

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.468 of 2020 With O.A.No.469 of 2020 with M.A.No.274 of 2020 With O.A.No.478 of 2020 With O.A.No.422 of 2020 With O.A.No.133 of 2020 (Through Video Conference)</p> <p>K.I. Chole & Ors. (O.A.468/2020) N.A. Khadekar (O.A.469/2020 with M.A.274/2020) V.R. Kanthale & Ors.(O.A.478/2020) N.R. Tagad & Ors. (O.A.422/2020) A.S. Mundhe (O.A.133/2020) Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during the hearing through VC the learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that O.A.No.468/2020, O.A.No.469/2020 with M.A.274/2020 and O.A.No.478/2020 (Aurangabad), the issue is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court and the hearing regarding the same is reserved for orders. The learned Counsel therefore prays that the matter may be adjourned by three weeks.</p> <p>The learned C.P.O. also agrees with the same. Accordingly O.A.No.468/ 2020, O.A.No.469/2020 with M.A.274/2020 and O.A.No.478/2020 (Aurangabad) are Adjourned to 05.05.2021.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.422/2020 (Aurangabad) learned Counsel points out that he is challenging the educational qualifications mentioned in the advertisement. According to him the same are contrary to the observations in Notification published by Government of India dated 08.03.2019. The learned C.P.O. mentions that reply from M.P.S.C. is on record. However reply from the Transport Commissioner who Respondent No.2 (Government) is still awaited.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Tribunal's orders

The learned C.P.O. seeks three weeks for the same. Time granted to learned C.P.O. However, the learned C.P.O. is directed to also provide the copy of the same in advance to the learned Counsel. In view of this, O.A.No.422/2020 is adjourned to 29.04.2021.

4. O.A.No.133 of 2020, the learned Counsel for the applicant is challenging the advertisement on the ground that though the NTD category is expected to provide 2 % reservation but the advertisement does not contain any seat for them. MPSC i.e. Respondent no.4 has filed their reply. However the reply from the Home Department (Respondent No.1) is still awaited.

Time granted to learned C.P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply. However, the learned C.P.O. is directed to provide the copy of the same in advance to the learned Counsel. In view of this O.A.No.133/2020 is adjourned to 28.04.2021.

5. In view of this :

O.A.No.468 of 2020 With O.A.No.469 of 2020 with M.A.No.274 of 2020 With O.A.No.478 of 2020 is adjourned to 05.05.2021.

O.A.No.422 of 2020 is adjourned to 29.04.2021

O.A.No.133 of 2020 is adjourned to 28.04.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(V.D. Dongre)
Member (J)

