

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.186/2017  
(Uday Ramrao Asardohakar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R.Barlinge learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 & 6 and Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. as he is intending to challenge the order dated 24-11-2015 before the appropriate forum. Therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the O.A. with liberty to the applicant to approach the appropriate forum.

3. Learned P.O. has prayed to pass appropriate order.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with liberty to the applicant to approach appropriate forum to challenge the order dated 24-11-2015. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.228/2017  
(Maroti Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek U. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By consent of both parties, S.O. to 01-03-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.356/2017  
(Dr. Shrikrishna Wani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced copy of communication dated 04-02-2019 which is taken on record. He states that Shri Mahesh Bhadange, Administrative Officer, Civil Hospital, Dhule and Shri Anil More, Office Superintendent, Civil Hospital, Dhule are present in person. He has prayed to grant 6 weeks' time to take appropriate decision on the proposal regarding payment of interest payable to the applicant by the respondent no.2. He has submitted that the respondent no.3 will send communication to respondent no.2 immediately, and thereafter,

respondent nos.1 and 2 will take appropriate decision.  
Therefore, he has prayed to grant 6 weeks' time.

3. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant 4 weeks' time is granted to the respondents to take appropriate decision in the matter, failing which respondent no.1 to 3 will be personally held responsible to pay interest on the delayed payment payable to the applicant in view of Rule 129 a-3 to 129 b-3 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

4. S.O. to 11-03-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.405/2018  
(Smt. Nilima S. Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the Respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.2.2019. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.131/2018  
(Digambar S. Chothe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.02.2019.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.132/2018  
(Sudhakar R. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.02.2019.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.159/2018  
(Shivaji M. Panzade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 26.02.2019.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.508/2018**

**IN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. No.3025/2018  
(Anant S. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.B. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 01.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.366/2018  
IN  
MISC. APPLICATION ST. NO.1591/2018**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. No.1592/2018  
(Dr. Ravindra A. Daware & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in M.A on behalf of the Respondents.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 08.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.14/2019  
(Shahadeo W. Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Savita N. Solunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the Original Application.
3. Leave as prayed for is granted.
4. Applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.
5. Removed from board.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.46/2019  
(Rakesh V. Vasave V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has filed the application to issue directions to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 12.10.2018 and 15.10.2018 for issuing appointment order in his favour as Police Patil for the village Molgee, Taluka Akkalkuwa, Dist. Nandurbar in pursuance of the advertisement dated 23.07.2018.

3. The Applicant has not produced the documents showing the final result of the recruitment process. He has also not produced the documents i.e. list of selected candidate. Recruitment process has been completed and list of the selected candidates might be declared by the S.D.O. The Applicant has not produced the same.

4. The Applicant has not challenged the select list as well as appointment of selected candidate, and therefore, the Original Application is not maintainable.

5. The applicant has made representations to the Respondent No.2 on 12.10.2018 and 15.10.2018.

//2//

O.A.No.46/2019

Before expiry of the period of 6 months as stipulated in Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, the Applicant has filed the present Original Application. The Original Application is premature and therefore, it is not maintainable in view of the provisions of Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed as not maintainable. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.85/2019  
(Mahadeo P. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Hrishikesh A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15-03-2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.90/2019  
(Khushwant H. Borase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chetan V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is challenging the order dated 7.1.2019 by which his selection on the post of Police Constable has been cancelled in view of the pendency of criminal case against him.

3. The subject matter pertains to appointment, which is dealt with by the Division Bench. Therefore, registry is directed to place the matter before the Division Bench.

