

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

O.A.No.255 of 2017 with O.A.277 of 2017 with O.A.No.278 of 2017 with
O.A.No.379 of 2017 with O.A.No.613 of 2017 with O.A.No.768 of 2017 with
O.A.No.382 of 2017 with O.A.No.10 of 2018

A.D. Mhaisale	(O.A.No.255/2017)
M.S. Shete	(O.A.No.277/2017)
B.N. Mane	(O.A.No.278/2017)
A.D. Dalvi	(O.A.No.379/2017)
D.M. Khutale	(O.A.No.613/2017)
A.N. Gaikwad	(O.A.No.768/2017)
A.N. Gaikwad	(O.A.No.382/2017)
P.B. Mundhada	(O.A.No.10/2018)

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.255/2017, O.A.No.277/2017, O.A.No.278/2017 and O.A.No.379/2017.

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.613/2017 is absent.

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.768/2017 and O.A.No.382/2017 is absent.

Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.10/2018.

Shri A.Y. Sakhare, the learned Special Counsel with Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 07.02.2018.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.255/2017, O.A.No.277/2017, O.A.No.278/2017 and O.A.No.379/2017, Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.10/2018 and Shri A.Y. Sakhare, the learned Special Counsel with Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.613/2017 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.768/2017 and O.A.No.382/2017 is absent.

3. This group was heard on earlier dates. Learned Special Counsel Shri A.Y. Sakare had appeared and prayed for time to speak to the Government about the modality and considering the amendment in the rules for receiving time limit of the period within which Departmental Enquiry would be completed.

4. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit states that four week's time would be required for enabling Government to apply mind and take suitable decision.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar prayed that while Government is seeking time let the case be reviewed by the Review Committee for rule of suspension.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that review may be done however in O.A.No.255 of 2017, the said Applicant's case was already reviewed recently and review was declined on the ground that charge-sheet was not filed, and the Departmental Enquiry has been kept in dormant file.

7. Perused the minutes. It is seen that arrest is dated 08.12.2015. Though the period of two years is over, charge-sheet is not file. This fact cannot be used adverse to the Applicant. Hence, Applicant Shri A.D. Mhaisale (O.A.No.255 of 2017), as well needs to be reconsidered.

8. Hence, following directions are issued :-
- (a) Let the Government take decision within four weeks as prayed.
 - (b) The review of suspension of all applicants' be taken on its own merits without waiting for periodicity of schedule of review meeting in the meantime,
 - (c) Any factor such as delay on the part of Government in filing charge sheet or delay in completing disciplinary proceedings, should not be considered adverse to the Applicant while considering cases for review.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
10. S.O. to 15.03.2018.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.754 OF 2017
(Subject : Transfer)

DISTRICT: PUNE

Dr. Prashant K. Wadikar,)
Age : 45 years, working as Medical Officer,)
Sub District Hospital,)
Daund, District Pune,)
R/o. 17, Queen Garden, Government Quarters,)
C-43, Pune Camp 1.) .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,)
Through Principal Secretary,)
Public Health Department,)
Having office at Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032.) .. Respondent

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 07.02.2018.

J U D G M E N T

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Heard both sides. In midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant restricts his prayers as follows :-

- (a) Applicant may be continued at his present posting by staying impugned transfer order till 31.05.2017.
- (b) Applicant's request and representation for giving him posting of his choice may be decided at the time of consideration of similar representations, if thereby and considering the Department's proposal for annual general transfers.

3. Record shows that this Tribunal had granted mandatory interim relief by order dated 28.08.2017 and the said interim relief continued to operate. It is neither vacated nor was challenged and stayed.

4. This Original Application is being heard in February, 2018 and now the process of annual transfer ought to begin in March / April, 2018. In that background it would be in the fitness of things that the stay to the transfer and interim relief granted by this Tribunal be continued till 31.05.2018 and it would be open to the Government to consider the Applicant's request if made fresh for suitable posted.

5. Hence, present Original Application is disposed in following terms :-

- (a) Impugned order shall continue to be stayed and applicant shall continue to work at his last posting at Sub District Hospital, Daund.
- (b) Impugned order shall take effect on 31.05.2017, unless it is varied by the Government.
- (c) Applicant shall be free to make representation for suitable posting, if such representation is made within 15 days from today, it may be considered along with similar representations, if any, and at the time of issuing general transfer orders on its own merits.
- (d) It is clarified that this Tribunal has not recorded any findings or recommendation in relation to applicant's entitlement for any particular location for posting.
- (e) Parties are directed to bear their own costs.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri B.P. Patil (M)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/smt. <u>H.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.02.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.436 of 2018</p> <p>S. S. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete. Original Application is admitted and kept for final hearing on 28.02.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.02.2018

O. A. No.103 of 2018

R. S. Gaikwad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Vinod Tayade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.02.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O. to 20.02.2018.

DATE : 7/2/2018

CORAM : Shri B.P. Patil (M.J.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Vinod Tayade

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to : 20/2/2018

B.P.

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/18</u></p> <p>BY : <u>Shri B.P. Patil (mlg)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adl./S.O. to <u>21/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : <u>06.02.2018</u></p> <p>O.A.No.104 of 2018</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file M.A. for condonation of delay. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.02.2018

M. A. No.60 of 2018
in
O.A.No.10¹⁶ of 2017

H. R. Das

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.03.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. S.O. to 07.03.2018.

Sd/-

(B.P. PATIL)
MEMBER (J)

DATE : 7/2/2018

CORAM : Shri B.P. Patil (CMJ)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Heard App. in person.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7/3/2018.