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.484 of 2020 (Through Video Conference)</p> <p>Dr. Balasaheb Tak ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sakolkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Yadkikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel Shri Sakolkar mentions that on 20.01.2021, it was observed that the Respondents to take the review of the enquiry case of the applicant as per the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <i>Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr. reported in (2015) 7 SCC 291</i> within a period of three weeks from the date of the said order. He submits that the action for the same is awaited.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. mentions that the Respondents are working in the Public Health Department, being busy with the CORONA pandemic have not filed their affidavit-in-reply so far. He seeks two weeks adjournment.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to communicate action as per the order as well as file reply without fail. If the Respondents are still to take action, the same should be taken expeditiously.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(V.D. Dongre) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.435 of 2020</p> <p>R.K. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 has sent her leave note.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 08.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 05.04.2021
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.183 of 2021 With O.A.No.184 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. Potdar & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O.. three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 86 of 2021</p> <p>R. D. PhadakaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is on leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.63 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. LandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 and 4.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.1183 of 2019</p> <p>S. H. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel holding for Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Advocate Shri Jadhav is unwell and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.767 of 2020</p> <p>A. D. DeokarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she has already received instructions for filing reply and the same will be filed in a week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.39 of 2021</p> <p>M. M. JawadekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. '</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.744 of 2020</p> <p>B. M. GadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.04.2021</p>
	<p>O.A.No.510 of 2020</p> <p>G.R. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has not filed Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O. A. No. 28 of 2021</p> <p>D. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 06 of 2021</p> <p>U. R. HarmalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sadhana Singh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. However, on request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.719 of 2020</p> <p>K. S. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed by Respondent Nos.1 and 3 though enough time is granted.</p> <p>4. The Applicant has also not filed Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.121 of 2021</p> <p>D. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. Interim relief to continue till filing of reply. 4. S.O. to 20.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.490 of 2020</p> <p>S. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.W. Mane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A No.134 of 2021 in O.A.No.105 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Y. S. Patil ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. O.A.No.105/2019 was disposed of by the Tribunal on 18.03.2021 whereby the Applicant's suspension was revoked w.e.f. 18.03.2021 and directions were given to repost the Applicant at Central Prison, Taloja, Navi Mumbai within two weeks from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application is filed for extension of two weeks' time granted by the Tribunal on the ground that file is under processed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, two weeks time is extended for reposting the Applicant in term of order passed in O.A.No.105/2019 from today.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.645 of 2020</p> <p>D. D. MaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. Interim relief to continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 03.05.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.418 of 2017</p> <p>S.D. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Respondent No.4 in person is absent.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has claimed deemed date of promotion for the post of Under Secretary. Presently he is working as Deputy Secretary and belongs to N.T. (C) category. He was earlier promoted on the basis of reservation thus, now deemed date of promotion for the post of Under Secretary is also claimed on the basis of reservation.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that the issue of promotion on the basis of reservation which was struck down by Hon'ble High Court that there shall not be reservation for promotion is again subjudice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. As such, since the issue is again subjudice, this O.A. cannot be adjudicated till the final decision on the issue of reservation by Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, adjourned after vacation.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.06.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.385 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. RawleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.428 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 09.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.480 of 2019</p> <p>J.R. SagreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and her Advocate both are absent. 2. Learned Advocate has sent her Leave Note. 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondent is present. 4. The perusal of O.A. particularly prayer clause reveals that the Applicant has challenged legality of clause No. (5) & (7) of G.R. dated 22.10.2016. 5. Thus, challenge seems to be to G.R. dated 22.10.2016, and therefore, as per distribution of subject it pertains to Division Bench. 6. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place it before Division Bench. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.548 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. BanerjeeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.132 of 2020
