

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.1010 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. DhamaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.937 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. DhamaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.155 of 2019</p> <p>M. A. AtkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was pending in the board section due to Covid-19 pandemic situation. It is taken up today for hearing.</p> <p>3. As the matter is listed for the first time after lockdown, it is necessary to give one more opportunity to the Applicant to remain present for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1166 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is listed for the first time for hearing after Covid-19 pandemic situation. The matter was pending in board section for last six months.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for short time to argue the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.949 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B. N. PitaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was pending in the board section due to Covid-19 pandemic situation. It is taken up today for hearing.</p> <p>3. As the matter is listed for the first time after lockdown, it is necessary to give one more opportunity to the Applicant to remain present for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.133 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was pending in the board section due to Covid-19 pandemic situation. It is taken up today for hearing.</p> <p>3. As the matter is listed for the first time after lockdown, it is necessary to give one more opportunity to the Applicant to remain present for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.296 of 2020 in R.A.No.14 of 2020 in O.A.28 of 2018 with O.A.No.928 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>D. L. Awate ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. The matter pertains to Division Bench but it is placed before Single Bench due to non availability of the Division Bench which has decided O.A.No.28/2018 with O.A.928/2018.</p> <p>2. Heard Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents).</p> <p>3. Original Respondents in O.A.No.28/2018 and O.A.938/2018 have filed Review Application No 14 of 2020 along with M.A.No.296/2020 for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. The matter was decided by Division Bench consist of myself and Administrative Member Shri Bhagwan from Nagpur Bench. Now, Administrative Member Shri Bhagwan is at Nagpur Bench.</p> <p>5. In view of above, hearing of M.A. and R.A. needs to be taken through video conferencing for which learned C.P.O. is ready. Presently, notices can be issued on M.A. as well as R.A. so that after appearance of the Original Applicant further steps for hearing through video conferencing will be taken.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Issue notice of M.A. as well as R.A. before admission returnable on 22.12.2020 to the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. and R.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, M.A. as well as R.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12: S.O. to 22.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.12.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.829 of 2019</p> <p>A.B. Ghadge & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate along with Shri Mangal Bhandari, learned Special Counsel for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 10.</p> <p>2. Heard submissions of learned Special Counsel Shri Mangal Bhandari for the Applicant and learned Presenting Officer Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Partly heard the submissions of learned Counsel Shri Kulkarni. The learned Counsel Shri Kulkarni submits that he has filed 5 more Original Applications which are on the same line as O.A.No.378 of 2016, 38, 39, & 40 all of 2016 decided on 24.03.2017 (Shri Shivaji N. Wagh Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors). at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel Shri Kulkarni submits that those matters are also to be clubbed along with the present O.A. The submissions made by learned Counsel Shri Kulkarni are accepted, as the applicants in those Original Applications are going to be affected, if this present O.A. is allowed.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Hence, the Registrar, M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad Mr. Subhedar is requested to handover all the original record of all those 5 Original Applications filed by Mr. Kulkarni so that he bring those Original Applications to Mumbai.

6. All those 5 Original Applications is to be clubbed along with O.A.No.829/2019 and will be heard on 16.12.2020 at 01.30 pm.

7 The learned Counsel Mr. Kulkarni to scan the papers of those 5 Original Applications along with the list of the citations or any other rule and the same be send by email to learned Counsel Mr. Bhandari and learned P.O. Smt. Gaikwad on or before 11.12.2020. The learned Counsel Mr. Kulkarni undertakes the responsibility of doing this work.

8. Shri Subhedar is requested to keep the written note and take the acknowledgment while handling over the record.

9. Hamdast. Adjourned to 16.12.2020.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Received copy
A.K. Kulkarni
9/12/2020