

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.644/2018  
(Ganesh Markad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-12-2018.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.646/2018  
(Umesh Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that proposal of transfer of the applicant was placed before the Civil Services Board. She seeks time to produce the original record of the transfer proposal of the applicant & others and recommendations of the Civil Services Board on the same and also the minutes of the meeting of the Civil Services Board which will be helpful to decide the O.A. Time is granted.

3. Respondents are directed to produce the relevant record along with affidavit of the responsible officer who is dealing with the matter.

4. S.O. to 18-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**LATERON**

5. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the morning session she has mistakenly made statement that proposal of transfer of the applicant has been placed

before Civil Services Board, and therefore, she wants to withdraw the earlier statement. She has submitted that as per the directions given by this Tribunal, respondents will produce original record regarding transfer of the applicant and others.

6. In view of the statement made by the learned P.O., respondents are directed to comply with the remaining part of the earlier order passed in morning session.

7. S.O. to 18-12-2018.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.648/2018  
(Sakharam Mandavgade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and consent of both sides, S.O. to 18-12-2018.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.754/2018  
(Bharat Wani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.M.Shegaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. However, responsible officer dealing with the case shall remain present on the next date and explain as to why subsistence allowance has not been paid to the applicant till date.

4. S.O. to 12-12-2018.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.778/2018  
(Dr. Archana Pandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 02-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.350/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1168/2017  
(Janardhan Muley V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.K.Kanade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.134/2018 IN O.A.St.No.495/2018  
(Kashinath Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply in M.A. on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.365/2018 IN O.A.No.736/2018  
(Dr. Pushpa Narote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply in the O.A. on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 09-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.586/2018  
(Adinath Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced copy of order dated 28-11-2018 by which respondent no.1 has transferred respondent no.4 from Latur to Pune by way of modification of the earlier order dated 17-07-2018. Same is taken on record and marked as document "X" for identification. He has submitted that the applicant has made representation with the respondent no.1 for modification of the order dated 03-08-2018 and to retain him at Latur till completion of the academic year and his representation is under consideration of respondent no.1. Therefore, he has prayed to grant some time.

3. In view of the submission of learned Advocate for the applicant time is granted till 10-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.898/2018  
(Kishor Kokani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make submission regarding maintainability of the O.A. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 16-01-2019.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**MEMBER (J)**

04-12-2018

bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.902/2018  
(Dilwarsingh Girase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 09-01-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

=2=

O.A.NO.902/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 09-01-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-12-2018 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.628/2018  
(Smt. Sheelabai Bahirao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By consent of both sides, S.O. to 10-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-12-2018 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375/2017  
(Shri Gopal A. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 400/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1685/2018  
(Smt. Masarat Begum Md. Mazrul Haqq. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 21.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2017  
(Shri Vijaysing P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 01.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532/2017  
(Shri Tukaram S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 01.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 323/2018  
(Shri Yoseph C. Garud V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of communication received to him from the applicant for withdrawal of the present O.A. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. as the impugned order has been cancelled by the respondent. Therefore, he prayed to grant leave to the applicant to withdraw the present O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary order may be passed.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, leave as prayed for withdrawal of the O.A. is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 645/2018  
(Shri Sambhaji N. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of communication received to him from the applicant for withdrawal of the present O.A. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, he prayed to grant leave to the applicant to withdraw the O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary order may be passed.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, leave as prayed for

withdrawal of the O.A. is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 451/2018  
(Shri Bhagwat N. Pujari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Tulja S. Bondarde, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24/2018  
(Shri Firoz K. Jamadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 868/2018  
(Shri Dattu C. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

O.A. 868/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 18.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 869/2018  
(Shri Somnath A. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

O.A. 869/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 18.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870/2018  
(Shri Giri B. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 18.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

O.A. 870/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 18.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 879/2018  
(Shri Balasaheb M. Kaldate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A. by adding the Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Jalna, Dist. Jalna as party respondent to the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 21.01.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

O.A. 879/2018

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 21.01.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 881/2018  
(Dr. Manohar T. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for production of documents.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 884/2018  
(Dr. Mukhtadir Abdul Hafiz Sattari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Adgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 886/2018  
(Shri Baliram D. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A. by adding the Accountant General-II, Nagpur as party respondent to the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 21.01.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 21.01.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905/2018  
(Dr. Sudhir R. Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.N. Ghuge, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 14.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 443/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1813/2018  
(Shri Pandurang V. Arke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents in M.A., returnable on 23.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

