant, he has worked for entire period without any Salary and Allowances or wages, till he was stopped from doing job. One does not know whether this situation has arisen only qua Applicant or in most of the offices of Deputy Superintendents of State Excise in the State, where ever the vehicles were allotted but the posts of Driver were not created.

9. In these premises, the matter in which the situation and case like present, be dealt with has to be decided by the Government, and it cannot be sorted out at the level of the officer of the rank of Deputy Superintendent of State Excise or for that the matter even by other higher officers including the Secretary in his own capacity. The Home Department will have to take policy decision in this matter as one time solution, to deal with the situation. It is likely that some precedent governing like situation may exist.


10. The possibility of direction to pay to the Applicant Salary and Allowances cannot be ruled out and the background that the applicant's services are taken without any salary and allowances may amount to situation having sentence bonded labour.

11. Therefore, we wish that the Secretary, Home Department shall give serious consideration towards this aspect and decision be secured from the Government. Such decision by Government may be imperative even if the O.A. is decided and eventually an order to pay to the Applicant the Salary and Allowances for that period for which he has actually worked arises.


12. We therefore, direct Respondent No.4, Secretary, Home Department to take up this matter and take decision as expeditiously as possible in any case within six weeks from the date of receipt of order.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Learned P.O. should personally speak to the Secretary concerned and appraise him about importance of taking a policy decision in present case.

14. S.O. to 28.07.2017.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

prk


(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No. 40 of 2017

DISTRICT :

T.P. Rathod

...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 07.04.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.


ORDER

1. Heard G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This Tribunal has directed the learned P.O. to take instructions from the Respondents in para no.12 of order dated 6.4.2017.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has come with instructions received from the Respondents. It contains the following narration:-

“ उपरोक्त विषयावरील संदर्भाधीन पत्रव्यवहाराचे कृपया अवलोकन व्हावे.

२. संदर्भाधीन प्रकरणी मा. न्यायाधिकरणाने नमूद केलेल्या मुद्द्यांच्या अनुषंगाने संक्षिप्त शपथपत्र दाखल करण्यासाठी पुढील १५ दिवसांचा कालावधी देण्याबाबत मा. न्यायाधिकरणास विनंती करण्यात यावी, ही विनंती.”

4. Therefore, learned P.O. prays for two weeks time for filing reply.
5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-
 - (a) The manner in which further time is sought by the government suggests that on one hand the Government is delaying to reply present O.A. and on other hand Government has conducted/completed enquiry against the Applicant in haste and hurry.
 - (b) Therefore for the present, and till the Government files reply, interim stay to final action for the Government may be taken granted.
6. Prima-facie Applicant's apprehension and prayers appears to be well founded.
7. We therefore direct that till reply is filed by the Government, the Government is restrained from taking final decision in the matter of charge sheet against the Applicant which is dated 25.2.2016 at Exh. 'A'.
8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order.
9. As far our experience goes, one spell of 12 days time is never adequate for the Government to file reply. We therefore adjourned the hearing on 12.07.2017. In the event, if State files the reply, State shall be free to request for fixing the date for hearing for vacation of interim relief.
10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
11. S.O. to 12.07.2017.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Varadkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Leave note of adv. S.B. Gaikwad taken on record. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 11/7/17.</p> <p><i>BFE</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.794 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. S.R. ChinchkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of Shri S.B. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 11.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. V.K. Jagdale holding K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 20/6/2017.</p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.404 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.V. Patil & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that reply from M.P.S.C. to the amended O.A. will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Let the O.A. come up for hearing on 20.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>24/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble <u>A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./EC for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To... <u>11/4/2017</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.18 of 2017 in O.A.No.165 of 2016</p> <p>R.S. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. is in charge of the brief and she has personal difficulty and she would be available on 10.04.2017.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/17</u></p> <p>OFFICE MEMORANDUM</p> <p>Presented by: A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Rajiv Agarwal (Vice)</p> <p>Presented by: <u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>Presented by: <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>Presented by: <u>MA & O.A. are dismissed.</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.165 of 2016 in O.A.No.322 of 2016 with O.A.No.322 of 2016</p> <p>N.S. NavganApplicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On last two dates i.e. on 16.01.2017 and 03.04.2017, none appeared for the Applicants.</p> <p>3. Today the case was called out twice in the morning session and then again in the afternoon session. None has appeared for the Applicants.</p> <p>4. For these reasons M.A. and O.A. are dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./H.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. <u>RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>R. B. MALIK</u> (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicants</p> <p>Shri. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents and <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Rejoinder Filed by Applicants.</u> <u>S.O. to 25/4/17</u> <u>O.A. is admitted.</u></p> <p><i>FH</i> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.144 & 154/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. M.N. Jagdale & Anr. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants informs that the Applicants do not want to file Affidavits-in-rejoinder. The OAs are admitted and appointed for final hearing to 25th April, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p> <p><u>7.17</u></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.150/2017 in O.A.293/2017

