

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 04.07.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.405 of 2019
	<p>Dr. R. S. Paravale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is Medical Superintendent presently working at Rural Hospital, Tokawade, Tal. Murbad, Dist. Thane. Her grievance pertains to non grant of yearly increment right from the date of appointment, non updating service book, non grant of benefit of 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. She had made various representations to Respondent No.1 but in vain. She was appointed in 2002 as Medical Officer through M.P.S.C. and since then not a single increment was released for no fault on her part. It is on this background, she had filed the present O.A.</p> <p>3. The present O.A. was filed on 16.04.2019. When the matter was taken up for admission, this Tribunal has passed speaking orders on 18.04.2019, 03.05.2019 and 17.06.2019 thereby giving directions to the Respondents to update the service book and to release service benefits as per her entitlement immediately. The Tribunal has also noted that the Applicant has been deprived of service benefits due to sheer inaction and lethargy on the part of Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. True, during the period of service of near about 17 years, the Applicant has working at different places. She was earlier posted at St. George Hospital, Mumbai then transferred to Kama & Albless Hospital, Mumbai and presently working as Medical Superintendent on the establishment of Respondent No.3 (Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Thane). However, working on different establishments can't be the ground for not updating the service book and for not releasing yearly increments to which the employee is entitled as of right unless withhold by the Competent Authority.

5. It is on the above background having found that the response of the Respondents is far from satisfaction, this Tribunal has passed the order on 17.06.2019 directing the Respondent No.1 to file Affidavit explaining the delay for not granting service benefits to the Applicant as well as to explain the steps taken by the department in terms of order passed by this Tribunal earlier on 03.05.2019. It was further directed that Affidavit be filed within a week without fail.

6. Despite this specific directions, no Affidavit is filed though the period of more than two weeks is over and no reason is forthcoming for non compliance of the order.

7. None is present on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It was expected that some responsible officer should remain present to explain the lapses on the part of concerned authorities but the Respondent No.1 seems to have no regard to the orders passed by this Tribunal. It is very unfortunate that the Government servant is struggling for her legitimate dues for 17 years.

8. In view of above, issue show cause notice to Respondent No.1 as to why the action for contempt of court should not be initiated against him for non compliance of the order dated 17.06.2019. Reply be filed within a week.

9. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate the order to the Respondent No.1 immediately.

10. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

11. S.O. to 11.07.2019 for further orders.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

District \_\_\_\_\_

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders OA.232/19 with MA.130/19 & MA.131/19
<p>DATE: <u>4/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>.....</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>.....</u> (A) VIC-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>.....</u> Applicant and Advocate for the applicant are absent Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. G. Gohad</u> C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>.....</u> Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>AGG</i></p>	<p>S.S. Dange</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant and others Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- S.N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>C.A. No.245/2019</u>
<p>DATE : <u>4/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice <u>Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Smt. J. A. Member (A) V/C - A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>16.7.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p>R.B. Matwanekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file re</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- J.N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 16.7.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

District \_\_\_\_\_

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Q.A. No. 284 of 2019
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. V. Dicit Member (A) - V/C - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manjekar</u> C.P O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>11/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>C.V. Jadhav</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, learned C.P O/P.O. for the Respondent/s.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to meet the Respondent/s.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

District \_\_\_\_\_

.....

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.....

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.289 of 2019 with M.A. No.158 of 2019
<p>DATE : <u>4/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. P. N. Dixit Member (A) U/C - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE Smt/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt. <u>S. P. Manunkar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>25/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>14/8</i></p>	<p>S.T. Joseph &amp; Ors. _____</p> <p>Vs. _____</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. _____</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manunkar, learned C.P.O. Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- P.N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

.....

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.....

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.326 of 2019
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C - A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. .... <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Smt/Smt. .... <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/ S.O. to ..... <u>18/7/2019</u>...</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.A.</i></p>	<p>D.G. Chouhan</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file return.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- P.N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

.....

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.....