---	--

C.V. Rajput ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Time granted to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 9.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
5.4.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
5.4.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.751 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. Lad & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 22.02.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.1 through Shri Ambadas S. Chandanshive, Under Secretary, Additional Chief Secretary, Soil * Water Conservation Department, Mantralaya, Mumba. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 15.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.570 of 2020</p> <p>R.A. Pawar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that applicant No.1 (Mr. Ravindra A. Pawar) is expired. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that she has received written applications dated 05.04.2021 from the Applicant No.2 (Mr. Mandar Sanatkumar Juwekar) and Applicant No.3 (Mr. Jayesh Ramachandra Hedgire), addressed to the Registrar to withdraw the O.A. Applications are taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I and Exhibit-II.</p> <p>3. In view of this O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn. O.A. is disposed off as withdrawn.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.321 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar. J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 5.4.2021 5.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.131 of 2021</p> <p>M.J. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks short time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.614 of 2018</p> <p>A.B. Katkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents i.e. M.P.S.C. instead of learned Advocate Mr. N.P. Dalvi.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has served Respondent no.2, Mr. Arpit Jain twice and he has file affidavit of service. However, Respondent no.2 is not coming before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Respondent-State is directed to ask Mr. Arpit Jain Respondent no.2, private party, to appear before this Tribunal on the next date, by making it clear to him that if the O.A. is allowed then Mr. Arpit Jain is likely to lose his job.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.357 of 2018</p> <p>M.K. Achare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she has received written application dated 08.03.2021 from the applicant addressed to the Registrar to withdraw the O.A. Application is taken on record and marked as 'Exhibit-I'.</p> <p>3. In view of this O.A. is allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.700 of 2020</p> <p>S.A. Deokar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.11 to 14.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar seeks four weeks for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 03.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.787 of 2020 with M.A.No.350 of 2020</p> <p>V.A. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 05.04.2021 on behalf of Respondents No1 & 2, through Smt. Smita M. Kulkarni, Joint Director, office of Directorate of Accounts and Treasuries, Mumbai. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 16.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.239 of 2021</u></p> <p>Prakash Y. Doke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who was working as Clerk at Alibag Prison is dismissed from service by order dated 22.4.2019 passed by Special Inspector General (Prisons), South Division, Byculla, Mumbai. Against the said order the applicant has preferred an appeal dated 20.5.2019 before the respondent. The applicant in this OA has prayed for directions to the respondents to decide the appeal.</p> <p>3. The respondent-the Additional Director General of Police and Inspector General of Prisons, Pune is directed to decide the appeal within eight week i.e. on or before 25.5.2021 and communicate decision of the applicant within one week thereafter i.e. on or before 3.6.2021. With these direction OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.4.2021 (sg) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.4.2021 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.308 of 2020 in O.A. No.698 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.G. Sangar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.2 & 4 in MA and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.6.2021 for rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 5.4.2021 5.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.1002/2019, OA.1003/2019 with MA.609/2019, OA.1004/2019, OA.1005/2019, OA.1006/2019 with MA.611/2019</u></p> <p>D.C. Singnath & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.6.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 5.4.2021 5.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1019 & 1022 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.S. Kumawat (OA.1019/19) A.S. Sonawane & Ors. (OA.1022/19) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder is filed. Ld. PO seeks time to file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.6.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 5.4.2021 5.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.87 of 2020</u>
---	--

