

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2016  
(Shri Hemant J. Bichkewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 629 OF 2017  
(Shri Vijay N. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2018  
(Smt. Sayeda Khalida Md. Naeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 31.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 894 OF 2019  
(Smt. Suman B. Waydhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. None present for the applicant. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**Later On**

3. Learned Advocate Shri G.L. Deshpande, for the applicant appeared and states that he was not able to attain the Tribunal, when the matter has been called, as he was engaged in another Court. He has submitted that in view of the above said reason, he remained absent. Therefore, he has prayed to recall the order of dismissal passed in the present O.A. today i.e. on 06.12.2019.

4. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the order of dismissal passed in the present O.A. today i.e. on 06.12.2019 is recalled. The O.A. is restored to its original number.

5. S.O. to 07.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1065 OF 2019  
(Dr. Jahagirdar Dastagir Nizam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 574/2019 in M.A. St. No. 2254/2019 In O.A. St. No. 2211/2019  
(Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari Sanghatna V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. M.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. St. No. 2254/2019 In O.A. St. No. 2211/2019  
(Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari Sanghatna V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 20.01.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 596/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2343/2019  
(Shri Ramsing R. Pardeshi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants intend to amend the O.A. St. No. 2343/2019, so far as the interim relief is concerned and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. S.O. to 09.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2329 OF 2019  
(Shri Dnyandev L. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 775 OF 2019  
(Shri Shashikant S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 355 OF 2019  
(Shri Nagesh N. Unche and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 212 OF 2019  
(Shri Sunder S. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2019  
(Shri Rajdhar K. Dandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 214 OF 2019  
(Shri Sarjerao N. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 17.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2019  
(Shri Prakash M. Ishware V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3, Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshande, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 has submitted that he is adopting the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 7 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.01.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2019  
(Shri Nitin D. Ingale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.01.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 618 OF 2018  
(Shri Sharad D. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has attracted my attention towards the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Bombay in **W.P. No. 2942/2018 in case of The Director of Accounts and Treasuries (M.S.), Mumbai and Ors. Vs. Mrs. Suvarna Umesh Sant and Ors.** decided on 02.11.2018, on the basis of the statement made by the Advocate General that the order dated 30.05.2018 in respect of eight candidates in that matter would be withdrawn and the appointment would be given to them. The case of the applicant is also similar to those candidates.

3. In view of this, the respondents are directed to state as to whether they are going to take corrective steps in view of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Bombay in **W.P. No. 2942/2018 in**

**case of The Director of Accounts and Treasuries (M.S.), Mumbai and Ors. Vs. Mrs. Suvarna Umesh Sant and Ors.** and to file affidavit to that effect. If they take corrective steps, then there is no need to file affidavit.

4. S.O. to 19.12.2019.

5. Steno copy allowed to the learned P.O.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 589/2019 in O.A. No. 609/2019  
(Shri Satish Ramrao Ghumare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed the present M.A. for setting aside the order of dismissal dated 03.10.2019 passed in O.A. No. 609/2019 by condoning the delay caused for filing the restoration application.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has filed the O.A. No. 609/2019, in which the notices have been issued to the respondents. The notices issued to the respondent Nos. 3 to 16 were not served properly and therefore, fresh notices have been issued to the respondent No. 3 to 16. But the applicant had not collected the notices in time. Therefore, the O.A. came to be dismissed on 03.10.2019 for non-compliance of the order. The applicant has to file the application for restoration of O.A. within a period of one month from the date of



dismissal in view of the provisions of Rule 16 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. But the applicant has not filed the application for restoration of O.A. within a stipulated time. There is no provision to condone the delay caused for filing the application for restoration of O.A. in view of the provisions of Rule 16 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, which reads as follows:-

**“ 16. Action on application for applicant’s default.-** (1) *Where on the date fixed for hearing of the application or on any other date to which such hearing may be adjourned, the applicant does not appear when the application is called on for hearing, the Tribunal may, in its discretion, either dismiss the application for default or hear and decide it on merits.*