M.A. 443/2018 in  
O.A. St. 1813/18

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1735/2018  
(Shri Rustam M. Dhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 15.12.2018 for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1910/2018  
(Shri Ramrao K. Pallewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A. by correcting the address of respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make submissions on the point of maintainability of the present O.A. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 17.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560/2017  
(Dr. Prafull V. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Vaishali Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No.4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 775, 778 & 940 ALL OF 2017  
(Shri Dilip N. Sangale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in all these O.As., present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 23.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753/2017  
(Shri Vyankat N. Koralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 765/2017  
(Shri Ravindra P. Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 22.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807/2017  
(Shri Ravikant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 has placed on record a copy of communication received to him from respondent No. 2 i.e. Executive Engineer, Majalgaon Canal Division No. 7, Gangakhed. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 947/2017  
(Smt. Sudhamati M.Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 07.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 59/2018  
(Shri Umesh C. Parate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 09.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 155/2018  
(Shri Arjun D. Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he has no instructions from the Accountant General-II, Nagpur regarding the decision on the proposal forwarded by the respondent No. 4. He has submitted that he will take instruction from the Accountant General-II, Nagpur regarding the decision on the proposal and make submissions within two weeks.

3. In view of the submissions made by the learned P.O., time as prayed for is granted with a direction to the respondent No. 5 i.e. the Accountant General-II, Nagpur to take appropriate decision on the proposal sent by the respondent No. 4 till next date and to file report regarding the same on the next date.

4. S.O. to 19.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 370/2018  
(Shri Dhamratna S. Ghule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 is already filed on record.

3. S.O. to 21.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 388/2018  
(Shri Namdeo P. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. He has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 07.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 406/2018  
(Shri Arun S. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 23.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 617/2018  
(Shri Babasaheb D. Garad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 22.01.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626/2018  
(Shri Dilip S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Suryawanshi , learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 519 & 534 BOTH OF 2018**

[Shri Vishwanath U. Chaudhari & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has filed true copy of the decision rendered by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. 11720/2018 dated 23<sup>rd</sup> October, 2018. The copy of the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
3. The aforesaid decision would show that the respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply before the Tribunal by the next date and thereafter O.A. shall be heard finally.
4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that she has no instructions regarding the aforesaid order till this date. At her request, S.O. to 18.12.2018 for filing

**O.A.NOS. 519 & 534 BOTH OF  
2018**

affidavit in reply, as a last chance. Thereafter, the present O.A. be fixed for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741 OF 2018**

[Shri Prakash H. Khaparde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 07.12.2018 either for taking instructions regarding corrective steps or for passing necessary orders.
3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2017**

[Dr. Atul A. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.

2. Heard both the sides. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant may be allowed to withdraw the present Original Application with liberty to file fresh / similar application in case services of the applicant are terminated or put to an end, may be granted and it may also be directed not to implement the termination order for a period of four weeks from the date of communication of the said order to the applicant. In view of the documents filed on record it appears that the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant are reasonable.

3. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs with directions to the respondents and with liberty to the applicant as detailed hereinabove.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 458/2018 IN O.A.NO. 141/2018**

[Shri Vijay K. Shirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 1 in the present M.A. / applicant in O.A.
2. This M.A. No. 458/2018 has been filed by the applicant viz. Vijay S/o Kanduji Shrisat for intervention in the O.A. No. 141/2018.
3. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh for respondent No. 1 in the present M.A. submits that he has no objection to allow the intervention application and to add him as party respondent No. 2 in the O.A. No. 141/2018.
4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. No. 458/2018 and in view of no objection of Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh, the present M.A. is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs.

**M.A.NO. 458/2018 IN O.A.NO.  
141/2018**

5. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh submits that permission may be granted to add applicant in M.A. viz. Vijay S/o. Kanduji Shirsat as party respondent No. 2 in the O.A. No. 141/2018.

6. Permission granted. The applicant in O.A. is directed to add applicant in M.A. as party respondent No. 2 in O.A. No. 141/2018.