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.462 of 2019
<p>DATE : <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R. M. Kojge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 &amp; 2 Ms. Nikita Joshi Lt. Adv. holding for Ad/S.O. to Shri Abhinav Pange, Lt. Adv for R.3. S.O. to 25/7/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1/42</p>	<p>M.P. Gurav &amp; Ors. ....</p> <p>Vs. ....</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kojge, learned Advocate for Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Ms. Nikita Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhinav Pange, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to the respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- P.N/Dixit Vice-Chairman 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>4/7/2019</p> <p>Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Justice Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - J.C. - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri Smt. Shubham Kausal.....</p> <p>Adv. Advocate for the Applicant &lt;O.A. 351/2019&gt; Ms. Aditi Saxena Ld. Adv. for the appls. Shri/Smt. J. G. Salunkhe..... &lt;O.A. 341, 356/19&gt;</p> <p>C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to 9/7/2019</p> <p>prk</p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.351 of 2019 with O.A.No.341 of 2019 with O.A.No.356 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. C.A. Padalkar &amp; Ors. (O.A.351/2019) P.K. Keswani &amp; Ors. (O.A.341/2019) A.A. Ambhore &amp; Ors. (O.A.356/2019) .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shubham Kausal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.351/2019, Ms. Aditi Saxena, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.341/2019 and O.A.No.356/2019 and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.1 is called to file affidavit on the following point :- Whether the case of present O.A. is covered by the order passed in O.A.No.1091 of 2015, and answer is negative, what are the reasons therefor.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Tribunal's orders</p> <p><b>04.07.2019</b></p>
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/19</u>  CORAM:  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)-V/C-A  APPEARANCE:  Shri/S: <u>S.S. Dere</u>  Advocate for Applicant  Shri/S: <u>Swati Manchekar</u>  C.F. for the Respondent/s  Adj./S.O. to <u>O.A. to come up</u>  <u>for hearing on due date.</u>  <u>YF</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 368/2017</u></b></p> <p>Ms Amruta S. Yadav ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A to come up for hearing on due date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.H Joshi, <u>Joshi</u>)  Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.07.2019**

**M.A 345/2019 in O.A 368/2017**

Ms Amruta S. Yadav ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. M.A stands disposed of as withdrawn.

DATE: 4/7/2019

**CORAM**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) v/c A

**APPEARANCE**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere.....

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. Swati Manchekar

C.P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj/S.O. to M.A stands.

disposed of as withdrawn.

S.S. Dere

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**04.07.2019**

**O.A 610/2019 & 611/2019**

Shri P.R Borse (O.A 610/2019)  
Shri S.R Gharat (O.A 611/2019)... Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Dnyaneshwar Kale, holding for Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.8.2019

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 21.8.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akt

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

DATE: 14/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman),  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Dnyaneshwar Kale holding

for Shri S.B. Talekar  
Advocate for the Applicant

Ms Swati Manchekar  
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adl/S.O. to Issue notice  
returnable on 21/8/2019.

14/7

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit-Member (A) v/c-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s Adi/ S.O. to <u>16/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>yte</i></p>	<p><b>04.07.2019</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 248/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri Y.R Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.s Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned advocate for applicant states that applicant would be satisfied if averments contained in paras 6.17.2, 6.17.3 and 6.17.4 are replied.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O prays for a weeks' time. Time granted.</li> <li>4. S.O to 16.7.2019.</li> <li>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- T.A.H Joshi, J.P. Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) <i>VC-A</i></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p><b>04.07.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 259/2016</u></b></p> <p>Udyog Sanchanalay Kshetra Sahayak Sanghatana ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for time.</li> <li>3. Adjourned to 10.7.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, JM) Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice H. Dixit Member (A) - VC - A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Swati Manchekar</u> C.F./P.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Hence disposed of with liberty.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>04.07.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 122/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Purpose of present O.A has outlived in view of recent order of Government passed on 28.6.2019.</p> <p>3. Hence disposed of with liberty</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N[Dixit]) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, VC) Chairman</p>

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>04.07.2019</u> Tribunal's orders</p>
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri Dr. G. Sadavarte</u></p> <p><u>Smt. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/7/19 at H.C.</u> <u>request of Ld P.O.</u></p>	<p><u>C.A 26/2019 in O.A 606/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Prakash P. Ramtake &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, S.O to 9.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>



(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.07.2019C.A 110/2015 in O.A 255/2013

Smt Varsha N. Zalte

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

DATE : 4/7/19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri / H.M. Inamdar

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P./D.P.O. for the Respondent/sAdj./S.O. to HeardC.A is disposed.2

1. Heard Shri H.M Inamdar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view that order passed in O.A 255/2013 is complied, C.A is disposed.