*(2) Where an application has been dismissed for default and the applicant files an application within thirty days from the date of dismissal and satisfies the Tribunal that there was sufficient cause for his non-appearance when the application was called for hearing, the Tribunal shall make an order setting aside the order dismissing the application and restore the same:*

*Provided, however where the case was disposed of on merits the decision shall not be reopened except by way of review.”*

4. In view of the above said rule, the present M.A. is not maintainable, as it is not filed in time. Moreover, the applicant has not given sufficient cause for recalling the order of dismissal passed in O.A. and for

//3//

M.A. 589/2019 in  
O.A. 609/2019

condoning the delay, on that count also, the present M.A. deserves to be rejected.

5. In view of this, the M.A. stands rejected with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1030 OF 2019  
(Dr. Jaya P. Dighe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 06.12.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721/2018  
(Bhagwan Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri Amit Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.844/2019**  
**(Raviraj Darak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Shinde learned Advocate holding  
for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of  
respondent nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy  
thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 02-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.683/2019  
(Shivaji Ghantewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.980/2019  
(Suresh Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kaksheeb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 07-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.174/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.588/2017  
(Aasaram Chormare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kaksahab B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 21-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.896/2018  
(Madhukar Madarase & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.V.Gujar learned Advocate holding  
for Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files sur-rejoinder on behalf of the  
respondents. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has  
been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 22-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1061/2019  
(Kerba Jatewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.N.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing M.A. for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A. Two weeks' time is granted.

3. Case be removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.502/2018  
(Bansilal Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Raut learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the respondent no.2 and Shri Adhip Ghosh learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 15-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.757/2018**  
**(Bhujang Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shakil Shaikh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents as the respondents had withdrawn the earlier affidavit in reply. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 01-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.140/2019  
(Hariram Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced the communication dated 05-12-2019 along with minutes of the meeting of the Suspension Review Committee held on 26-11-2019 in which it was decided to revoke suspension of the applicant. Learned P.O. has submitted that on the basis of recommendation of the committee, proposal was sent to the department but because of the non-availability of the competent transferring authority, the revocation of the suspension and posting order cannot be issued. Therefore, he sought time to comply the recommendation of the Suspension Review Committee.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has strongly objected to grant time in the matter.

=2=

O.A.NO.140/2019

4. Considering the documents produced on record, it is just to give 2 weeks' time to the respondents to pass the appropriate order on the basis of the recommendations of the Suspension Review Committee. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 20-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528/2019  
(Dr. Kishor Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.544/2019  
(Sandip Shejul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.S.Tembhunikar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. states that he has deposited the amount of costs. He has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. He also undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side. He states that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 13-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.674/2019  
(Madhukar Bhrahmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 22-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO.740/2019, 741/2019, 742/2019, 743/2019, 744/2019,  
745/2019 AND 746/2019  
(Nathrao Dabade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer and Shri S.K.Shirse, Shri M.P.Gude Shri N.U.Yadav, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned P.Os. seek time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents. Time is granted.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the office of respondent no.5 (O.A.No.745/2019 & 746/2019) has been shifted at Sengaon, Tq. & Dist. Hingoli. Therefore, the applicants pray for leave to amend the O.A.No.745/2019 & 746/2019 and to delete name of the respondent no.5 and to add necessary name/title of Range Forest Officer, Sengaon (respondent no.5).

4. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicants shall amend the O.As. forthwith. After amendment is carried out notice will be issued to the added respondent no.5 in O.A.No.745/2019 & 746/2019.

