

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.37 of 2020 in O.A.No.316 of 2019</p> <p>K.D. Binner Y Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Suo moto speaking to the minutes :- In paragraph 2 of the order of the Tribunal dated 09.07.2021, the word "simplicity" is to be read as "simply".</p> <p>3. Matter kept back as learned Advocate is not available on video conference as well.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <p>1. Call out again. None present at 12.30 p.m</p> <p>2. In view of the earlier order matter is fixed for dismissed on 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.08.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 28/2021 in O.A 1003/2017</u></p> <p>R.S Hingmire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application is filed against the order of this Tribunal dated 2.1.2021 in O.A 1003/2017.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that he has received the instructions that all the dues as directed by this Tribunal are paid to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant states that after going through the relevant documents, he will make submission.</p> <p>5. Matter is kept back.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p>O.A.No.175 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SalveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any. Matter adjourned to 29.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.293 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. B.G. PhalkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. prays for short time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.168 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Nikam & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply, dated 05.08.2021 on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 3, through Dr. Sonali Vijay Rode. The same is taken on record and copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.296 of 2020 in R.A. No.14 of 2020 in O.A. No.28 of 2018 with O.A. No.938 of 2018 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus D.L. Awate (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. This Review needs to be heard by Division Bench existing comprising Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman (A) & Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J). 3. Since, Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman (A) is sitting at Nagpur, today it was fixed for hearing through Video-Conference at 04.00 p.m. 4. However, due to non connectivity of Interest hearing couldn't take place therefore adjourned to 09.08.2021 at 10.30 a.m through Video-Conference. <p align="right"> Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) (A.P. Kurhekar) Vice Chairman(A) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.518 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for directions to the Respondents to release Gratuity and GIS which has been withheld despite his voluntary retirement w.e.f. 11.06.2020.</p> <p>3. On previous date, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions to see why Gratuity and GIS were not paid to the Applicant. Besides, the directions were also given to Respondent No.3 – Superintendent, Cama & Albless Hospital, Mumbai to depute some responsible officer to remain present in the Tribunal. However, nobody is present from the office of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. All that learned P.O. on telephonic instructions to her submits that the service book is required to be sent to pay verification unit and after the approval remaining retiral benefits of the Applicant will be released.</p> <p>5. O.A. is restricted for directions to release Gratuity and GIS since admittedly remaining retiral benefits are already paid. In this O.A., the Applicant has also claimed interest on delayed payment of GPF and Pension.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. As such, except compliance of certification in service book, there seem to be no legal hurdle for releasing Gratuity and GIS. Indeed, all these necessary steps ought to have been taken by the Respondents much earlier and for no reason the Applicant is deprived of from getting Gratuity and GIS. There is inaction and inordinate delay on the part of Respondents.</p> <p>7. In this view of matter, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions so that Applicant could get Gratuity and GIS without further loss of time.</p> <p>8. O.A is disposed of with directions to Respondents to ensure release of Gratuity and GIS as per the entitlement of the Applicant in Rules within six weeks from today.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is at liberty to take necessary steps for interest on delayed payment which will be dealt with in accordance to law.</p> <p>10. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.465 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that after receipt of objection from the side of the Accountant General, certain steps were taken by the department to fix the Applicant pay for the purpose of pension and other retiral benefits and matter is under process.</p> <p>3. There seems to be dispute about pay fixation as well as what would be last drawn pay for grant of retiral benefits.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file Reply by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.810 of 2016</p> <p>N. P. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to amend the O.A. since the Applicant stands retired after filing of O.A. and needs to modify certain reliefs.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ..

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ..

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.802of 2020</p> <p>P. S. PandaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for absorption in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012 whereby the policy decision was taken by the Government to regularize the services of daily wages workers working in Forest Department who have worked at least for 240 days in a year for five years in the period from 01.11.1994 to 30.06.2004.</p> <p>3. The Applicant contends that he worked as Van Majoor from 01.11.1999 to 30.06.2004 and was eligible for absorption in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012. the Applicant has placed on record the information collected under RTI which shows that he had worked for more than 240 days only in three years i.e. 1994, 1995 and 1998. Apart, he has produced the certificates issued by RFO dated 01.11.1999 showing that he worked for more than 240 days in the year 1996 as well as in 1999. On the basis of these, the Applicant sought to contend that he had worked for more than 240 days for five years and he is eligible for absorption.</p> <p>4. Whether the Applicant has really worked for 240 days for five years in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012 is the question of fact which needs to be decided by Respondent No.1 in first place.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. As such, the Applicant is required to make representation to the Respondent No.1 for claiming relief of absorption in terms of G.R. dated 16.10.2012. However, no such representation seems to have been made.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation to the Respondent No.1 for absorption.

7. O.A. is, therefore, disposed of in following terms :-

(A) The liberty is granted to the Applicant to make representation to the Respondent No.1 within two weeks from today and if such representation is made, it shall be decided by the Respondent No.1 within a month from the date of receipt of the representation.

(B) The decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.

(C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further legal course of action as permissible in law.