7. At the request of learned Advocate Smt. Vidya Taksal holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh for proposed respondent No. 2 in O.A., S.O. to 02.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in O.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 142/2018 IN O.A.NO. 66/2018**

[Shri Dnyeneshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Kuldeep Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri M.M. Kadam, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 **(absent)**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the verification is still pending. In the circumstances, no need to wait for verification of the sports certificate.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant wants to join respondent No. 5 as a party respondent, who was selected on the post claimed by the present applicant, but he has not joined the services. He further submits that though respondent No. 5 appeared in the proceeding through learned Advocate Shri M.M. Kadam, neither respondent No. 5 nor his advocate remaining present. As proposed respondent No. 5 has not joined the

**M.A.NO. 142/2018 IN O.A.NO.  
66/2018**

services, he may not be interested to appear in the present proceedings.

4. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. No. 142/2018, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicant shall add respondent No. 5 as party respondent in O.A.

5. S.O. to 02.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents in O.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 483 OF 2018**

[Dr. Balasaheb D. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri Ashish Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 **(absent)**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed on record a copy of the decision rendered by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal on 5.5.2018 in O.A. No. 798/2016 and also copies of the decision taken by the concerned respondents that the posting should be given to the similarly situated employees except the present applicant subject to decision that may be rendered in the SLP by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The copies of the aforesaid decision have been supplied to the learned Presenting Officer

3. In the present case, however, learned Presenting Officer submits that she has instructions only to state that the SLP is now fixed for hearing before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 15.01.2019.

**O.A. NO. 483 OF 2018**

4. In view of the above it appears that the concerned respondents applied different standard for the similarly situated employees for no reasons. In the circumstances, the concerned respondents are directed to take steps immediately for rectification on the above line and file the decision taken on the above line on the next date or to explain as to why different treatments are being given to the employees as enumerated above.

5. S.O. to 19.12.2018. Failing to file compliance report on the next date may incur strict action including imposition of heavy costs on the concerned respondents.

6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 OF 2018**

[Shri Rohit S. Mhaske & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Umakant U. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 09.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2018**

[Shri Keshav R. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri J.M. Wagh Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 09.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2018**

[Shri Akbar Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. In view thereof, S.O. to 09.01.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2018**

[Shri Dinkar L. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 49/18 IN C.P.ST.397/17 IN O.A. 539/16**

[Shri Amol K. Kakde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 03.01.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2013**

[Smt. Kaveri V. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the concerned respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 18.12.2018.
4. It is made clear that if no affidavit in reply is filed on or before the next date, this Tribunal would be constrained to take strict action including imposition of heavy costs.
5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 35 & 445 BOTH OF 2015**

[Shri Ganesh J. Anmod & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.12.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2018**

[Dr. Jalindar S. Ambhore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.12.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 904 OF 2018**  
[Shaikh Ayyub Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Uday D. Dalvi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Zia-Ul-Mustafa, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 57/18 IN O.A.NO. 210/13**

[Shri Madhukar R. Mapari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant adverts attention of this Tribunal towards paragraph No. 25 of the decision rendered in O.A. No. 210/2013. By the said observations this Tribunal directed the concerned respondents to take into consideration the circumstances enumerated therein for taking a proper decision regarding the absence of the present applicant on duty and thereafter take fresh decision. On 23.11.2018, learned Presenting Officer filed on record the copy of the decision now rendered by the concerned respondents on 22.11.2018. It would prima facie show that the concerned respondent has not taken into consideration the circumstances enumerated in paragraph No. 25 of the decision. In the circumstances, the concerned respondents are

directed to take again review of the decision and specifically pass an order by considering the

**:: - 2 - ::**

**C.P.NO. 57/18 IN  
O.A.NO. 210/13**

circumstances enumerated in paragraph No. 25 of the decision rendered in O.A. No. 210/2013 and file copy of the said decision on 20.12.2018, failing which proceeding for contempt would continue expeditiously.