5. Issue notice to the respondent no.5 in O.A.No.740/2019, 741/2019, 742/2019, 743/2019,

=2=

O.A.No.745/2019 & 746/2019 returnable on 23.01.2020.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 23.01.2020.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.806/2019  
(Suresh Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 10-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.852/2019  
(Milind Kulthe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri V.H.Dighe learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 02-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.130/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.594/2019  
(Satish Trimukhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vijay Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.160/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.627/2019  
(Ashok Nagargoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Dambe learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments of both sides are heard. Case is reserved for order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.475/2019 IN O.A.NO.812/2019  
(Dr. Sunita Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.M.Gaikwad learned Advocate holding for Shri G.K.Muneshwar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply in M.A. and O.A. Time is granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 18-12-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.591/2019 IN M.A.NO.308/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257/2018**  
**(Bapura Pattewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.15-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799/2016**  
**(Bhura Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter as the applicant has applied for issuance of material documents under the Right to Information Act. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 23-01-2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 06.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.361/2019 IN O.A.NO.884/2018  
(Dr. Mukhtadir Abdul Hafiz Sattari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 06.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. The applicant has filed M.A. for condonation of delay caused for filing the M.A. for restoration of O.A.No.884/2018 which was dismissed in default. It is contention of the applicant that he remained absent due to some unavoidable circumstance, and therefore, the order of dismissal came to be passed. It is his contention that delay of 102 days is caused for filing M.A. for restoration of O.A. Delay is not intentional or deliberate, therefore, it deserves to be condoned in the interest of justice. Therefore, he prayed to allow the M.A.

2. I have heard Shri R.P.Adgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that delay is not intentional or deliberate, therefore, it requires to be condoned.

4. Learned P.O. states that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant to condone the delay and there is no provision to condone the delay caused for filing M.A. for restoration of O.A. in view of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A.

5. On going through the record, it reveals that the applicant filed O.A.No.884/2018 but it was dismissed in default on 06-03-2019 for non-compliance of the direction given by this Tribunal. The applicant ought to have filed M.A. for restoration within one month in view of Rule 16 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988. Rule 16 reads as under:

*“16. Action on application for applicant’s default.—*

*(1) Where on the date fixed for hearing of the application or on any other date to which such hearing may be adjourned, the applicant does not appear when the application is called on for hearing, the Tribunal may, in its discretion, either dismiss the application for default or hear and decide it on merits.*

*(2) Where an application has been dismissed for default and the applicant files an application within thirty days from the date of dismissal and satisfies the Tribunal that*

*there was sufficient cause for his non-appearance when the application was called for hearing, the Tribunal shall make an order setting aside the order dismissing the application and restore the same:*

*Provided, however where the case was disposed of on merits the decision shall not be reopened except by way of review.”*

6. The applicant has not filed the application for restoration of O.A. challenging the dismissal order dated 06-03-2019 within time. There is delay of more than 102 days in filing application for restoration. The Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988 do not provide for condonation of delay caused for filing the restoration of O.A. Moreover, not a single cause is shown by the applicant for condonation of delay which prevented him from filing application within time.

7. In the absence of sufficient cause and in absence of specific provision in the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunals (Procedure) Rules, 1988 the delay caused for filing M.A. cannot be condoned. Hence, the M.A.NO.361/2019 stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 330/2017  
(Shri Dinesh S. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 6.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 923/2019  
(Shri Nisar Kha Abdullatif Kha Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**leave note**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant from 2.12.2019 to 20.12.2019, S.O. to 8.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422/2018**  
**(Dr. Raghuvir V. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592/2018**  
**(Shri Nilesh B. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri R.S. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 1. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 6.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732/2018  
(Shri Akhtarkhan Shahjadekhan Pathan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 26/2019  
(Shri Vinod S. Muley Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.1.2020 for bringing on record the subsequent developments occurred in the present O.A. on the line of order dtd. 19.9.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397/2019  
(Smt. Shilpa J. Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing sur-rejoinder, if any of the respondents to the rejoinder of the applicant. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2019  
(Shri Rejendra P. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties,  
S.O. to 10.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 959/2019**  
**(Shri Rahul D. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul D. Khadap, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing  
affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 51/2019 IN O.A. ST. 354/2017  
(Shri Ashruba B. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Renuka Ghule, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present M.A. It reveals from the record that on previously many occasions time was granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply in the present M.A.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2020 as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply in the present M.A.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 53/2019 IN O.A. ST. 185/2019**  
**(Shri Ashok B. Amrutkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 6.12.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1, 2 & 4 and Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1, 2 & 4 in the present M.A. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.12.2019