Sd/- [Signature]  
(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - (V/C-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt : <u>S.S.D.ERE</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt : <u>Swati Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>5/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BYE</i></p>	<p><b><u>04.07.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 792/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.P Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record. The affidavit does not answer crucial averment in 6.16(A). Relevant portion is marked and reproduced below:-</p> <p>"The Applicant states that therefore considering the principles laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the above referred case the last date of acceptance/rejection of candidature after document verification by Respondent no. 3 shall be construed as a date for commencement of waiting list. Therefore, the requisition sent by Respondent no. 2 dated 17.3.2018 and Respondent no. 3 dated 14.12.2017 to the Respondent no. 1 was within the life of waiting list and the selection process said to be motion until the last state of selection completed by the Respondent no. 3." (Quoted from page 13A of O.A)</p> <p>3. Secretary, MPSC is directed to file his own affidavit answering the above.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.7.2019.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>4/7/19.</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>K R Jagdale</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>Smt. K S Gaikwad</u> POB for Respondents</p> <p><b>AS/VS :</b> <u>Heard</u></p> <p><i>oA is accomplished and hence oA stands disposed.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 04.07.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.236 of 2019</b> <b>(Subject : Leave Encashment)</b></p> <p><b>G.G. Wagmare</b> .... Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the Government order/letter No. एडीआर-२०१६/प्र.क्र.५०/तांशि-६, dated 04.07.2019 purpose of filing of O.A. is accomplished and therefore O.A. stands disposed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.809 of 2015</p> <p>R. V. Padhen .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Nair, Special Counsel with Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, this O.A. 809/2015 along with other connected OAs is taken up for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel who appeared on behalf of the Applicant who has filed the OA is present. He states that he has no instructions from the Applicant after the matter is remanded back by the Hon'ble High Court for hearing afresh.</p> <p>4. Shri Nair, Special Counsel for the Government has submitted that after remand of the matter to the Tribunal, the department has sent notices to all the Applicants in view of the directions given by the Tribunal so that they are aware of the proceedings.</p> <p>5. Thus, despite the notice, the Applicant is absent. His Counsel Shri C.T. Chandratre, submitted that he has no instructions from the Applicant.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>A. G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manelekar</u></del> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad./S.C. for <u>heard</u></p> <p><u>order passed in Tribunal column. M.A is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>P</u></p>	<p><b><u>04.07.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 349/2019 in O.A 612/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.P Mhaisdhune &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A is allowed.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.H Joshi, J)</b> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>Attn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.07.2019**

**O.A 612/2019**

Shri Prakash P. Ramtake & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicants prays for leave to amend.
3. Leave as prayed is granted.
4. Amendment is carried out forthwith.
5. Respondent no. 1, Addl. Chief Secretary, Home, is directed to make statement through learned C.P.O as to whether 636 pots of P.S.Is on which in-service selected candidates are being appointed are duly created and were 636 candidates requisitioned from M.P.S.C?
6. Statement be made tomorrow at 3.00 pm.
7. S.O to 5.7.2019.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order for its due compliance.

DATE: 4/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Pr. P. Ramtake

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. SP Manchekar

C.P.O for the Respondent/s

Adl./S.O. to Heard

*order passed in  
tribunal column.  
S.O to 5/7/19.  
steno copy of  
Hamdast is granted.*

*l*

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.07.2019.

O.A.No.411 of 2019

S. P. Kaplle .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-In-Reply will be filed during the course of hearing. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. Three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 22.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1144 of 2018</p> <p>P. P. Pednekar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-In-Reply will be filed during the course of hearing. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 17.07.2019.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.07.2019.