4. Removed from board.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.95/2019  
(Durgadas S. Purohit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15-03-2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

O.A.95/19

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.50/2019**

**IN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. No.65/2019**

**(Dr. Nilkanth S. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant wants to withdraw the present M.A. and O.A. with liberty to file fresh application. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. M.A. and O.A. both are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Applicant to file fresh O.A. on fresh cause of action.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.666/2017**

**(Dr. Zulphiquar Hussain Ahmed Simail Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri Rajdeep D. Raut, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. As the pleadings are completed the matter is admitted for final hearing on 19.3.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.807/2017  
(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3 to 5 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. As the pleadings are completed the matter is admitted for final hearing on 13.3.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.907/2017  
(Balaji G. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that that Applicant wants to file application for amendment. Therefore, he prayed for time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 13.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.912/2017  
(Balaji P. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Shri S.R. Yadav (Lonikar), learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 are **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 19.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.926/2017  
(Manaji V. Surose V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2

2. By consent adjourned to 01.03.2019

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.158/2018  
(Dr. Yogesh U. Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 19.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.287/2018**  
**(Dr. Ritibhushand A. Garhwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a most last chance.
4. S.O. to 13.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.457/2018  
(Dr. Sharad G. Phatale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.

3. Time as prayed for is granted as a most last chance.

4. S.O. to 14.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.494/2018  
(Gangadhar L. Kamble & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.

3. Time as prayed for is granted as a most last chance.

4. S.O. to 14.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.709/2018  
(Shital M. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Manish V. Bhamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 19.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.748/2018  
(Sanjay E. Godgodwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 26.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.757/2018  
(Bhujang S. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Respondent Nos.1,3,4 and 5 have filed their affidavit-in-reply. The affidavit has been solemnly affirmed by Shri Satish Rangrao Kulkarni, Sub-Divisional Officer, Soil Conservation Sub-Division, Kandhar, District-Nanded.

3. The reply given by the Respondent Nos.1,3,4 and 5 is vague. Therefore, the Respondent Nos.1,3,4 and 5 are directed to file affidavit as to whether they have authorized Shri Satish Rangrao Kulkarni to file affidavit on their behalf and whether they have approved the affidavit-in-reply filed by their behalf.

4. S.O. to 01.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.437/2017**

**IN**

**MISC. APPLICATION ST.NO.1563/2017**

**IN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.201/2006**

**(Govind D. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appeared for the Applicant.

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, S.O. to  
8.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.83/2019  
(Dr. Baburao S. Kadole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 14.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.717/2018  
(Vidya P. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a most last chance.
4. S.O. to 11.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.328/2018  
(Savita S. Savle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Pawan B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted as a last chance.
4. S.O. to 1.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.5/2019  
(Vasant S. Bendre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holdig for S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

2. Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of the Respondent No.3.

3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Respondent Nos.1 & 2 also seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on their behalf.

4. Time as prayed for is granted.

5. S.O. to 20.02.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.955/2019  
(Madhukar K. Sapdhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sushil Irale Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Shri Shrimant Mundhe, learned Advocate has filed VAKALATNAMA on behalf of the Respondent No.5.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 08.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.980/2019  
(Narayan K. Vyas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 05.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05-02-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 304 OF 2014  
(Shri Popat K. Bachkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.C. Syed, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case was heard and was reserved for order. The submission from both the sides, as well as, documents filed on record by the both sides, would show that the present applicants have filed copy of memo of writ petition No. 8645/2013 at page No. 23. It appears that the present applicants have filed writ petition claiming similar relief regarding another advertisement with the Hon'ble High Court on 19.9.2013. In the index it is mentioned that the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court is at page Nos. 81 to 82. However, the copy of the order is not seen on record either at the same page or some elsewhere. The copy of the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 7.8.2012 passed in Writ Petition No. 1444/2012, however, is filed on record, which is at page No. 102.

However, the same does not concern the present issue.  
In the circumstances, for want of

**:: - 2 - ::**  
**O.A. NO. 304 OF 2014**

order of Hon'ble High Court, if any, no final judgment  
can be passed.

3. Both the parties are, therefore, directed to take  
notice of the above fact and file copy of the order of the  
Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 8645/2013.

