

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.629/2019

District-Dhule

Shri Anil Murlidhar Chaudhari,
Age : 53 years, Occ : Service,
R/o. Industrial Technical Institute,
Shirpur, Taluka Shirpur,
District Dhule.

...APPLICANT

V/s.

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Skill Development and Entrepreneurship
Department, Madam Kama Road,
Hutatma Rajguru Chowk,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Director,
Vocational Education and Training,
Regional Office,
Maharashtra State, Mumbai.
3. The Joint Director,
Vocational Education and Training,
Regional Office, Nashik.
4. Shri P.M.Wakade,
Age : 51 years, Occ : Service,
R/o. c/o. Director.
Vocational Education and Training,
Maharashtra State, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for withdrawal of the O.A. with liberty to represent before the Competent authority and if required before the Tribunal by filing fresh O.A.

3. In view thereof, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to represent before the Competent Authority and if required before the Tribunal by filing fresh O.A.

4. If representation is made within 10 days from today, it be decided and suspension be reviewed within 2 months from the date of representation.

5. There shall be no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.891/2016

District-Beed

Shri Anil Pandurang Salve,
Age : 46 years, Occ : Service,
Subheddar, District Prison
Clas-II, Beed, Dist. Beed.

...APPLICANT

V/s.

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Secretary,
Home (Jail) Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Director General of Police &
Inspector General of Jails,
Maharashtra State, Pune-1.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Heard for some time.

3. At the end of hearing, learned Advocate for the applicant prays for permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation before the competent authority for considering applicant's case for promotion in the quota meant for in service candidates.

4. Leave as prayed for is granted.

5. Applicant undertakes to file representation within 10 days from today.

5. If such representation is filed respondent nos.1 and 2 are directed to consider the same expeditiously and as far as possible within 4 months from the date of receipt thereof.

6. In the event of difficulties in deciding the representation respondents shall be free to approach this Tribunal and pray for extension of time fixed by this Tribunal.

7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the concerned respondents.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is granted for the learned P.O. to communicate the same to the respondents.

9. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

10. There shall be no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.121/2019

District-Aurangabad

Shri Haribhau Kanchan Gosavi,
Age : 57 years, Occ : Service,
R/o. A-1 Quarter, WALMI Colony,
Kanchanwadi, Paithan Road,
Aurangabad.

...APPLICANT

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra,
Through Principal Secretary,
Water Resources Department,
Government of Maharashtra,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant has challenged the suspension order.
3. During the hearing, it has transpired that ends of justice would meet if respondent is directed to take review of the applicant's suspension within 2 months from today. Hence following order is passed.

ORDER

(A) The Respondent is directed to place the matter before the Review Committee and Review Committee shall take appropriate decision about extension or revocation of suspension in view of judgment of

Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ajay Kumar Chaudhary's case within two months from today.

(B) The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.

(C) The subsistence allowance, if not paid, be paid regularly.

(D) There shall be no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.366 OF 2019
(Shri Sagarsing K. Gusinge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 10.06.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.245/2018 IN M.A.ST.NO.497/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.498/2018

(Subject :- M.A. for Condonation of Delay)

DISTRICT : OSMANABAD

1. **Arjun S/o Mahadev Maskar,**)
Age:61 years, Occu. Retired,)
R/o: Peth Bhoom, Maskar Lain,)
Tq. Bhoom, Dist. Osmanabad.)

2. **Azimoddin Kamoloddin Kazi,**)
Age:61 years, Occu. Retired,)
R/o: Shendge Lain, Bhoom,)
Tq. Bhoom; Dist. Osmanabad.)....**Applicant**

V E R S U S

1. **The State of Maharashtra,**)
Through its Secretary,)
Irrigation/ Water Resources Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.)
(Copy to be served on Presenting)
Officer of the State of Maharashtra)
Administrative Tribunal, Aurangabad).)

2. **The Superintending Engineer,**)
Irrigation Project Circle, Beed.)
A'nagar Road, Jaikwadi Camp, Beed.)

3. **The Executive Engineer,**)
Irrigation Division, Osmanabad.)...**Respondents**

APPEARANCE : Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1.

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

CORAM : **JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

DATE : **06.05.2019.**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.
2. By accepting the grounds and reasons leading to delay in filing of Original Application to be good and sufficient, delay of 452 days caused in filing of Original Application is condoned.
3. Misc. Application is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.ST.NO.497/2018 IN O.A.ST.498/2018
(Shri Arjun M. Maskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.
5. Misc Application is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.498/2018
(Shri Arjun M. Maskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 18.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

9. S.O. to 18.07.2019.

CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.397/2019
(Smt. Shilpa J. Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 18.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Respondent No.4 is directed to re-examine its stance and make the Government policy to be coherent to the statutory provisions relied upon by the Applicant.

9. Heard on the point of interim relief.

10. Considering the pleadings and law relied on Applicant has made out case for grant of ad- interim relief in terms of prayer clause 22(a).

11. S.O. to 18.07.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.413/2019
(Shri Naserkhan R. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 25.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Reply shall be filed answering specifically para nos.4 & 8 of Original Application and all grounds.

9. If reply is not filed, O.A. may be heard without reply.

10. S.O. to 25.06.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.594/2018
(Dr. Kavita K. Shende V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 18.07.2019.
3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO.17/2019 IN O.A.NO.735/2017
(Ms. Rita P. Netrewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 09.05.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395/2019
(Shri Ramdas E. Misal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 09.05.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.614/2018
(Dr. Minakshi B. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri Rahul Pawar, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5, S.O. to 22.07.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.26/2019
(Shri Vinod S. Muley V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 09.05.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.403/2019
(Shri Rajabhau L. Bansode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 09.05.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.247/2017
(Dr. Mohammed Fazalul Haq V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 & 6 and Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5. None appeared for the Respondent No.7.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 09.05.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.617/2018
(Shri Babasaheb D. Garad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for enlargement of time to amend the O.A.
3. Time for amendment is enlarged by six weeks.
4. S.O to 21.06.2019.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.962/2019
(Shri Syed Khaja Syed Meeran V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 22.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.961/2019
(Shri Ramesh S. Bari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 22.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.414/2019
(Shri Toliram P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 28.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 28.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.412/2019
(Shri Mohd. Faiz Mohd Ibrahim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 28.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 28.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.150/2019
(Shri Shaikh Nazeer Abdul Rehman V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 06.05.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has prayed for grant of interim relief as granted earlier and she wants to approach the higher forum challenging the order.

3. There is no substance in the submission of the learned Advocate for the Applicant as the O.A. is already dismissed.

4. Hence, the prayer for continuation of interim relief is rejected.

CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 06-05-2019