B.P.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri B.P. Patil (MMJ)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.K.</u></p>	<p>Date : 07.02.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.81 of 2017</p> <p>R. V. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pleadings are complete. Original Application is admitted and the kept for final hearing on 28.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(B.P. PATIL)</u> MEMBER (J)</p> <p>VSM.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri B.P. Patil CM/J</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad/S.O. to <u>M.A. is disposed of.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HP/E</i></p>	<p>Date : 07.02.2018</p> <p>M. A. No. 415 of 2017 in O.A.No.81 of 2017</p> <p>R. V. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A.</p> <p>3. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A., M.A. is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

07.02.2018

O.A No 626/2017

Shri S.B Wategaonkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend to add the parties and if necessary to incorporate averments.

3. Leave to add and amend is granted. Amendment be carried out within one week.

4. Issue notice to added Respondent returnable on 12.3.2018.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O to 12.3.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J) Chairman

Akn

DATE : 7/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 12/3/2018

Handwritten initials

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.96 OF 2014

DISTRICT : PUNE

1. Mrs. Sarika Ashish Chaudhari.)
Clerk-cum-Typist, Office of Food Grain)
Distribution Officer, Pune, Collector)
Office, Pune and residing at Survey No.)
78+80+81+76/4, Flat No.904, Sai Sayaji)
Darshan, Behind Cosmos Bank, Bharati)
Vidyapeeth, Katraj, Pune - 411 046.)

2. Smt. Fatima Haji Choudhari.)
Senior Clerk, Office of Divisional)
Commissioner, Pune, District Pune and)
Residing at Government Quarters,)
Bungalow No.5, "H" Building, Room No.9,)
Yerawada, Pune - 411 006.)...Applicants

Versus

1. The Government of Maharashtra.)
Through the Secretary,)
Revenue & Forest Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai - 400 032.)

2. Divisional Commissioner.)
Pune Council Hall, Pune 411 001.)

3. The Collector.)
District : Pune.)...Respondents

Mr. M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for Applicants.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Boh

PER : SHRI B.P. PATIL (MEMBER-J)
SHRI P.N. DIXIT (MEMBER-A)

DATE : 07.02.2018

PER : SHRI B.P. PATIL (MEMBER-J)

J U D G M E N T

1. The learned Advocate for the Applicants has submitted that, during pendency of the O.A, the Respondent No.3 has prepared new seniority list in the cadre of Clerk-cum-Typists eligible for the promotion to the post of Awal Karkun. The provisional seniority list has been published by the Respondent No.3 on 2nd December, 2017 calling the objections from the persons aggrieved, if any. He has submitted that, on finalization of the seniority list, the Applicants are entitled to claim consequential benefits. He has submitted that, since the Respondent No.3 has prepared the provisional seniority list, the purpose of filing the O.A. is satisfied with. He has submitted that, in view of this, the O.A. may be disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation claiming consequential benefits, if required, after publication of the final seniority list and also proposed to direct the Respondent No.2 to publish final seniority list within three months from the date of this order.

3. The learned P.O. has submitted that, by giving the reasonable time to the Respondent No.3 to publish the final

15/1

seniority list, necessary orders may be passed and O.A. may be disposed of.

4. The Respondent No.3 has published the provisional seniority list of the Clerks eligible for promotion on the post of Awal Karkun on 2nd December, 2017. Now, the Respondent No.3 has to publish the final seniority list after considering the grievances of the persons aggrieved by the provisional seniority list. On publication of the final seniority list, the Applicant has right to make representation to the Respondents for claiming consequential benefits.

5. In these circumstances, it is just to dispose of the O.A. with the direction to the Respondent No.3 to publish the final seniority list after considering the grievances of the aggrieved employees, if any, within three months from the date of this order and with liberty to Applicant to approach the Respondents claiming consequential benefits on publication of the final seniority list. The O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member-A
07.02.2018

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil)
Member-J
07.02.2018

Mumbai

Date : 07.02.2018

Dictation taken by :

S.K. Wamanse.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.B. Patil (CJ)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri P.A. Dixit (MIA)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Heard appl. in person.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lokar spl counsel</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>With MS. A.B. Kolgi, P.O. for Rops</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to _____ <u>7/3/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>skw</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.23/2018 in O.A.71/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. R.S. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel with Mrs. A.B. Kolgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Special Counsel for the Respondents submitted that the representation made by the Applicant is under active consideration of the concerned Department and the concerned Department will take decision within four weeks and communicate its decision to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7th March, 2018.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member-A 07.02.2018</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 07.02.2018</td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: left;">(skw)</p>	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member-A 07.02.2018	Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 07.02.2018
Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member-A 07.02.2018	Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 07.02.2018		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri P.B. Patil (Cm/J)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (CMM)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>C.T. Chandatre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>2/1/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MT</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.202/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. S.S. Ketkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandatre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to amend the O.A. suitably by challenging the short-listing criteria of the M.P.S.C. in selection of the candidates. Leave granted.</p> <p>3. Applicant to amend the O.A. suitably within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21st February, 2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member-A 07.02.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 07.02.2018</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>7/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Shri. P. B. Patil (m/j)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri P. H. Dixit (m/j)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.321/2015</u></p> <p>Mr. D.L. Bhalerao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the D.G.P. office has recently i.e. on 24th January, 2018 published final seniority list of the cadre of P.S.I. He has submitted that, he had not received any instructions from his client in this matter, and therefore, he seeks short time.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 and additional reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in view of the developments in the matter. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28th February, 2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>(P.N. Dixit)</u> Member-A 07.02.2018</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>(B.P. Patil)</u> Member-J 07.02.2018</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">(skw)</p>