4. In view thereof, S.O. to 06.03.2019 for filing copy  
of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.  
No. 8645/2013.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2016  
(Shri Vishal P. Gangawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.02.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2015  
(Shri Santosh P. Namdas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinayak A. Tanwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.03.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2015  
(Shri Anant N. Parmarhi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that in view of certain developments, which have taken place during pendency of the present Original Application he will have to take instructions from the applicants. At his request, S.O. to 21.02.2019 for taking instructions from the applicants and also for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 50 OF 2015  
(Shri Rajendra B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to comply with the order dated 1.12.2018, as record is very old. At her request, S.O. to 26.02.2019 for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 1.12.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918 OF 2010  
(Smt. Gayabai G. Pokale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri N.E. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 05.03.2019 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 466/18 IN C.P.ST.1672/18 IN O.A. 650/07  
(Shri Dadarao G. Gaikwad & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed copy of communications dated 1.2.2019 & 4.2.2019 and the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that as regards the applicant, the proposal is sent for deemed date of benefit while as regards another applicant proposal would be sent within two days. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 19.03.2019 for reporting further progress in the matter.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 403/18 IN C.P.NO. 51/18 IN O.A. 478/14  
(Dr. Vijay S. Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.

2. Both the sides submit that the benefits are now granted. Learned Advocate Shri S.D. Joshi, for the applicant in C.P. however, submits that the issue of grant of additional benefits in view of the revision of pay scale is remained. Therefore, liberty to file the proceedings / representation, if any may be granted.

3. In the circumstances, M.A., as well as, C.P. both stand disposed of with liberty as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant in C.P. without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 154/2018 IN O.A.ST. NO. 576/2018  
(Samata R. Lokhande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. Learned P.O. submits that presently affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 is not required.

3. S.O. to 25.02.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 827 OF 2018  
(Shri Shailendra P. Sandanshiv Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chandrakant P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, present. Shri S.U. Chaudhary, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13.02.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 791 OF 2018  
(Shri Gajanan T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. Heard both the sides. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that arguable case is made out. In the circumstances, admit. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and learned Advocate Shri S.D. Dhongde, for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 waives notice.

3. Earlier vide order dated 16.10.2018 ad interim relief was granted; whereby it was directed that in case list of candidates to be promoted is to be prepared, the respondent No. 2 shall not give effect to the said promotion list unless a specific permission from this Tribunal is sought.

4. Learned Presenting Officer is, therefore, submits that as the decision in the present O.A. may take its own time, interim relief may be vacated.

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 791 OF 2018**

5. However, considering all these facts on record, interim relief is hereby modified. It is hereby directed that the respondent No. 2 may issue the promotion orders, however, one post shall be kept vacant.

6. Shri Rajiv G. Mundada, Superintending Engineer, Nasik, is present in the Court today.

7. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 702 OF 2018  
(Shri Dashrath G. Bhure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since caveators are not made party in the present O.A. As the applicant has no grievance against those caveators, notices are not issued to the caveators and, therefore, absentee of caveators cannot be marked.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.03.2019 for hearing. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 674 OF 2018  
(Shri Imam Nazir Mirza Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.03.2019 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237 OF 2018  
(Shri Rahul P. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the similar certificate of one Shri Pramod Devidas Mhaske was validated by the Director of Sports & Youth Services, M.S., Pune, Annexure "A-9", page-55 in view of the certain decisions passed by the Tribunal as is referred in the certificate (page-56). Learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, seeks time to take instructions regarding the copy of the said decision, if any and to make further submissions. At his request, S.O. to 05.03.2019 for taking instructions by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 12/2018 IN O.A.NO. 128/2017  
(Shri Gopinath B. Nalawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Girish Shuklar, learned Special Counsel for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the departmental enquiry would be concluded within a period of eight weeks. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.04.2019 for compliance.

3. Learned Special Counsel for the respondents to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 189 OF 2018  
(Shri Dhiraj A. Salve & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

2. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh for respondent Nos. 4 to 8 submits that some communication is issued by the State of Maharashtra, which would be relevant to the present controversy.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would also seek certain communication as instructed by the applicant along with this.

4. S.O. to 25.02.2019 for filing additional document, if any by both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05.02.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2015  
(Dattatray s/o Gyanobarao Zade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By the present Original Application the applicant is claiming promotion to the post of Motor Vehicle Inspector (Non-Technical).