(D) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.615 of 2020</p> <p>D. B. Parjane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 25.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A. S. Azami @ Shahid Nadeem Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2021</p> <p>S. M. DongreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 10.01.2019 on the ground that he is subjected to prolong suspension.</p> <p>3. Whereas learned C.P.O. submits that D.E. is already completed and at the verge of finality. She, ^{with her} therefore, submits that reviews of the suspension of the Applicant were taken twice but Committee recommended to continue the suspension in view of serious charge.</p> <p>4. However, Minutes of Review Committee are not produced.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. is directed to produce the Minutes of Review Committee on next date.</p> <p>6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 03.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.612 of 2020 with M.A. No.277 of 2020</p> <p>S.V. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1046 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. BhaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is taken on board by circulation. 3. Learned P.O. submits that matter is already fixed on 13.08.2021 for Final Hearing and be kept on that day since nobody is present from department. 4. Matter be kept on fixed date i.e. 13.08.2021. 5. S.O. to 13.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.722 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. R.A. SinghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gajanan Kukde, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Advocate appearing for the Applicant submits that Advocate Shri D.S. Pagare is unable to attend the matter due to personal difficulties and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.318 of 2019</p> <p>B.N. KharkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This Part Heard matter which was kept today for production of minutes of D.P.C. 3. Learned P.O. submits that she will file D.P.C. along with short Affidavit and requested for short time. 4. S.O. to 10.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.298 of 2021 to O.A. No.303 of 2021</p> <p>B.P. Patade P.I. Gurav V.P. Khot N.P. Shinde A.Y. Date S.V. SatardekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.296 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. AgarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 14.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.113 of 2021</p> <p>V.R. RaskarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder. However, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.54 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. GoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but not filed, therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.520 of 2020 to O.A. No.522 of 2020</p> <p>S.K. Harugade B.D. Salunkhe & Ors. S.S. ChewaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these O.As. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that one another O.A. i.e. O.A. No.591/2020 arising from similar situation is already admitted for Final Hearing, and therefore, this O.A. be also heard along with O.A. No.591/2020.</p> <p>4. In view of above, all these O.As. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A. No.323 of 2019 with R.A. No.05 of 2021 in O.A. No.321 of 2019 with R.A. No.06 of 2021 in O.A. No.322 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Rajam S.A. Koyande M.Y. RaoraneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A. No.950 of 2019 with M.A. No.561 of 2019 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. Inamke G.F. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing of M.A. and O.A. it is transpired that the Applicant has not challenged impugned communication whereby their claim for grant of increment has been rejected.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore requested for permission to amend prayer clause of O.A.</p> <p>4. Allowed to amend O.A. Amended be carried out within a week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.489 of 2021 with M.A. No.276 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R.R. AsawaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Dr. R.R. Asawa, learned Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.09.2021.<li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.<li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.<li>8. S.O. to 03.09.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A. No.164 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. PingleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note adjourned to 26.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1032 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Rohile, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Kaningdhawaj, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.3 is served but absent since beginning.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.08.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.277 of 2021 in O.A. No.349 of 2020</p> <p>V.A. LalbigeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for permission to amend O.A. and to challenge order dated 24.02.2021 passed by Respondent No.2 during the pendency of this O.A. By the said order the request of the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground has been rejected stating that his father was retired compulsory and secondly recommendation of Lad committee are not applicable to the Applicant. 3. Learned P.O. fairly states that he has no objection to the amendment. 4. Allowed to amend O.A. Amendment be carried out within a week. 5. Respondents shall file Reply to the amended O.A. one week thereafter. 6. O.A. is adjourned to 23.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.422 of 2020</p> <p>D.R. BhosleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.198 of 2021 in O.A.No.228 of 2021</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Appli. (Org. Respds.) Vs. S.V. Destewad ... Respds (Org. Appli.)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for vacation of interim stay granted by this Tribunal. However, it is specifically pointed out to learned P.O. that Respondent No.2, Caste Scrutiny Committee is not appearing before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale is called upon to produce the affidavit of service of the added Applicant (Org. Respondent No.2), Deputy Director/ Member Secretary, Scheduled Tribe Caste Scrutiny Committee, Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale produces the affidavit of service disclosing that it is served on Applicant (Org. Respondent No.2) on 19.04.2021 by hand delivery and photo copy of the service showing acknowledgment is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1. Despite the service none present from the office of Applicant (Org. Respd No.2).</p> <p>5. Matter adjourned to 10.08.2021 at the request of learned P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.482 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Shinde/ VithoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply, dated 15.04.2021 on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 4, through Mr. Ganesh Ramchandra Kindre, Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Satara. The same is taken on record and copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.754 of 2020</p> <p>B.S. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed by the learned P.O. On perusal of the affidavit-in-reply, dated 15.04.2021, on behalf of Respondent No.1, Dr. Madhav Vitthalrao Veer, Deputy Secretary, office of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, in paragraph 3 has mentioned that the enquiry will complete within a period of three months.