

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 06.02.2018

M.A. No. 61 of 2018 in O.A.No.108 of 2018

W. P. Kamble & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

**(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)**

DATE : 6/2/18

CORAM : Shri B. P. Patil (MB)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. : S. S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to : MA is allowed.

*MT*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>06/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3. Adv. As. Gaikwad for 2, 4. Adj./S.O. to _____</p> <p>O.A is disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.T.</i> <i>O.K.</i></p>	<p><b><u>06.02.2018</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 628/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Shriram ... Applicant Vs. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Ms Bhavana Khichi, holding for Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</li> <li>2. Applicant has moved leave for withdrawal of Original Application with liberty to file fresh O.A for the same relief with appropriate pleadings and grounds.</li> <li>3. Though the case was heard, it has transpired that the applicant has failed to make suitable pleadings obviously on account of inadequate proficiency of legal assistance.</li> <li>4. Ordinarily litigant ought not to suffer for deficiency on the part of legal advice rendered to him.</li> <li>5. Therefore, applicant's request for leave to withdraw with liberty to file fresh O.A for the same relief with suitable pleadings deserves to be granted in the interest of justice.</li> <li>6. Hence O.A is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh O.A for the same relief with suitable pleadings.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi)</i> Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/2/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil (CmJ)</u> <u>Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>off is disposed of.</u> <u>C.T.</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 06.02.2018</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1076 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S. M. Borse</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has prayed to correct the seniority list of the Clerks published on 01.01.2014 and to show the placement of the applicant below the clerks recruited in the year 1995 in the Nasik Division by filing the present Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents submitted that the government has issued guidelines by circular dated 20.11.2017 for fixing seniority list of the clerk. Accordingly, schedule has been fixed by the respondents for publishing final seniority list by communication dated 11.01.2018. The concerned department will publish final seniority list on or before 15.04.2018. Therefore it is just to dispose of the O.A. with a direction to the respondent no.3 to complete the entire process of fixing seniority of the employees on or before 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.</p> <p>4. In these circumstances, the Original Application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent no.3 to complete the entire process of fixing seniority of the employees on or before 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**06.02.2018**

**C.A 63/2017 in O.A 900/2016**

Mrs Archana P. Solanke & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

Shri Pradip Vyas & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs S.S. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Sanjay R. More, Deputy Secretary was called to show cause as to why he should not be saddled with cost of Rs. 10,000/- for failure to appear with proper preparation.

3. In spite of service of notice and grant of three chances, Shri More has failed to file reply.

4. Considering the fact of the case, Shri More is directed to pay cost of Rs. 5000/- within 15 days from today.

**DATE :** 6/2/2018

**CORAM :**

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/S S.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt A.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to considering the fact  
of the case, shri more is  
directed to pay cost of  
Rs. 5000/- within 15 days  
from today.

ATE

9  
Sd/- ahn  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**06.02.2018****C.A 63/2017 in O.A 900/2016**  
**with C.A 64/2017 in O.A 961/2016**Mrs Archana P. Solanke & Ors  
Shri B.D Suryawanshi & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
Shri Pradip Vyas & Ors ... Respondents1. Heard Mrs S.S Deshmukh, learned  
advocate for the applicants and Shri N.K  
Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.2. Respondents have shown that order  
passed by tis Tribunal is complied with.3. In view of the compliance, it is not  
necessary to proceed to take any action.

4. Contempt Applications are disposed of.

**DATE :** 6/2/2018**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**Shri/Smt. S.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. H.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/sAdj./S.O. to CAs are disposed of.HT  
BE

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**DATE :** 02/18

**CORAM :** shri B.P. Patil (M/J)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE**

Shri/Smt. L.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to MA is allowed.