Mr. S.M. Sawant ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kolgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 28th April, 2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 28th April, 2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.04.2017

(skw)

DATE: 7/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

(Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana Bk.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 28/4/17


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv. to <u>24/4/17</u> PH </p>	<p><u>O.A.483/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. M.B. Todkar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO seeks an adjournment for filing Affidavit-in-reply post amendment. On 10.3.2017, I made it clear that the Additional Affidavit-in-reply which includes the Affidavit-in-reply also should be filed today without fail. However, by way of one last chance, the OA is adjourned for the same to 24th April, 2017. The interim relief which is now in force, is to continue until further orders.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>2</u> <u>07.04.2017</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.287/2017

Mr. K.V. Sawant ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 21st April, 2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 21st April, 2017.

DATE:

7/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Asghara B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 21/4/17

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
07.04.2017

17

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. _____ None for the Applicant Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. _____ N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent order passed in the Tribunal's order. Adj. To _____ O.A. is de-part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.832/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. U.M. Gound ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application is de-part heard. It may be placed before the available Single Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 07.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>S.O. to 18/4/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A.295/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. S.B. Nandgaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>It seems at least as of now that this OA can be disposed of at the admission stage itself. The Respondents must make sure that on the next date, either they must come prepared with the Affidavit-in-reply or record because the basic challenge is to the competence of the authority viz. Deputy Commissioner who has made the impugned order.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 18th April, 2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 18th April, 2017.</p> <p><i>w</i> Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 07.04.17 Member (J) 07.04.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 2/4/17</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Smt. A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. _____ None for App. Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: 11/4/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.T.</p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.38 of 2015 in O.A.No.909 of 2013</p> <p>R.K. MohiteApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.R. Pitale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :- She will find out as to whether compliance is done in its totality and make statement on the next date.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 11.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>24/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For Applicant: <u>V.P. Potbhare</u></p> <p>For Respondents: <u>S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>DATE: <u>11/4/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.24 of 2017 in O.A.No.778 of 2015</p> <p>M.H. KothalkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. is incharge of the brief and she has personal difficulty and she would be available on 10.04.2017.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<i>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</i>	<i>Tribunal's orders</i>
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u></p> <p>CPO/PO for the Respondents</p> <p><u>OA is formally admitted.</u> (skw)</p> <p><u>Adj. To and kept back</u></p> <p><u>at 1.00 PM.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>FH</u> <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.1135/2016</p> <p>Mr. A.D. Hire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned PO informs that nobody from the Office of Respondent No.2 has come so far (11.30 A.M.). My order of 17.3.2017 needs to be perused. This OA can best be disposed of at this stage itself because facts merit the said course of action. Although the reply has not been filed but the OA is formally admitted and kept back for final hearing at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R.B. Malik) <u>07.04.17</u> Member (J) 07.04.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. S. Dene</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant. N. K. Raypankajit holding for Archana B.K.</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents O.A. is admitted.</p> <p>Adj. To. 11/4/17 Hamdast.</p> <p>FH @100</p>	<p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>Heard the learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O.</p> <p>The learned C.P.O. is being instructed by Shri Sushilkumar B. Dhaigude, Sr. Clerk, Add. Commissioner of Police, Naigaon, Mumbai.</p> <p>I have gone through ^{waived} the said official to be punctual henceforth otherwise action may have to be taken against him. Having considered the matter and regard being had to the facts, the O.A. is admitted and reply, if any, should be filed on the next date.</p> <p>The Original Application is adjourned for final hearing to 11.04.2017. Hamdast.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 07.04.2017</p> <p>07.04.17</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