3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 844 OF 2018**

[Shri Navnath M. Shendekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that she has instructions to appear in the O.A. for the respondents, as yesterday notices are served on them.
3. S.O. to 02.01.2019 for filing affidavit in reply. The present case be kept along with O.A. No. 160/2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2018**

[Shri Nilesh P. Bansude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sachin P. Panale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 02.01.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 72/2018 IN O.A.NO. 677/2014**

[Dr. Santosh G. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

**WITH**

**C.P.NO. 73/2018 IN O.A.NO. 676/2014**

[Dr. Shivkumar N. Halkude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 03.12.2018 received to him and the same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.
3. Perusal of the aforesaid communication would show that in both the contempt proceedings the concerned respondents seek four week time for compliance of the order passed in O.As. In the circumstances, no need to wait for service on respondent No. 4 in C.P. No. 73/2018.
4. S.O. to 14.01.2019 for compliance of the order passed in OAs or for passing necessary orders.
5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**                      **VICE CHAIRMAN**  
ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD  
**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 472/2018 IN O.A.ST. 1955/2018**

[Shri Kisan D. Pawar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1955 OF 2018**

[Shri Kisan D. Pawar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Upon registration of O.A., issue notice to respondents, returnable on 22.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



**O.A. ST. NO. 1955 OF 2018**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 464/2018 IN O.A.ST. 1936/2018**

[Shri Ramesh U. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. 1936/2018**

[Shri Ramesh U. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Upon registration of O.A., issue notice to respondents, returnable on 17.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**O.A. ST. NO. 1936 OF 2018**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Promotion, if any made by the concerned respondent in the meantime shall be subject to the decision in the present O.A. and / or the applicant shall be at liberty to claim deemed date of promotion.**

**9. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant liberty is hereby granted to make amendment of the development that took place after filing of the present O.A.**

10. S.O. to 17.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 468/2018 IN O.A.ST. 1961/2018**

[Shri Shamrao N. Kadam & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Vilas P. Savnt, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. 1961/2018**

[Shri Shamrao N. Kadam & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 04.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Upon registration of O.A., issue notice to respondents, returnable on 17.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**O.A. ST. NO. 1961 OF 2018**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Promotion, if any made by the concerned respondent in the meantime shall be subject to the decision in the present O.A. and / or the applicant shall be at liberty to claim deemed date of promotion.**

9. S.O. to 17.01.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/2013  
(Shri Nagnath Shamrao Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that till today no instructions are received from the res. no. 2 the District Collector, Beed regarding the compliance of orders. It appears that vide order dtd. 13.6.2018 this Tribunal has directed the concerned respondent to place on record the wait list, if prepared, and thereafter the present original application was adjourned from time to time, still there is no compliance of the said order. In the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 15.12.2018 for compliance of order dtd. 13.6.2018, failing which the res. no. 2 may be saddled with heavy costs personally. The present matter be kept along with O.A. no. 901/2018.

3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556/2014  
(Shri Sanjay R. Patange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files on record rejoinder and submits that last chance be granted for final hearing. The rejoinder is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. At his request, S.O. to 7.12.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 119/2015 WITH O.A. NO. 444/2014  
(Shri Mohanchandra J. Panjol & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Umakant U. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Shri Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time. It is to be noted that many adjournments were granted in the present matters either due to absence of learned Advocate for the applicants or on the basis of request made by him. Therefore, it appears that the present applicants are not so interested in prosecuting the present matters. Still in the interest of justice, at the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, as a last chance, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for advancing his arguments in the present matters, failing which necessary orders would be passed.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832/2016  
(Shri Vishal P. Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 3 and Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. At his request, S.O. to 3.1.2019 for filing rejoinder and thereafter the present O.A. would be taken up for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 168/2017  
(Shri Annarao V. Hivrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As against the contention of the applicant in para 17 of the O.A. that the enquiry report was not supplied to him, the learned P.O. seeks time to place on record the relevant document to show that the enquiry report was in fact served upon the present applicant after conclusion of the departmental enquiry. Learned P.O. submits that the entire record & proceeding of the said enquiry was sent to res. no. 1 the State of Maharashtra at Mumbai and the papers were burnt during the fire that has taken place in Mantralaya. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.1.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62/2015  
(Shri Manoj B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.Y. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer  
for the respondents, is present.

2. Applicant and his learned Advocate are absent.  
It appears from the record that, none has appeared for  
the applicant on the last date also. In the  
circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 8.1.2019 for  
hearing or for passing necessary orders in default.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-12-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 327/2017  
(Shri Ajay R. Pofale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1, 2, 5 & 6 and Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 & 4.

2. Shri Dheple, learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, who is stated to be present in the hall, submits that the applicant does not wish to proceed with the present O.A. He has also filed on record the withdrawal pursis signed by the applicant and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. In the circumstances, the present original application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2015**  
**(Shri Pralhad S. Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 4.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 19.12.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-12-2018