**DATE :** 02/18

**CORAM :** shri L.S. Deshmukh  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE**

Shri/Smt. \_\_\_\_\_

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. \_\_\_\_\_  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to \_\_\_\_\_

Date : 06.02.2018

M.A. No.63 of 2018 in O. A. No.111 of 2018

Jayesh D. Pagare & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

2  
Sd/-

**(B.P. PATHL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

VSM

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111 OF 2018**

**J. D. Pagare & Ors.**

**) ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**) .....Respondents**

Shri L. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Applicants

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 06.02.2018

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The applicants are approached this Tribunal to direct the respondents to consider their candidature for the post of Staff Nurse as per Maharashtra Nursing Services, Group-C (Recruitment) Rule, 2015 and advertisement dated 10.01.2016 and to issue appointment to them by filing this present O.A.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants' possess required educational qualification in diploma in Nursing and they are under age of 33 years. Therefore, they have applied for the post of Staff nurse in view of the advertisement dated 10.01.2016. He has submitted that the applicants produced the required documents before the respondents and they had been called for counseling But the respondent's had not given appointment letters to the applicants on the ground that they do not possess required educational qualification on the date of application. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal has granted interim relief in cases of similarly

10/1

situated persons, therefore, he prayed to grant interim relief as claimed by the applicants.

4. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents submitted that the applicants were not possessing required educational qualification on the date of filing of the application i.e. as on 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2016. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents submitted that the applicants had produced diploma certificates showing that they passed diploma course in nursing in the month of May, 2016 onwards and, therefore, their candidatures had not been considered by the respondents and the issue has been kept in abeyance, learned C.P.O. for the respondents has submitted that as the applicants were not possessing required educational qualification, they are not eligible to be appointed to the post of Staff Nurse. Therefore, learned C.P.O. prayed to reject the interim relief claimed by the applicants.

5. On perusal of the advertisement dated 10.01.2016, it reveals that the applications from the eligible aspiring candidates have been invited, on or before 01.02.2016. The documents on record show that the applicants have passed the diploma in nursing in the month of May, 2016 onwards. They have not passed the diploma on the date of filing of their application. Therefore, they do not possess required qualification as mentioned in the advertisement. Prima-facie, the respondents have rightly considered their cases and had not issued appointment to them. Therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief as claimed by the applicants. Hence, interim relief is rejected.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.03.2018.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

TDP



9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

12. S.O. to 06.03.2018.

Sd/-

**(B.P. PATIL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**  
**06.02.2018**

Vsm

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.108 OF 2018**

**W.P. Kamble & Ors.**

**) ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**) .....Respondents**

Shri S. S. Dere, Advocate for Applicants

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 06.02.2018

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that similarly situated persons have filed O.A. No. 61/2018 before this Tribunal and this Tribunal has granted interim relief in their favour on 19.01.2018. On the grounds that those applicants were appointed after following due selection process and continued on fixed tenure and the order of status quo has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 14371/2017 on 19.12.2017 in relation to the candidates who belongs to same class / category. He has submitted that the case of the present applicants are similar to those petitioner in O. A. No. 61/2018. Hence, it is necessary to grant the interim protection to them.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the Applicant No.1 & 2 have been discontinued from the service w.e.f. 15.01.2018. Therefore, the similar relief can be granted to him also.
4. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents submitted that the respondents want to file detailed reply in the O.A. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents seeks two weeks time to file reply.

BMP

5. Considering the facts in the matter, it is crystal clear that the applicants were appointed after following due selection process and continued for fixed tenure. Applicant nos.1 & 2 have been discontinued from the service w.e.f. 15.1.2018.

6. Considering the fact it is just to protect the services of the applicants No. 1 & 2 by granting interim relief in terms of relief granted to the similarly situated persons in O.A. No. 61/2018. Therefore, the applicants No. 1 & 2's services shall be continued until next date.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2018.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

13. S.O. to 01.03.2018.

Sd/-

**(B.P. PATIL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil (C.M.J)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the appl.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 06.02.2018</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.236 of 2017 in O.A.No.504 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>R. B. Mondke</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the respondents seeks time to make submission as to whether the Government has taken decision on the proposal of the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

1 N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>12/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil (M/A)</u>                      Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)                      Humble Shri P.H. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>                      Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u>                      Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.B. Kololgi</u>                      C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>14/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. 711/2014 with O.A.1078/2016</u></p> <p>Mr. S.B. Chavan &amp; Ors. ... Applicants                      Vs.                      The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None appeared for the Applicants. Heard Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 in O.A.1078/2017 is filed. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2018.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>        </u>                      (P.N. Dixit)                      Member-A                      06.02.2018</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>        </u>                      (B.P. Patil)                      Member-J                      06.02.2018</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.501 OF 2015

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Mr. Kalmesh R. Sarolkar. )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors. )...**Respondents**

**Mr. G.M. Savagave, Advocate for Applicant.**

**Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.**

**PER : SHRI B.P. PATIL (MEMBER-J)**  
**SHRI P.N. DIXIT (MEMBER-A)**

**DATE : 06.02.2018**

**PER : SHRI B.P. PATIL (MEMBER-J)**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Mr. G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting

1301

Officer for the Respondents.

2. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the Applicant is claiming benefit of one-step promotion given to him while fixing his pensionable pay. It is the contention of the Applicant that, by Notification dated 27.10.2014, the Government amended M.C.S. (Pension) Rules and clarified that for counting the pensionable pay, benefit of one-step promotion given to the employee cannot be counted. In this case, the Applicant has served with the Intelligence Department on deputation in view of the Home Department Resolution dated 27.11.1981. It is the contention of the Applicant that till 2014, the employees who served in the Intelligence Department, Anti-Corruption Department and Crime Department had received the benefit given under the said Circular while calculating the pensionable pay. But in the year 2014, the Government made amendment in M.C.S.(Pension) Rules and clarified that, the benefit i.e. incentives given to the employees by giving one-step promotion will not be considered for calculating their pensionable pay.

3. In this matter, the Home Department is the Respondent No.1. But it has not filed its Affidavit-in-reply. The reply of Respondent No.1 is necessary to decide the matter in issue. The Respondent No.1 has to explain as to whether the said benefit has been extended to the employee working in the above said Department previously and what the reasons for

Body

withdrawal of the benefit given to them. Therefore, the Home Department – Respondent No.1 is directed to file its Affidavit-in-reply in the O.A. and also to clarify on the following points.

- (i) Whether the benefits in view of the G.R. dated 27.11.1981 had been extended to the employees, who retired prior to the year 2014 while calculating their pensionable pay;
- (ii) Whether the Home Department has sent proposal to the Finance Department for withdrawing the said benefits from the employees working in the Intelligence Department and other Departments. If yes, then state the reasons for sending the proposal.

4. The learned P.O. seeks four weeks' time to file detailed reply of the Respondent No.1. Time granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by the Respondent No.1.

5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave to amend the O.A. suitably. The Applicant is permitted to file the separate application for amendment.

BMP



6. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> March, 2018. Hamdast.

Sd/-

**(P.N. DIXIT)**  
**Member-A**  
**06.02.2018**

Sd/-

**(B.P. Patil)**  
**Member-J**  
**06.02.2018**

Mumbai

Date : 06.02.2018

Dictation taken by :

S.K. Wamanse.

D:\SANJAY WAMANSE\JUDGMENTS\2018\2 February, 2018\FORMAT.doc

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/2/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil (M/J)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.C/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/3/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : 06.02.2018</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.96 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>M. B. Kale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.03.2018.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record</li> <li>8. S.O. to 06.03.2018.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/2/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil CM/J</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 06.02.2018</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.62 of 2018 in O.A.No.109 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>V. B. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, time granted as the applicants want to amend the prayer clause 4(a) and (b) suitably.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>6/2/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>Shri B.P. Patil (Cm)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Akhil Gurwada</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/3/2018.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 06.02.2018</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.116 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>V. S. Tayade</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Akhil Gurwada, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.03.2018.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 06.03.2018.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 6/2/18</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> B. P. Patil</p> <p>Shri/Smt. V. K. Jagdale, holding  Recd. A. A. Desai  Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Archana B. K.  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To..... 12/2/18.....</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 06.02.2018</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.117 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>Dr. M. Wankhade</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V. K. Jagdale holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant to satisfy <sup>on</sup> the point of limitation, S.O. to 12.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (B.P. PATIL)  MEMBER (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 6/2/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> B.P. Patil</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. V.K. Jagdale, holding</del></p> <p><del>for A.A. Desai</del> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise</del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: 12/2/18.</p>	<p>Date : 06.02.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.118 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. P. R. Muley ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V. K. Jagdale holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant to satisfy <sup>on</sup> the point of limitation, <sub>^</sub> S.O. to 12.02.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