Original Application No. 276 of 2017

DISTRICT :

S.D. Manjare

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Ms. S.P. Manchear, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 07.04.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

ORDER

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in compliance and in response to the order passed by this Tribunal on 3.4.2017.
3. What we had ordered in order dated 3.4.2017 is that, Shri S.B. Phulari, Commandant, S.R.P.F., Group-I, Pune to file affidavit on two points stated in paragraph no.3 of said order.



4. Version that for filing of affidavit on two points, longer time is required, is, prima-facie wholly unjustified.

5. It is seen that the officer has addressed a letter containing two pages running over 40 lines but he expresses that he needs further time for filing affidavit-in-reply. Letter does not reveal any response to the affidavit to be filed on two points contained in the order dated 3.4.2017.

6. It is extremely shocking that the officer concerned i.e. the Commandant, S.R.P.F., Pune has not filed brief affidavit as called, in spite of clear caution of likelihood of order of cost, given in para No. 7 of order passed on 3.4.2017, which reads as follows:-

"If proper response is not received, the attitude of asking four weeks unmindfully and in a mechanical manner is persisted, measures such as imposing cost to be paid by the officer personally may have to be passed."

7. In view of the foregoing, it prima-facie appears and hence it is considered necessary to impose cost on the officer concerned to be paid by him personally.

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to show cause as to why Shri S.B. Phulari, Commandant, S.R.P.F., Group-I, Pune should not be personally saddled with costs.

9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents vehemently opposes to order for cost, and argues that the officer concerned is not heard against award of costs.


10. Therefore, registry is directed to issue show cause notice to the officer concerned i.e. Shri S.B. Phulari, Commandant, S.R.P.F., Group-I, Pune to show cause against costs towards his failure to file affidavit to comply with the


order dated 3.4.2017 and towards his failure to personally apply mind to the case.

11. The notice be sent by Speed post, e-mail and additional copy be given to learned P.O. for the Respondents for communication.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.



13. S.O. to 13.04.2017.


(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 07.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.238 of 2017 with M.A.138 of 2017 O.A.No.219 of 2017 with M.A.No.139 of 2017 O.A.No.239 of 2017 with M.A.No.140 of 2017</p> <p>E.J. Barshinge S.V. Thakurdesai P.S. PereiraApplicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Walia, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he wants to amend the O.A. and come with proper amendment and prays to adjourn the hearing on 10.04.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2017.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 07.04.2017.

C.A.No.7/2017 in R.A.No.2/2016 in O.A.No.956/2014 with
O.A.No.957/2014 & O.A.No.958/2014

S.T. Tiwari & Ors.Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-

- (a) The case of two Applicants namely Shri Shantanu Tribhuvan Tiwari (Applicant-1) and Shri Ramvruksha Yamuna Gound (Applicant-2) are approved by the office of Accountant General.
- (b) The bills towards payment of gratuity and arrears of difference of Pay and Allowance towards amount payable to Shri Shantanu Tribhuvan Tiwari (Applicant-1) is furnished to the office of Pay and Accounts.
- (c) The bill towards amount payable to Shri Ramvruksha Yamuna Gound (Applicant-2) is furnished to the office of Accounts Officer-II and the matter would followed up.
- (d) The amounts payable towards the dependent of Shri Kamala Dhaniram Yadav (Applicant-3) is still pending with the office of Accountant General and its follow up would be taken.
- (e) A week's time may be granted for reporting the outcome.

