

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.744 of 2019</p> <p>P.H. PawarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during the course of Final Hearing of the O.A. it transpired that though this Tribunal has stayed the impugned order dated 03.07.2019 by interim order dated 30.07.2019, the Commissioner of State Excise, Mumbai by its order dated 18.09.2019 relieved the Applicant from the present post directing him to join at Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. Indeed the order dated 08.08.2019 tendered by the learned Advocate for the Applicant today (the same is taken on record and marked by letter 'X') makes it clear that the Commissioner of State Excise, Mumbai was very much aware about the interim order passed by this Tribunal and therefore the Applicant was continued to work on the present post i.e. Deputy Commissioner (Medicinal & Toilet Preparations, State Excise, Mumbai). Suffice to say the Commissioner of State Excise, Mumbai is very much aware about the interim order passed by this Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Despite the aforesaid position, the Commissioner of State Excise, Mumbai later by order dated 18.09.2019 relieved the Applicant and directed him to join at Aurangabad i.e. at the place where he was transferred by order dated 03.07.2019 which is already stayed by this Tribunal. The order dated 18.09.2019 tendered by learned Advocate for the Applicant is taken on record and marked by letter 'Y')</p> <p>5. Thus, in view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant rightly pointed out that the Commissioner of State Excise has disobeyed the order passed by this Tribunal on 30.07.2019 and thereby committed the contempt of the Court. Prima facie, there is merit in his submission, in view of willful disobedience of order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, issue notice to Smt. Prajakta Lavangare-Varma as to why contempt proceedings should not initiated against her for disobeying the order passed by this Tribunal on 30.07.2019. Issue notice returnable on 11.11.2019.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise to Commissioner of State Excise about this order.</p> <p>8. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>06.11.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 798/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.S Lanjje Vs. ... Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Lata Patne, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>
	<p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>06.11.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 453/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Patil ... Applicant Vs. ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that matter may be adjourned as he is the process of procuring address of private parties.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 3.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p>
	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate),

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

06.11.2019

O.A 256 & 257/2019

Dr S.D Kulkarni [O.A 256/2019]
Dr P.D Shendge [O.A 257/2019] ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Kalyani Tulnkar holding for Shri A.P. Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar,, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.
3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder.
4. At her request adjourned to 26.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhakar)
Member (J)

Aka

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 597/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.B Panari & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere with Mrs P.J Gavhane, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. At her request, adjourned to 19.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 888/2018 with M.A 224/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Shirsath & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustabh Gith holding for Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits copy of G.R dated 3.7.1999. The same is provided to learned advocate for applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate seeks adjourn^{ment}ed for studying the same.</p> <p>4. At his request, adjourned to 14.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 549/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.B Desai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she will file reply within four weeks. Learned C.P.O is directed to ensure reply within the scheduled time.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 5.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 30/2019 in O.A 738/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.G Dalvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits copy of the proposal sent to A.G, Mumbai on 11.10.2019 to revise his pension as per the directives issued by this Tribunal. Copy of the proposal is taken on record.</p> <p>3. A copy of the same is provided to the learned advocate for the applicant. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he has no objection for disposing of the C.A.</p> <p>4. The C.A is accordingly disposed of. The Respondents to ensure that the proposal is finalized within a period of four weeks.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 382/2019 in C.A 29/2019 in O.A 715/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Thombare & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryavanshi, holding for Shri G.M Savagave , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for preparing his case and submitting it again.</p> <p>3. As per his request, adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Alkn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 94/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jakkanawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that within four weeks the progress regarding missing credit would be intimated.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.11.2019. No extension will be granted thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akru</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 472/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Kashid ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri M.V Thorat, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O submits affidavit in reply on behalf of Res. No 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O further submits that she may be given four weeks to file reply on behalf of Respondent no. 5 (Finance Dept). 4. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks four weeks' time for filing rejoinder. 5. Adjourned to 5.12.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 960/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.E Kerure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits short affidavit enclosing additional documents. 3. Issue notice returnable on 26.11.2019. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O 26.11.2019. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Akn [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrer's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 581/2019 with M.A 324/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Y.D Kale & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 5.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 769/2019</u></p> <p>Dr C.A Borase & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>B. Adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1058 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.M. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to procure additional documents including copy of minutes of the DPC meeting held in recent past for consideration of First Time Bound Promotion.</p> <p>3. As per his request adjourned to 25.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) . Vice-Chairman (A) 6.11.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1055 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.L. Dudhalkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 29.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. The respondents are directed to file short affidavit regarding legal impediment in implementing para 7.2 of OA which reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"The petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present OA is no more res integra, in as much as, the same has already been concluded by authoritative pronouncements rendered by this Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No.19/2013 & 415/2004 respectively."</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.594 of 2019 in O.A. No.1055 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.L. Dudhalkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>R.A.No.11 of 2019 in O.A.No.319 of 2019</p> <p>N.D. PatilApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply in R.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.246 of 2019</p> <p>P.K. BodakeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wants to add one more Department as Respondent where the Applicant has worked prior to his transfer in Law and Judiciary Department. He therefore seeks adjournment to add, 'Principal Secretary, Industry, Energy and Labour Department' as party Respondent.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend is granted.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she will appear on behalf of added Respondent also and request for time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of newly added Respondent.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 20.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.417 of 2019</p> <p>M.I.A. ShaikhApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete matter is admitted and adjourned for Final hearing on 28.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.772 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. KapareApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.831 of 2019</p> <p>A.R. Supare/Deotale ...Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of Applicant in person two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.864 of 2019</p> <p>A.D. Daundkar & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.886 of 2019</p> <p>Y.S. BhanseApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<div data-bbox="147 1010 704 1384" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Date : 6/11/19 Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A> Hon'ble Shri A. P. Karhekar <MTJ> Apperance : Shri/Smt. Sharda B. Sonkar for P.O. Advocate for the Applicant Tamhane Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.</p> </div> <p>Heard OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such. R</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1030 of 2019</u></p> <p>K.B. Kanse ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sharda B. Sonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.A. Tamhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant may be provided light work as per recommendations by the Medical Board (pg.11 of OA).</p> <p>3. Today during hearing Shri Ramesh Yewale, Acting Additional Comptroller to The Hon'ble Governor from the office of respondent no.2 is present and submits that the establishment is providing him light duty. However, as handling of gadgets involves risk to his life the gadgets are not provided to him. He further submits that there is no discontinuation of his salary and other facilities. Ld. Advocate for the applicant also admits that he is getting salary regularly.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA.</p> <p>5. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>