R.V. Kardak & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 8.

2. Ld. Advocate for the respondents no.5 to 8 file their reply and the same is taken on record. Ld. PO submits that respondent no.1 is the main contesting party.

3. S.O. to 20.4.2021 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
5.4.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
5.4.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.231 of 202021</u></p> <p>T.B. Naik Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Assistant Professor, Government College of Education, Ratnagiri challenges order dated 12.3.2021 passed by respondent no.2 transferring him to supernumerary post as per GR dated 20.12.2019 issued by respondent no.3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the reason given for transferring him to supernumerary post is that his caste validity certificate is not valid. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has entered the service in open category and has not claimed any reservation on the basis of caste. He submits that earlier also the applicant has filed OA No.184 of 2018 on the similar issue and as per order dated 24.7.2019 this Tribunal has protected the services of the applicant to the regular post for a period of two weeks from the date of communication to the applicant. Ld. Advocate submits that now the representation of the applicant dated 30.11.2017 is decided and the decision is communicated to the applicant on 22.3.2021. Thus protection will come to an end today and therefore the applicant needs interim protection for further period till decision of this OA or till they file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant in support of his submission relies on his earlier application which he has made at the entry level where he has sought entry in open category and not in reserved category.</p> <p>3. After hearing Ld. Advocate for the applicant and going through the record of the matter, we are of the view</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>that we need to go through some more documents which can be produced by the respondents especially service book of the applicant along with necessary record where the record of his entry in open category or in reserved category will be found. We keep the matter of interim relief open.</p> <p>4. Id. PO is directed to take necessary instructions whether the applicant has taken benefit of reservation or is considered in open category.</p> <p>5. It is seen from record that services of applicant were terminated on 20.8.2001. The applicant was given fresh appointment subsequently in 2002. The application form enclosed by the applicant pertains to his prayer in the year 1998. The applicant needs to produce application form at the time of fresh appointment in 2002.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.4.2021.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5.4.2021 5.4.2021</p> <p>(सज)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.171 of 2021</p> <p>R.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ashok Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.100 of 2021</p> <p>Y.K. PotekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply. In mean time Respondents are directed to decide representation dated 05.03.2021 made by the Applicant as already stated in order dated 15.02.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.122 of 2021</p> <p>D.D. RaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2, as well as relief claimed by the Applicant about deemed date of promotion on which Reply filed by Respondent No.3 is silent.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2021.</p> <p align="right"> ¹⁷ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.99 of 2021</p> <p>K.D. WadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 to 5. It is taken on record. 5. Perusal of Reply reveals that the office has already submitted proposal for grant of 2/3 pension on compassionate ground in terms of recommendation of seventh pay commission and the grievances of Applicant is already attained to. 6. Learned P.O. on instruction also submits that recently office of Accountant General has already sanctioned 2/3 pension on compassionate ground and amount of gratuity will be credited to the bank account of the Applicant within three days. 7. The Applicant has also claimed interest of the belated payment, as such issue remains about interest only. 8. Today since learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent, matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 9. S.O. to 26.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.608 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. M.V. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing on 03.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.71 of 2021 in O.A.No.138 of 2020</p> <p>S. B. KumbharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. K. Mishra, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two days time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.220 of 2019</p> <p>V. G. TambeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant was present in the morning session but requested for adjournment. He was specifically informed that the matter will be taken up for hearing in afternoon session. However, in afternoon session when the matter was called out, he is absent. Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that as per instructions given to him by Shri Dere, matter be kept on 08.04.2021 for hearing. Indeed, in the morning session itself it was made clear that matter will be taken up for hearing in afternoon session, and therefore, Advocate Shri Dere ought to have remained present. In view of above, the Applicant and his Counsel seem not interested in the matter. However, instead of dismissing the same, I am inclined to grant one day time to learned Advocate for the Applicant to remain present for hearing. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 06.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.276 of 2020</p> <p>T.B. GadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On previous date, on request of learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere who was holding on behalf of Shri Y.P. Deshmukh matter was adjourned. 4. However, today again the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 5. Matter pertains to correction of Date of Birth in service record. The Applicant already stands retired on the basis of Date of Birth recorded in service record. 6. Thus, it appears that the Applicant and his Advocate both are not interested in this matter. Hence, dismissed in default. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1058 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. WankhedeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A. for inclusion of his name in order dated 22.07.2019, whereby the benefit of Time Bound Promotion was granted to 23 Government servants. The Applicant contends that he has been excluded from order dated 22.07.2019 without any reason.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant has also pointed out that the Government by letter dated 27.05.2019 (pg. 127 of P.B.) has already directed Respondent No.1 – Development Commissioner (Industries) to extend the benefit of Time Bound Promotion to the Applicant on completion of his twelve years service. However, despite Government's direction, Respondent No.1 did not take any steps.</p> <p>4. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that now D.P.C. in its meeting held on 28.01.2021 granted the benefits of Time Bound Promotion to the Applicant w.e.f. 20.04.2014 and necessary order will be issued soon.</p> <p>5. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant is already attained to and formal orders will be issued soon.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present O.A. and it deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>7. O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to issue appropriate order in terms of the decision of D.P.C. referred above within two weeks from today and monetary benefits to be accordingly released within two weeks thereafter. <i>✓</i></p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>^</i> Sd/- <i>✓</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>WVV</i> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.188 of 2021</p> <p>J.T. AagwaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. Indeed, Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has taken circulation for today, and accordingly matter is listed on today's board. However, he is absent. He should not have taken circulation, if he was not able to remain present. He was absent when the matter was called in the morning as well as in the afternoon session. Interestingly, the Applicant has filed O.A. for direction to the Respondents No.1 to 4 to withheld the retirement benefits of Respondent No.5 (Applicants Husband) till the decision of R.C.S. No.546/2016 pending before the Civil Judge Junior Division, Madha, Dist. Solapur. In this behalf, perusal of record reveals that there is matrimonial dispute between the Applicant and Respondent No.5 (Tanaji) who is in Government service and seems to be on the verge of retirement. Initially, the Applicant has filed proceeding for grant of maintenance in which Respondent No.5 has denied the status of the Applicant as legally wedded wife. However, maintenance was granted later.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The Applicant has filed R.C.S. No.546/2016 for declaration that she is legally wedded wife of the Respondent No.5. Thus, there is matrimonial dispute as well as status of the Applicant as legally wedded wife of Respondent No.5.</p> <p>7. <i>Prima-facie</i>, in view of above, O.A. is not maintainable and the Applicant is required to avail legal remedy from Civil Court.</p> <p>8. O.A. be therefore kept tomorrow for admission to satisfy how the O.A. is maintainable in Tribunal.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 06.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>