3. In view that the matter is required to be followed up on day to day basis, adjourned to 12.04.2017.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

DATE : 7/4/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasikumar (Member)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt : G.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 12/4/2017.

Steno copy & Hamdast
is allowed to Ld. P.O.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) <i>Rajiv Aggarwal</i></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>19/4/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>JK</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.98 of 2017</p> <p>S.J. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) The affidavit-in-reply is ready, however, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. being incharge of the brief.</p> <p>(b) Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has to read and file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>(c) In view of this purpose time is required.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 07.04.2017 Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.1160 of 2016

I.M.S. Shaikh

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri S.S. Dere states as follows:-
He wants to amend the O.A. by substituting entire paper book by placing on record additional copy etc.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant will carry out substitution during the course of the day.
4. If substitution is done, issue notice returnable on 4.5.2017.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 4.05.2017.

DATE: 7/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)
Hon'ble Shri. M. Ramashankar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

✓ S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

✓ Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s 1 & 2.

Adv. M.D. Lonkar for R.3

Adj. To 4/5/2017

HT

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

sba

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 2/4/17</p> <p><u>COPAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....12/4/2017.....</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.101 of 2016 in O.A.No.1264 of 2013</p> <p>R.M. KashelkarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Dilip Panpatil, Chief Administrative Officer, Deputy Director of Health Services, Mumbai Circle, Thane states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The file is submitted to the office of Finance Department.</p> <p>(b) The Finance Department would be pursued and further progress would be communicated on next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sd/- <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Aty To <u>11/4/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.1075 of 2016</p> <p>Y.G. BirajdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents state that the matter is being followed by G.A.D. on date to date basis and outcome would be communicated on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (<u>A.H. Joshi</u>) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikundar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: S.P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>As To:</u> 5/5/2017</p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.1089 of 2016</p> <p>U.A. Gadale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 7/4/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member A) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rameshkumar</u> (Member B)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>G. A. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/4/2017</u></p> <p><i>RFE</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.52 of 2015 in O.A.No.315 of 2014</p> <p>S.E. PawarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>Though hearing was adjourned on previous occasion for enabling learned P.O. to satisfy herself as to the draft affidavit being in order, she could not spare time to read and undertakes to read today and file proper affidavit it on 10.04.2017.</p> <p>3. At the request of Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, adjourned to 10.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>7/4/17</u></p> <p><u>COLAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.G. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/4/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 07.04.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.131 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. k.V. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 10.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders*

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.04.2017

C.A.No.22 of 2017 in O.A.No.1005 of 2015

P.M. MhatreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Gadre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Contemnors are present.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 10.04.2017.

DATE: 7/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Rajiv Agarwal
Hon'ble Justice S. J. Jambharkar (Member)

APPEARANCES:

Shri A.S. Gadre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 10/4/17

BT

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

sba

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.As. No.311/12, 258/14, 607/15, 189/16, 299/16,
573/16, 737/16, 925/16, 1024/16, 1169/16 & 226/17

Miss Rupali S. Malkar & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate
for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that the present OAs can be
disposed off in the light of directions contained in the
order dated 30.6.2015 passed by DB of this Tribunal in
OA No.1125 of 2011 Smt. Pradnya S. Sakhare & Ors.
Versus The Commissioner/Director (Admn.) ESIS &
Anr.

3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants states that his
prayer is larger than the relief granted in the aforesaid
OA No.1125/11.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the above OAs be placed
for final hearing on 11.4.2017.

DATE: 7/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: G.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 11/4/17

13/4
8/6

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
7.4.2017

(sgl)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman
7.4.2017