

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.03.2020

O.A.No.834 of 2019

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent.
Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter is adjourned to give one opportunity
of hearing.

4. S.O. to 13.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.03.2020

O.A.No.198 of 2020

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Present O.A. is filed for limited relief for direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide the appeal within reasonable time.
3. The Applicant was subjected to punishment of withholding one increment permanently by order dated 01.07.2016. The Applicant has challenged the order of punishment by filing appeal before the Respondent No.2-Director, Printing and Stationary, Charni Road, Mumbai. The appellate authority by his judgment dated 30.05.2018 modified the punishment in view of subsequent retirement of the Applicant by imposing punishment of withholding of one increment only for one year without any cumulative effect. Against the said order, the Applicant seems to have filed representation before the Respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It appears that Government gave directions to Respondent No.2 to rehear the appeal. Accordingly, the Respondent No.2 had issued notice to the Applicant on 11.01.2019 (Page 37 of PB) directing the Applicant to remain present for hearing on 15.01.2019 before him. The Applicant attended the hearing and matter was closed for order. However, no order was passed though the period of more than one year is over. It is on this background, the Applicant has filed the present O.A.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. It is appalling to note that though the appeal was heard on 15.01.2019, the order is not passed till date despite the lapse of period of one year. This invariably shows laxity on the part of concerned.
5. In view of above, Original Application deserves to be disposed of with direction so that appeal shall be decided without further loss of time.
6. Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the appeal by passing final order therein within a month from today in accordance to law and rules.
7. No order as to cost.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.03.2020

O.A.No.273 of 2019

1. The Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. in person but absent.
3. Matter is adjourned to give him one opportunity.
4. S.O. to 26.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.831/2019</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Applicant in person and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, the Applicant in person is filed Rejoinder along with Annexures to meet out the contentions raised by the Respondents in their reply. The same is taken on record.3. On the request of learned P.O, short time is granted to file Rejoinder.4. S.O. to 11th March, 2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.03.2020</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.03.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.Nos.1037, 1039, 1040, 1041 and 1042 of 2019 With O.A. Nos.1237, 1238, 1239, 1240 and 1241 of 2019</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicants (O.A.No.1037/2019 & Ors.), Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Counsel for the Applicants (O.A.No.1237/2019 & Ors.) and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These O.As need to be heard along with one O.A.No.1237/2019 & Ors. which is on today's board for filing reply. In the said O.A., time is granted for filing reply, and therefore, It is not ripe for hearing.</p> <p>3. This being the position, these Original Applications are also required to be adjourned for hearing. Hence, interim-relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.123 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. R. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 16.03.2020. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1172 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Amar Bodke holding for Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record. 3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 16.03.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.72 of 2018</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant had sent a leave note. 3. Perusal of record reveals that earlier also O.A. was dismissed In default due to absence and it was restored. 4. Thus, it appears that Applicant is not serious about the matter. 5. However, in view of today's leave note, matter is adjourned to 11.03.2020. 6. S.O. to 11.03.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.399 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.G. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed praecipe dated 2.3.2020 for speaking to minutes of the judgment and order dated 14.1.2020 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in the cause title of judgment the District is mentioned as Solapur whereas in the cause title of OA it is mentioned as Sangli.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant further states that though the cause of action was at Thane, in the cause title of OA the district is mentioned as Sangli. He further states that the in the cause title of OA the name of district Solapur is changed to Sangli, however, it is without any signature.</p> <p>5. Examination of address of the applicant confirms that he belongs to District Sangli. Hence, as per the request made by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, the District 'SOLAPUR' mentioned in the cause title of judgment be corrected as 'SANGLI'.</p> <p>6. Correction be carried out in the original judgment. Praecipe is disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 6.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>