

2

(G.C.P.) J 2230(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 4/7/17</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri RAHVAGARWAL</del>            (Vice-Chairman)            Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  <del>Smt. Punam Mahajan</del>            Advocate for the Applicant  <del>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</del>            C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3.            A.V. Bandiwadekar            Resp. No. 4.            Adv. to _____            D.A. is admitted.            Rejoinder filed            by Applicant.            S.O. to 11/7/17  <u>expedited.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.450 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri P.Y. Shelar</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. I have heard the submissions at the bar. Instead of hearing the matter at interim stage now that the pleadings are completed, in my opinion, the OA can be admitted and appointed for final hearing expeditiously inter-alia because according to Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate, the 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent is <sup>without</sup> at the posting.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and expedited for final hearing and appointed as such on 11.07.2017. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-            (R.B. Malik)            Member (J)            04.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**Original Application No.1095 of 2016**

**B.S. Killedar & Ors.**

**....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**....Respondents**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.**

**DATE : 04.07.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that time is required because the draft affidavit was received with inadequate contents and now additional information is sought.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to the date when the order dated 27.04.2017 is communicated to the Respondents.
4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that it was communicated on 2.05.2017.
5. Shri V.V. Patil, Desk Officer, Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya is present. He was called to state whether the communication



dated 2.05.2017 sent by office of learned P.O. was received and the date thereof.

6. He states that the letter was received within one week.

7. This Tribunal had clarified on 3.04.2017 that affidavit of Respondent No.1 would be necessary and affidavit of Respondent No.2 would not be relevant.

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was also called to state as to the date when the order dated 3.04.2017 was communicated to the Respondents.

9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that it was communicated on 5.04.2017.

10. It is got confirmed from the record and it is seen that order dated 3.04.2017 was received in the office of Secretary in the following week.

11. In the aforesaid background filing of affidavit is callously neglected. Negligence in filing affidavit when the specific reply was sought is serious.

12. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to furnish the name of Secretary, Forest Department.

13. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has furnished the name as Shri Vikas Kharge-IAS.

14. Shri Vikas Kharge, Secretary, Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with cost for failure to attend to the case and for failure in filing of affidavit in time.

15. Time as prayed for is granted by way of last chance, however subject to show cause notice aforesaid.

16. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.

17. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

18. S.O. to 22.08.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**Original Application No.430 of 2017**

**B.D. Kshirsagar** **....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** **.....Respondents**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.**

**DATE** : **04.07.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that Respondent No.3 has come to give instructions.
3. As we see Respondent No.3 is formal party and he does not need to contest.
4. In so far as Respondent No.1 and 2 are concerned, learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that Respondent No.1 and 2 were served on 6.6.2017 and 8.6.2017 respectively.
5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that so far as nobody has contacted on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to furnish the names of the officers holding these two posts.
7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has furnished the names of these two officers as follows:-
- (1) Shri Chandrakant Dalvi, Commissioner Co-operation & Registrar of Co-Operative Societies, Pune.
  - (2) Shri S.S. Sandhu, Principal Secretary, Co-Operative, Marketing & Textile Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
8. These two officers named in foregoing paragraph are called to show cause as to why they should not be personally saddled with cost for failure to appear and give instructions to learned P.O.
9. Apart from the affidavit to be filed, above two officers shall file their own affidavit answering contesting averments contained in para no.6.12 and 6.20, and if necessary take such corrective measures as deemed and advised to be necessary.
10. Affidavit of show cause and affidavit on the points mentioned hereinbefore be filed on or before 10.08.2017.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed and learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
12. S.O. to 10.08.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A. Nos.162, 163 & 164 of 2017**  
**In**  
**O.A. Nos.327, 328 & 329 of 2017**

**Shri A.S. Ambudkar & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

These three Misc. Applications for condonation of delay can be decided by this common order.

I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri V.V.Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Although in strongly opposing this application, the learned P.O. referred to the fact that the delay is long and periods are different in each one of these OAs. I find that course of action that I adopted in deciding the **M.A. No.627/2015 in O.A. No.1116/2015 (Shri M.S.A.J. Inamdar V/s State of Maharashtra), dated 17.01.2017** will surely have to be adopted herein as well. Further it is clear from the circular issued by the Government in Law and Judiciary Department, dated 02.08.2017 and it is a good authority to hold that these applicants will be entitled to the same relief that the others got. Further the general principle that applications such as these must be approached liberally also inform my mind. The delay in each one of these matters are hereby condoned. The applicants and the office of this tribunal shall take all steps necessary to get the OAs listed before the appropriate bench for disposal according to the law.

