

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.45 of 2019

M. A. Sawant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has approached this Tribunal for release of gratuity and regular pension. He retired on 31.08.2016 and one day before retirement kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E. As no further action was taken for initiation of D.E. after waiting for near about more than two years, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal.
3. The Respondents have filed their Affidavit-in-Reply contending that in view of suspension of the Applicant before retirement, the department proceeding shall be deemed to be instituted on the date on which he was kept under suspension in view of Rule 27 (6) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
4. Thus, from the stand taken in written statement in Affidavit-in-Reply, the Respondents sought to contend that though till date the charge sheet in D.E. is not issued in view of Rule 27 (6) of Pension Rules, 1982, the D.E. deemed to have been instituted on the light of suspension. There could be no quarrel about this legal proposition. However, the Respondents should not forget that the Applicant stands retired in 2016 and till date retiral benefits are not released due to non issuance of charge sheet and its completion of D.E. In fact, as per various G.R.s where the Government employees were kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E., the D.E. should be completed within six months. However, in the present case, thought the period of more than 2 ½ years is over from the date of retirement till date the charge sheet is not issued in D.E. As such, inaction and gross negligence on the part of the Respondents is obvious. Needless to mention that pension is statutory right of employee and it cannot be kept in abeyance. Respondent No.1 is under obligation to adopt due course of law immediately.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

5. In view of above, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions for completion of D.E. within stipulated period so that the Applicant should get his retiral benefits as per entitlement and he cannot be deprived of same for indefinite period by keeping him under suspension one day before retirement. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (A) The O.A. is allowed partly.
- (B) The Respondent No.1 who is Disciplinary Authority, if decides, to issue charge sheet against the Applicant then such decision should be taken within a month from today.
- (C) If the Respondent No.1 decides the initiation of D.E. then the D.E. should be initiated accordingly by issuing charge sheet and the D.E. should be completed within three months from the date of issuance of charge sheet.
- (D) The Applicant is also directed to cooperate for the expeditious decision of D.E.
- (E) As the Applicant retired from the service in 2016, the Respondent No.1 to ensure that the D.E. is completed as directed above and no further extension of time will be granted.
- (F) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/4/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>K R Jagdale</u> Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u> disposed of in view of compliance'</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>04.04.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 8/2019 in O.A 184/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Satam. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Disposed of in view of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, JM)</u> Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.04.2019

O.A 308/2019

Mrs Meena B. Sonavane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Sorankar with Shri S.B Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for substitution of memo of O.A.
3. Leave to amend by way of substitution is granted.
4. Compliance be done within 10 days.
5. Issue fresh notice returnable on 24.4.2019.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

11. S.O to 24.4.2019.


Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 24/4/19
COSAR
Registrar (Chairman)

ADMITTED BY:
Sankar W. S. B. Suryavanshi

Presenting Officer: Savita Suryavanshi

Admitted on: 24/4/19

Head
order passed by
Tribunal column



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.326 of 2019

D.G. Choudhari

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Heard on interim relief. Ad interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(b) is granted.

9. Liberty to move if reply is filed.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

11. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

12. S.O. to 20.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 4/4/19

REGISTRY

Number of copies of S.O. (Chairman)

APPEARANCE

Adv. for Applicant / Shri / S. P. Bandiwadekar

Adv. for the Applicant

Miss

Shri / Ms. S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 20/6/19

Head order
passed in Tribunal
column.
Steno copy and
Hamdast is allowed

→

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/4/19</u></p> <p>COVENANT</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri / <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the</p> <p><u>Miss S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>Shri / <u>Head</u></p> <p>Adj./G.O. <u>no is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>04.04.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 173/2019 in O.A 327/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.M Tayade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi (A.J.))</u> Chairman</p> <p>Aku</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.04.2019

O.A 327/2019

Shri S.M Tayade & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 24.6.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 24.6.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 4/4/19.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Applicant

Miss S.P. Navalekar

Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent's

Adj. K. Joshi heard

order passed by
Tribunal Columnar

502024/6/19

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 982 OF 2018

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri Hiralal R. Jadhav)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri P.S Bhavke, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **04.04.2019**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri P.S. Bhavke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. After hearing both sides this Original Application was reserved for orders.
3. It had transpired during scrutiny of papers that certain documents need explanation.
4. It is necessary to know as to whether the complainant Smt. Praja Choudhari had furnished before any authority--
 - (a) Copy of call record of her mobile phone, (copy whereof is placed on record by the applicant at page nos. 596 to 601).



- (b) Screen shots of conversation between complainant Smt. Praja Choudhari and applicant, done on Whatsapp, (copy whereof is at page nos. 580 to 594).
5. It is also necessary to know:-
- (a) As to before whom / which authority complainant had produced the records, referred to in foregoing para.
- (b) In case Smt. Praja Choudhari had not produced these records, who had produced these and when?
6. True copy of the same record (page 596 to 601) is the same as was furnished by the applicant or secured be produced before Respondent no. 1.
7. Hence the Respondent No. 1 is directed to furnish information / documents referred to in foregoing para Nos. 5 & 6, and answer queries contained in para No. 5.
8. Considering superimposition of screen shots on various pages out of 580 to 594, Applicant should produce true copy of documents between page Nos. 580 to 601 in the same tenor as those were produced / furnished to him. He shall also explain as to who had done the superimposition of screen shots of cell phone at various pages after page No. 590.
9. It is also necessary that Applicant should furnish fresh detailed Index of entire paper book.
10. Parties are directed to comply as directed hereinbefore within one week.
11. By consent S.O to 11.4.2019.
12. Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to both sides.

13. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the concerned respondents.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 04.04.2019
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2019\Apr-2019\O.A 982.18, Int order. Chairman.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.191 of 2019

V. V. Hinge

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 25.02.2019 whereby she has been transferred from the post of Tahsildar, Jalgaon to Tahsildar (Entertainment Tax), Jalgaon and interim relief has been granted by this Tribunal on 07.03.2019.

3. However, today the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the Government order dated 13.03.2019 to show that the Government has cancelled the impugned transfer order and reposted the Applicant on the post of Tahsildar, Jalgaon. The copy of the order is placed on record.

4. In view of above, the O.A. has become infructuous. Interim relief stands vacated with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

M. A. No.46 of 2018 in O.A.No.82 of 2018

N. K. Shinde **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has sought relief of Time Bound Promotion in O.A. and also filed application for condonation of delay.
3. The Applicant contends that his second Time Bound Promotion was withheld because of pending Departmental Enquiry (D.E.), which according to him is incorrect. He stands retired on 30.04.2014. However, during pendency of M.A. and O.A., the D.E. initiated against the Applicant has been completed by order dated 20.02.2019 and punishment of deduction of Rs.200/- per month for one year has been imposed. Now, in view of imposition of punishment in D.E., the learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the M.A. as well as O.A.
4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the M.A. and O.A.
5. M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.136 of 2017

C.D. Panem & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that in view of G.R. dated 08.05.2018 the issue of absorption / regularization of the contract employees is under consideration before the Committee constituted for this purpose. It seems that the Committee is considering the issue of absorption / regularization of the employees appointed on the contract basis.

3. At this stage, learned Advocate for the Applicants appeared and submitted that short time be granted to learned P.O. to take instructions about further development in terms of G.R. dated 08.05.2018.

4. S.O. to 11.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.139 of 2019

P. S. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 26.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.39 of 2019

K. V. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.

3. On the request of learned Advocate, one week's time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 11.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No.185 of 2019

M. K. GangawaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.

3. The Applicant has approached this Tribunal for grant of retiral benefits which seems to have been withheld because of prosecution of criminal case. However, record reveals that the Applicant was acquitted by the learned Special Judge, Nashik on 14.03.2012. However, the State Government has filed appeal which is sub-judice before the Hon'ble High Court. In fact, once the Applicant has been acquitted from the charges levelled against him, filing of appeal cannot be the ground to withhold the retiral benefits.

4. Apart, Affidavit-in-Reply filed today, the Respondents contend that simultaneously the D.E. was also instituted against the Applicant and it is on the verge of passing final order.

5. In view of above, the present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions to pass final order in D.E. within stipulated time so that the Applicant's retiral benefits can be released accordingly.

6. At this stage, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought short adjournment to take instructions from his client about the D.E.

7. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 04.04.2019. Tribunal's orders
---	---

O.A.No.320 of 2019

A.A. DharanguttikarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 20.03.2019 whereby he has been kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1) (a) and 4 (1)(c) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.
3. When the matter came up for admission, it was brought to the notice of the learned Advocate for the Applicant that he has directly approached the Tribunal without exhausting alternate remedy of filing appeal or representation against the suspension order, which is appealable one.
4. In view of above, learned Advocate on instructions from his client submitted that the Applicant wants to file appeal / representation against the impugned suspension order and, therefore, sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A., if the decision in appeal / representation goes against him.
5. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. after exhausting remedy for appeal or representation.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

✓

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No. 330 of 2019

V. D. Naik

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Anand Gugale holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the impugned suspension order dated 01.11.2018.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Respondents have revoked the suspension and, therefore, he wants to withdraw the O.A. as per instructions received from his client.
4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A.
5. Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

A.P. Kurhekar
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No. 31 of 2018

S. V. Kute

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Anand Gugale holding for Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.

3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 20.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

O. A. No.333 of 2019

M. N. Gawali

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 18.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.04.2019.

O.A.No. 1125 of 2018

D. B. Kale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. The Respondent No.2 is served but absent.

3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 24.06.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

O. A. No.336 of 2019

Dr. S. S. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.04.2019.

O. A. No.123 of 2019

S. V. Pawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Naikwadi holding for Shri G. B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Justice P.N. Dixit (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Justice S.S. Dere (Member (A))</p> <p>Applicant:</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere</p> <p>Ld. Advocate: _____</p> <p>Shri Neelima Gohad</p> <p>Ld. Advocate: _____</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>5/4/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>04.04.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 247/2019</u></p> <p>Shri K.P Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is called to secure information as to whether on fact of case of the applicant, applicant falls in the category of the candidates who may be covered by order passed in O.A 933/2018.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.4.2019</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Just. _____ (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Sh. <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>Sandeep Dere</u></p> <p>Advocate</p> <p>Shri/Smt <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. _____</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>5/4/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.287, 288 & 292 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.H. Lade R.R. Chavan S.A. Sawant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks adjournment till tomorrow for procuring original record along with responsible officer from the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.4.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>