O.A.No.123 of 2019

S. Y. Pawar .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-In-Reply will be filed during the course of hearing. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 29.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Navale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/7/19.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>D</u></p>	<p><b>04.07.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 513/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.V Rane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O prays for time for filing reply.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O to 11.07.2019 .</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">             Sd/-    <b>(A.H Joshi (J.)</b>  <b>Chairman</b> </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>4/2/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Shri <u>C T Chandratre</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K S Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Aj./S.C. No <u>180/19</u></p> <p><i>Order passed in Tribunal Column. In view of the above order no are accordingly disposed of. No costs.</i></p>	<p><b>04.07.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 300/2019 with M.A 186/2019 in O.A 300/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.S Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranu S. Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions as follows:-</p> <p>That the Enquiry Officer and Secretary to the Government concerned has communicated the programme for completing the Departmental Enquiry on or before 30.11.2019.</p> <p>3. This Original Application can be disposed of with a direction that the action including passing of final order by the Government be completed on or before 1.2.2020.</p> <p>4. In case the proceedings are not completed before 1.2.2020, the charge sheet dated 7.9.2015 itself shall be deemed to have been quashed and applicant shall stand fully exonerated.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, O.A &amp; M.A are accordingly disposed of. No costs.</p>

Sd/-  
**(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman**

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V.C.-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R. G. Panchal</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Swati Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>5/7/2019</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p><b><u>04.07.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1180/2016 with O.A 110/2017 &amp; 401/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.M Janbhandhu &amp; Ors (O.A 1180/2016) Shri K.S Joshi (O.A 110/2017) Shri M.A Patel (O.A 401/2017)... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicants states that he has to bring on record certain developments which necessitate adjournment of present O.As sine die.</p> <p>3. He prays for a days' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u><i>[Signature]</i></u> (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeehi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) VIC - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F. D/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>18/7/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.210 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.M. Gangurde &amp; 9 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO produces a communication dated 2.4.2019 promoting various employees including applicants except applicant no.5. A copy of the same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that Shri Rajendra Bandu Thakur – Applicant No.5 has not been promoted. The communication at para 6 page 11 reads as under:"</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“६. पोहवा /१९९५ राजेंद्र बंडु ठाकुर नेमणूक ठाणे ग्रामीण यांनी जात वैधता प्रमाणपत्र सादर केले नाही यास्तव त्यांचे प्रकरण सिलबंद लखोटयात ठेवण्यात निर्णय पदोन्नती समितीचे घेतला आहे. पोहवा ठाकुर यांनी जात वैधता सादर केल्यानंतर त्यांच्या पोलीस उप निरीक्षक पदाच्या पदोन्नतीबाबत निर्णय घेण्यात येईल.”</p> <p>4. In view of the above, OA has become infructuous and is disposed off as such, except in case of Applicant No.5. As far as applicant no.5 is concerned, Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks two weeks time to produce additional details.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.158 of 2019
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>18/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>S.R. Putta ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.7.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No.137 & 138 of 2019
---	---

B.N. Patil  
P.V. Kharade ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states that she is in correspondence with the respondents and seeks a week's time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
4.7.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 4/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 18/7/2019

*SPK*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	C.A. No. 634 of 2018 <del>Tribunal's orders</del>
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri F. N. Dixit Member (A) - Jc-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.V. Bhasale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>15.7.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sgj</i></p>	<p>U.D. Kharat &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that she would find out whether respondent no.2 proposes to file reply or otherwise and seeks adjournment till 15.7.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.7.2019</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.338 of 2019
<p>DATE: 4/7/2019</p> <p>COMAM:</p> <p>Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>P.N. Dixit Member (A) V/C-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>Smt/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>S.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to 25/7/2019</p> <p><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p>C.S. Bharude ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.7.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/7/2019</u>  CORAM :  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A  APPEARANCE:  Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u>  Ld. P.O.  Advocate for the Applicant &lt; Org. Resps &gt;  Shri/Smt. : <u>Amrta for the Resps &lt; Org. App &gt;</u>  C.F.S/P.O. for the Respondent/s  Adj/S.O. to <u>Issue notice on both M.A. returnable on 26/8/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p><u>04.07.2019</u>  <u>M.A 325/2019 with M.A 326/2019 in O.A 434/2014</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Applicants  (Ori Respondents)  Vs.  Smt Manisha C. Gosavi ... Respondent  (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents). None for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Issue notice on both M.A returnable on 26.8.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></span>  <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.)  Chairman</p>