Misc. Applications are allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2017

DATE: 4/7/17  
CORAM:  
~~Hon'ble~~ Shri. RAHVAGARIWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J  
APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Shre: V.V. Joshi  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Shre: A.J. Chougule  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  
Reply filed by R.No.3.  
M. As. are allowed

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:  <del>Mr. H. S. BAIYAGARWAL</del>  <small>(Office Chairman)</small>  <del>Mr. R. B. MALIK (Member)</del> ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:  <del>By <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></del>  <small>Advocate for the Applicant</small>  <del>By <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u></del>  <small>Advocate for the Respondents</small></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.273 of 2017</u>  <u>In</u>  <u>O.A. No.604 of 2017</u></p> <p>Ms. S.S. Tatkare &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 4.7.17  Member (J)  04.07.2017</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.604 of 2017**

**Ms. S.S. Tatkare & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

A copy of the communication dated 29.06.2017 from the office of the Respondent No.2 (Order) is taken on record. In view thereof, there is no urgency in the matter.

Issue notice returnable on 01.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 01.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2017

DATE: 4/7/17  
CORAM:  
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)  
APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  
Adj. To S.O. to 1/8/17  
@af

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGLEWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri./Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri./Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 21/7/17.</u> Hamdast. PH @14</p>	<p><u>O.A.257/2017</u></p> <p><b>Mr. S.B. Dube</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Applicant, a Professor in Surgery in B.J. Medical College, Pune has brought this OA for seeking the period spent between his earlier transfer from Pune to Dhule and actual joining there at Dhule as a 'compulsory waiting period'. The matter is Part Heard.</p> <p>As a matter of fact, the Applicant made representations on 28.1.2015 and 8.2.2016 for the said purpose but despite the lapse of time, the Respondents have cared not to take any action on the said representations. Mr. Khaire submits that the Applicant is set to retire on superannuation this month end. In view of certain legal principles, I think first, an opportunity needs to be given to the Respondents to do at least now what they ought to have done much earlier, but the usual luxury of a longish date will be out of question. The Respondents are directed to decide the pending representations of the Applicant detailed hereinabove during two weeks from today and the OA be listed before me on 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2017 as Part Heard. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) D.M. Member (J) 04.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.603 OF 2017**

Shri Rahul Tanaji Kale

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri M.B. Kadam – Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2017

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 8.8.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. It shall suffice if the respondents file an affidavit answering the contents of para 2 of the OA and explains as to how if the applicant is appointed on compassionate ground it would be necessary for him to produce validity certificate as to his caste claim. In the event the respondents find that his action is erroneous, it shall be highly appreciated if he withdraws the OA. If he finds the action is erroneous and the corrective measure is not taken despite of filing of this OA, this Tribunal would be constrained to take a serious view of the matter including for imposition of heavy costs primarily for non application of mind while issuing impugned order and secondly for failing to correct.

8. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

9. S.O. to 8.8.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
4.7.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/8/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>Date : 04.07.2017</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.914 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>A.A. Desale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 &amp; 3 and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting officer for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. After arguing the case for one full hour, learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for longer time to peacefully apply mind.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p align="center">sba</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Sen. <u>Adv. for appl. absent.</u> <u>Heard Appl. in person.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sen. <u>D.B. Khaire spl. counsel</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>with Ms. H.F. Gohad for Reps</u> Adj. To <u>Admit. Liberty to</u> <u>move is granted.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BJE</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>Date : 04.07.2017</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1029 of 2016</b></p> <p align="center"><b>Maharashtra Rajya Padvidhar Prathmik Shikshan va Kendrapramukh Sabha &amp; Ors.</b></p> <p align="right">....Applicants</p> <p align="center"><b>Versus</b></p> <p align="center"><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Advocate for the Applicants Shri G.K. Kshirsagar is absent. Heard Applicant in person and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 with Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to move is granted.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  <b>(A.H. Joshi, JJ)</b>  Chairman </p> <p align="left">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.07.2017

O.A.No.1192 of 2016 with O.A.No.237 of 2017

K.D. Binner & Ors. (O.A.No.1192 of 2016)  
A.D. Shirname & Ors. (O.A.No.237 of 2017)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad states as follows:-

(a) Affidavit-in-reply which was received from the Civil Surgeon was considered to be inadequate hence time was sought.

(b) However, she did not write the letter to the Secretary, Public Health Department for seeking detailed instructions which now she wants to do.

3. For this purpose, learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three weeks time

4. In view of the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, time as prayed for is granted upto 10.08.2017.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

6. S.O. to 10.08.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

DATE: 4/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : G. Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N. G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To. 10/8/2017

Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O.

*[Signature]*

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.452 of 2017

Shri C.J. Gudewar & Anr. ..Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that details as to time frame required for compliance for various stages would be furnished on 6.7.2017.