3. Earlier, the present applicant had filed O.A. no. 1194/2009 before this Tribunal and vide order dtd. 2.8.2010 (Page 39) the Division Bench of this Tribunal had directed the respondents therein to consider the case of the present applicant for promotion to the post of Motor Vehicle Inspector (Non-Technical) as and when fresh process for promotion for the said post would be initiated. Accordingly the present applicant has made a representation to the concerned respondent.

4. Vide the impugned communication dated 24.11.2011 (Exh. H page 44) the concerned respondent i.e. the res. no. 2 the Transport Commissioner,

Mumbai had rejected the said representation of the applicant on the ground that, while the present applicant is a Jr. Clerk, the necessary qualification for promotion to the post of Tax Recovery Officer is holding the post of Sr. Clerk (Rural) for a period of 3 years. Reliance is also placed on the Recruitment Rules concerning the Tax Recovery Officers dtd. 28.1.2010 and copy of the said rules is filed by the respondents along with affidavit in reply at Exh. R. 4 page 73. However, the respondents failed to understand that we are dealing with the promotional post of Motor Vehicle Inspector (Non-Technical) and not Tax Recovery Officer.

5. In the circumstances, both the parties are directed to take instructions regarding the above anomaly and either to make submissions, file any additional documents or file an application for amendment. Further both the sides are directed to file copy of the Recruitment Rules of the post of Motor Vehicle Inspector (Non-Technical).

6. S.O. to 6.3.2019 for compliance of above order.

7. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 882/2016 AND O.A. NO. 65/2017  
(Pradeep Madhavrao Koushike & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. Copy of D.P.C. proceedings dated 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2009 (page 65-73 of paper book) is not legible. So also minutes of meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee dtd. 5.6.2015, whereby the present applicant was given promotion as per this Tribunal's order dtd. 1.4.2015, is not filed on record.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.2.2019 for filing on record above documents and also for rehearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84/2019**  
**(Shri Kishor U. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Londhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anil P. Piratwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.3.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.3.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 92/2019  
(Shri Amol S. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.3.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Without filing detailed affidavit in reply, the res. no. 5 - the Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar - is directed to go through the decisions of Hon'ble High Court in group of writ petitions (Annex. 8 page 59) delivered on 21.12.2018 and more particularly concerning writ petition no. 7270/2016 wherein the present applicant was petitioner no. 4 (page 62) and the decision concerning the said writ petition page 131). The res. no. 5 shall also consider the fact that now afresh the res. no. 6 - the Additional Superintendent of Police or the District General Commandant, Home Guard, Ahmednagar - had issued fresh certificate on 6.1.2019 in terms of directions of Hon'ble High Court as mentioned above and, therefore, the earlier decision of res. no. 5 dtd. 29.5.2018 (page 56) would not remain in existence.**

**The res. no. 5 is, therefore, directed to consider the representation of the applicant made on 14.1.2019 (page 135) and shall take corrective steps and file report in that regard before the Tribunal by the next date.**

**If for any valid reason the res. no. 5 is unable to take corrective steps even after considering the above facts, then a short affidavit explaining the reasons be filed on the next date.**

9. S.O. to 28.3.2019.

10. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 96/2019**  
**(Shri Sudhakar R. Tak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.3.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22.3.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NOS. 132 & 133/2019  
(Dr. Jasmina H. Dekiwadia & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 6.3.2019 for hearing on the office objection of limitation.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 786/2017  
(Shri Kailash A. Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 13.3.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents to the amended O.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474/2018**  
**(Shri Prashant P. Vaidya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions seeks leave of the Tribunal to delete the res. nos. 3 to 5 from the array of the present O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. Accordingly the applicant is permitted to delete the res. nos. 3 to 5.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. At his request, S.O. to 13.3.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 47/2018 IN O.A. 138/2016  
(Shri (Dr.) Shaikh Faiz Mohammad Noor Mohammad V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned P.O. submits that decision from the Accountant General on the proposal reference whereof is made in the order dtd. 8.1.2019 is still awaited. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 25.3.2019 for reporting further progress on the line of order dtd. 8.1.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472/2018**  
**(Shri Kishor G. Lalsare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that para-wise remarks are received from the respondents and, therefore, time be granted for filing affidavit in reply. At her request, S.O. to 27.2.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 629 & 630 BOTH OF 2018  
(Shri (Dr.) Rahul C. Dadas & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. Learned P.O. submits that in both the matters she has received para-wise remarks from the respondents and, therefore, time is required for filing affidavit in reply. At her request, S.O. to 26.2.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in both the matters.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2018  
(Shri (Dr.) Santosh N. Kotule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, is present. None appears for other respondents, though duly served.