</p> <p>3. Affidavit is filed on 15.04.2021 and thus 3 months are over on 15.07.2021.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. matter is kept back to obtain instructions.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">) Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. informs that out of 4, 2 enquiries are over and 2 are in the process. She prays for 3 more months' time to complete the work of enquiry. Respondents are directed to file affidavit to that effect that enquiry will be completed within that stipulated period.</p> <p>6. Additional Affidavit of the enquiry Officer is also to be filed in respect of completion of enquiry.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 12.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.36 of 2021 with O.A.No.37 of 2021 with O.A.No.231 of 2021</p> <p>T.B. NaikApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is filed in O.A.No.36/2021 and O.A.No. 37/2021, however, the affidavit is yet to be filed in O.A.No.231/2021. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in O.A.No.231/2021.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that he will file one common in all three matters rejoinder after receipt of affidavit in O.A.No.231/2021.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.160 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 15.06.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.1, through Mr. Viju Murlidhar Sirsath, Superintending Industries Officer, office of Directorate of Industries, New Admn. Bldg. Mantralaya. The same is taken on record and copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel submits that after going through the affidavit-in-reply he may file rejoinder,</p> <p>4. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 03.09.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.08.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.170 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. WakhareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned C.P.O. informs on instructions that all dues as prayed are paid to the applicant. Similarly he was also given 3rd time bound promotion and also different of pay. 3. The learned Counsel confirms the said statement in view of this claim. 4. The learned C.P.O. further informs that in respect of the claim for interest it is as per the procedure wherein the applicant needs to file the application in the office from where he retired and if it is rejected then it becomes a different cause of action. 5. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant will make necessary application accordingly by approaching the earlier officer. He submits that liberty be given to him to challenge the order of the State after the prayer for payment of interest made to the authority is rejected. 6. In view of this nothing remains in the applications and hence it is disposed off with liberty to file O.A. on the point of interest. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.08.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 478 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. FulzalkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 24.06.2021 and sought interim relief in respect of change of Head Quarter. By impugned order, his Head Quarter is kept at Nandurbar instead of Nashik where he was posted at the time of suspension.</p> <p>3. Indeed, as per Circular dated 19.03.2021, the Head Quarter should be a place where a Government servant is posted at the time of suspension. Therefore, on previous date i.e. 20.07.2021, liberty was given to the Respondents to consider the change of Head Quarter in Circular dated 19.03.2021 but no step is taken till date. Today, again learned P.O. has sought time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Two week time is granted for filing reply. However, insofar as change of Head Quarter is concerned. It should be at Nashik instead of Nandurbar. Accordingly, by way of interim relief it is directed that his Head Quarter during the period of suspension shall be Nashik i.e. the place where he was serving at the time of suspension.</p> <p>5. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) {PTO.</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.08.2021</u></p> <p>M.A 270/2021 with M.A 271/2021 in O.A 236/2021 with M.A 273/2021 with M.A 274/2021 in O.A 237/2021.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurty A.N Padwal ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajuram Kuleriya i/b Troy Legal, learned advocate for the applicant in M.A 270/2021 with M.A 271/2021 in O.A 236/2021, Shri Vagish Mishra, i/b Law Counsellrs, learned advocate for the applicant in M.A 273/2021 with M.A 274/2021 in O.A 237/2021, Shri R.S Apte, learned Senior Counsel with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents no 1, 2 & 3, Shri Atul Damle, learned Senior Counsel i/b Mr B.S Shinde, learned advocate for the Respondents no. 4, 6 & 7 in O.A 236/2021, and Respondents no. 5 to 10 in O.A 237/2021 and Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent no. 11 in O.A 237/2021.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the Respondents submits that they want to file reply to the Misc Application. They seek three weeks' time. Time granted.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to file their reply on 20.8.2021 and copy of the said reply be served on both the applicants on 20.8.2021 physically or by email to their respective counsel.</p> <p>4. Today learned C.P.O submits that the State wants to move Precipe for speaking to the minutes. The Precipe will be placed on record during the course of the day. Copy of the said Precipe will be served on the learned counsel for the applicant and other Respondents either physically or by email today or tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O to 23.8.2021 at 10.30.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.08.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 536 & 537 /2021</u></p> <p>Savita B. Sonwane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks to carry out amendment regarding date of the show cause notice in the Prayer clause in both the Original Applications. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. The applicant, working as Stenographer (Lower Grade) challenges the enquiry initiated against her by Respondent no. 2 including the show cause notice dated 8.7.2021, to show cause as to why penalty of removal from service should not be imposed upon her, holding that the same are in violation of mandate of Article 311 of the Constitution of India read with MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules.</p> <p>4. The Respondents to take note that the time is extended to the applicant to give reply to the show cause notice till 16.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Issue notice returnable on 24.8.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 24.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>07.08.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 9/2021 in O.A 229/2021</u></p> <p>Ganesh A. Kiture ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Krishna Agrawal i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that by way of last chance two weeks' time be granted for compliance.</p> <p>3. Time granted by two weeks' by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>07.08.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 27/2021 in O.A 1228/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.K Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 12.2.2021, that the bill in respect of dues payable to the applicant is submitted to the Treasury Office. She seeks two weeks' time for intimate the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.8.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>