3. S.O. to 6.7.2017.

DATE: 4/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 6/7/2017

BT

(sgl)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman  
4.7.2017




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MAA/R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/7/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.598 of 2017 with M.A. No.272 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri D.N. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to examine the matter, read it properly and place with office note, as regards objection, on 11.7.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2017.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H. Joshi, J) <i>[Signature]</i>            Chairman            4.7.2017         </p> <p align="left">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.42 of 2017

Shri P.J. Pawar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed an application dated 3.7.2017 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 22.6.2017 passed in the above OA.

3. In the order dated 22.6.2017 passed in the above OA following words be deleted from para No.3:

“applicant was in police custody for 48 hours.”

and in that place following words be added:

“criminal case was pending.”

4. Order be corrected accordingly and corrected copy of order be issued to all the concerned.

DATE: 4/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kamleshkar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To order be corrected  
accordingly and corrected  
copy of order be issued  
to all the concerned.

*BH*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
4.7.2017

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : V.D. Raut Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 28/8/2017</p> <p align="right"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2017.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.325 of 2016 in O.A.No.853 of 2016</p> <p>D.G. Nanavare .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.D. Raut, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate with Shri Nitin Salunke, the learned Advocate for the Respondents No.2 to 5.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri V.D. Raut, the learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 28.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>A. Boddul</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/8/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i> <i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.202 of 2017 in C.A.No.33 of 2017 in O.A.No.245 of 2015 with C.A.No.33 of 2017 in O.A.No.245 of 2015</p> <p>D.B. Pawar .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Boddul, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue common notice in M.A. and O.A. returnable on 21.08.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 21.08.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld. P.O. Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp) Shri/Smt. M. Choudhari Ld. Adv. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl)</p> <p>Adj. To 21/8/2017.</p> <p align="right">BTK</p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.46 of 2017 in O.A.No.302 of 2016 (O.A.No.389 of 2013 Aurangabad)</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .....Applicants (Org. Respondents.</p> <p>Versus Shaikh Rashid Munir .....Respondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Ms. M. Choudhari, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Applicant wants time to examine whether prayer 'C' would fall outside jurisdiction of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 21.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 10/7/2017.</p> <p align="right">BTE</p>	<p align="center"><b>Date : 04.07.2017</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.465 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>G.R. Karpe</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) The M.P.S.C. needs two days time to respond to the Government's requisition.</p> <p>(b) Statement would be made on Monday i.e. 10.07.2017.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. For reporting total compliance, adjourned to 10.07.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p align="right">M</p> <p>sba</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble J.J. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>V.U. Sherkhane</u> Ld. Adv. <u>holding for adv. R.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>for appl.</u> Shri / Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/8/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>Date : 04.07.2017</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.513 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>H.B. Sonawane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.U. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 10.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H. Joshi, J.J.)            Chairman         </p> <p align="left">sba</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: This O.A. pertains to the jurisdiction of single bench Bench. Registry is directed to verify &amp; place it appropriately.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.764 of 2015</p> <p>S.U. Sant &amp; Ors. ....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants states that this O.A. pertains to the jurisdiction of Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to verify and place it appropriately.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>5/7/17 at 3.00 p.m.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>prk</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 04.07.2017.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.19 of 2017 in O.A.No.637 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Bhosale</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 05.07.2017 at 3.00 p.m..</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H. Joshi J.)            Chairman         </p> <p align="left">prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents  holding for A.J. Chougule</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u>  O.A. is admitted.  S.O. to 24/7/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH  <i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1009 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Dr. A.S. Khomane ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate informs that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 24.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  04.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">04.7.17</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 24/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  P.O. for the Respondents  holding docn A.J. Chougule</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u>  O.A. is admitted.  S.O. to 24/7/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH  <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1009 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Dr. A.S. Khomane ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate informs that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 24.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  04.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 4/7/17</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGRAWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: S.O. to 18/7/17</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.403 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri M.P. Sonawane</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Reserving the rights of the Applicant to renew the request for interim relief, I direct notice to be issued returnable on 13.07.2017. On that day, Affidavit-in-Reply must be filed because my order dated 20.10.2016 in O.A. No.185/2016 has its implications.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 13.07.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 18.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 04.07.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p><u>Smt. Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K. S. A. S. K. K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Rejoinder filed by applicant.</u></p> <p><u>O.A. is admitted.</u></p> <p><u>S.O. to 21/7/17.</u></p> <p><i>F4</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.261 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.B. Patil ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 21.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <i>W.T.V.J</i> Member (J) 04.07.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.599 of 2017

Shri C.S. Patil

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 12.07.2017

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2017

DATE: 11/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 12/7/17

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ATE</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.318 of 2017 with O.A.No.319 of 2017 with O.A.No.320 of 2017 with O.A.No.321 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. Shirsat (O.A.No.318 of 2017) A.B. Sonawane (O.A.No.319 of 2017) S.Z. Rite (O.A.No.320 of 2017) H.M. Hendre (O.A.No.321 of 2017) .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the fresh affidavit is not ready and time may be granted.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>gba</p>