2. Shri V.V. Ingle, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for res. nos. 5, 8, 19 & 22 & seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on their behalf.

3. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. authorities. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O. & learned Advocate for res. nos. 5, 8, 19 & 22, S.O. to 12.3.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respective respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788/2017  
(Shri Ashok T. Ghobale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.3.2019 for filing rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 1/2019 IN O.A. 534/2014  
(Shri Madhukar S. Ingale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply of res. no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. Learned P.O. submits that writ petition filed by the respondent State against the order of the Tribunal in O.A. is circulated for tomorrow before the Hon'ble High Court. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.3.2019 for reporting progress in the writ petition.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 71/2018 IN O.A. 59/2014  
(Smt. Mangala D. Lasurkar (died) Lrs. Purushottam Eknath Joshi  
V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record separate affidavit in replies of res. nos. 4 & 5 and submits that order of the Tribunal in O.A. is complied with. The said replies are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for taking instructions from the applicant on the above line. In the circumstances, at his request, S.O. to 22.2.2019 for submissions on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 2/2019 IN O.A. 419/2018  
(Shri Rajendra P. Bagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. files on record copy of communication dtd. 4.2.2019 received to him from the concerned respondent, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. By the said communication, the concerned respondent seeks time. It appears that despite receipt of personal notice from the applicant before filing of the present Contempt Petition, the concerned respondent is unnecessarily protracting the compliance of the order of this Tribunal passed in O.A. for no reasons.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 6.2.2019 either for reporting compliance or for taking such an action that may be deemed fit according to law.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 191, 192 & 196 ALL OF 2018  
(Shri Vilas S. Salunke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of res. no. 1. Learned Advocate for the applicants also seeks time to file rejoinder of the applicants to the replies of the respective respondents, who have already filed affidavit in reply in the matters.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of both the sides, S.O. to 26.3.2019 for filing of reply no. 1 and rejoinder of the applicants.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 267, 280 AND 281 OF 2018  
(Shri (Dr.) Shrikant C. Pathak & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S/shri V.B. Wagh & Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective matters.

2. Shri Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. no. 267/2018 file case laws on the issue of doctrine of *de-facto*. Learned P.O. seeks time to go through the same and make his submissions. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.2.2019 for hearing. Both the sides shall file written notes of arguments in this regard.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397/2017  
(Shri Janardhan V. Ghasing V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 11.2.2019 for hearing on the line of order dtd. 25.1.2019. The present matter be treated as a part heard.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362/2018  
(Shri Ganesh S. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit in reply of the respondents. It be accepted and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.2.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any, and also for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 118/2018  
(Shri Sharad G. Jade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present O.A. is not on today's board. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on board.

3. Learned Advocate, on instructions, submits that the applicant wishes to withdraw the present O.A.

3. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764/2013  
(Shri Chandramohan D. Bhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.2.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439/2013  
(Shri Sakharam G. Choughule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears that by the order dtd. 23.1.2019 a liberty was granted to the applicant to file written notes of arguments till today. Today again the learned Advocate for the applicant weeks time to file written notes of arguments. In view of the fact that the oral arguments may become stale by that time, S.O. to 5.3.2019 for fresh hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2014  
(Shri Sanjay R. Patanage V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.2.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533/2015**  
**(Shri Shivaji P. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**  
**AND**  
**ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.2.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79/2017  
(Mohd. Kamran Mohd. Abrar Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.3.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 168/2017  
(Shri Annarao V. Hivrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.2.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2017**  
**(Shri Anup S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 05.02.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.3.2019 for filing rejoinder and also for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**