

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.03.2020</b></p> <p><b>O. A. No.154 of 2019</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B. S. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 27.03.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vr <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.52 of 2020 in O.A. No.1196 of 2019</u></p> <p>K.A. Yawle &amp; Anr. ..Interveners And A.D. Dhongare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Nikhil Pawar, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for adding interveners as party respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed reply and resisted the MA on the ground that interveners are having address not in the jurisdiction of this Bench. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant at present there is no cause for the interveners to be allowed.</p> <p>3. On perusal of MA it is noticed that interveners are from District Jalgaon. Since the interveners are to be added as party respondents, the objection raised by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant is rejected.</p> <p>4. MA for adding interveners as party respondents is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to add interveners as party respondents and serve copy of amended OA on the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.51 of 2020 in O.A. No.1195 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.S. Solanke &amp; Anr. ..Interveners And S.D. Pagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Nikhil Pawar, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for adding interveners as party respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed reply and resisted the MA on the ground that interveners are having address not in the jurisdiction of this Bench. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant at present there is no cause for the interveners to be allowed.</p> <p>3. On perusal of MA it is noticed that interveners are from District Akola and Yeotmal. Since the interveners are to be added as party respondents, the objection raised by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant is rejected.</p> <p>4. MA for adding interveners as party respondents is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to add interveners as party respondents and serve copy of amended OA on the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.50 of 2020 in O.A. No.1194 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.A. Patil &amp; Anr. ..Interveners And S.D. Sapkal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Nikhil Pawar, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri D.R. Irafe Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for adding interveners as party respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed reply and resisted the MA on the ground that interveners are having address not in the jurisdiction of this Bench. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant at present there is no cause for the interveners to be allowed.</p> <p>3. On perusal of MA it is noticed that interveners are from District Sangli and Pune. Therefore, the objection raised by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant is rejected.</p> <p>4. MA for adding interveners as party respondents is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to add interveners as party respondents and serve copy of amended OA on the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020 (sgi)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>OAs. No.312, 355, 1194, 1195 &amp; 1196 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.P. Koli (OA.312/2019)  N.D. Apte &amp; Ors. (OA.355/2019)  S.D. Sapkale (OA.1194/2019)  S.D. Pagar (OA.1195/2019)  A.D. Dhongade (OA.1196/2019) ...Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 &amp; 2 in OAs. No.1194, 1195 &amp; 1196/2019.</p> <p>3. Id. Advocate for the applicants files rejoinder in OAs. No.1194 &amp; 1195 of 2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.4.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)  4.3.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  4.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>M.A. No.59 of 2020 in O.A. No.1195 of 2019,</u>  <u>M.A. No.60 of 2020 in O.A. No.312 of 2019,</u>  <u>M.A. No.61 of 2020 in O.A. No.355 of 2019,</u>  <u>M.A. No.62 of 2020 in O.A. No.1194 of 2019 &amp;</u>  <u>M.A. No.122 of 2020 in O.A. No.1196 of 2019</u> </p> <p>           S.D. Pagar (MA.59/2020)            D.P. Koli (MA.60/2020)            N.D. Apte &amp; Ors. (MA.61/2020)            S.D. Sapkale (MA.62/2020)            A.D. Dhongade (MA.122/2020) .....Applicants            Vs.            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents         </p> <p>           Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manehekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.         </p> <p>           2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed the above MAs for amending the pleadings as well as prayers in the OAs.         </p> <p>           3. For the reasons stated therein, above MAs are allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy of OAs. be served on all concerned.         </p> <p>           Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020 (sgj)            Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.222 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.U. Shetsandi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. OA is restored. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 26.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.107 of 2019 in O.A.222 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.U. Shetsandi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA No.107/2019 is for restoration of OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of receipt towards payment of cost of Rs.2000/-.</p> <p>4. Accordingly MA No.107/2019 is allowed for the reasons stated therein and the OA No.222 of 2016 is restored to file.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020 4.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.344 of 2019 in MA.107 of 2019 in O.A.222 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.U. Shetsandi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rahul Khot, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA No.344/2019 is for condoning delay of 2 days in filing MA No.107/2019 for restoration.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein, MA No.344/2019 is allowed and delay in filing MA No.107/2019 is condoned.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.106 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>4. S.O. to 05.03.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.03.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.184 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.04.2020.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 01.04.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.03.2020</p> <p>R. A. No.22 of 2019 in O.A.No.1116 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant is absent and submitted leave note. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. On previous date also, the Applicant was absent and submitted leave note.</li><li>3. Matter is adjourned lastly and no further time will be granted.</li><li>4. S.O. to 18.03.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*VERSUS*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.03.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.188 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant is seeking direction to release retiral benefits despite the retirement on 31.03.2019 and issuance of certificate that no Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) is pending or proposed against him.</li> <li>3. The Applicant was retired by order dated 09.04.2019 issued by Respondent No.1 wherein there is a specific mention that no D.E. is pending or proposed against the Applicant. The said order was issued on the basis of certificate dated 16.04.2019 issued by none other than Under Secretary, Urban Development Department, State of Maharashtra. Surprisingly, later by order dated 15.02.2020, the same Under Secretary, Urban Development Department informed the Divisional Commissioner that D.E. is contemplated, and therefore, gratuity be withheld.</li> <li>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that even provisional pension is not granted and Applicant is deprived of his retiral benefits for near about one year.</li> <li>5. It is really surprising that once the Government had issued the retirement order with specific mention that no D.E. is pending or proposed subsequently it comes with excuse that D.E. is proposed. It is, therefore, necessary to know how the certificate of no D.E. is issued by the concerned. Lack of coordination or negligence is obvious.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to file Affidavit and to explain above situation.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.03.2020</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 11.03.2020.</p> <p>14. In the meantime, the Respondent No.1 shall ensure issuance of order for provisional pension. Compliance be reported on next date.</p> <p>15. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.969 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.A. Diwan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that the Finance Department had raised certain queries and the same will be replied. She seeks four weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 4.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.185 of 2020</u></p> <p>Vidyanand A. Arak ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neeliam Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant was appointed on compassionate grounds and was directed to pass Typing Examination in Marathi and English within a period of one year as per appointment order dated 18.7.2016 (Exh.A pg.16). However, as per GR No.AKP/1217/Pra.Kra.102/Eight dated 21.9.2017 he was granted two years for passing the said examination. The applicant had during the period appeared for examination but initially failed to pass the same. However, he passed the same and the results were declared on 26.4.2019 and he submitted the copies of the certificate on 4.10.2019 to respondent no.2 who is the appointing authority. Even then the impugned termination order dated 25.2.2020 was passed with immediate effect as per GR dated 8.9.2017 (Condition No.14 of GR dated 21.9.2017).</p> <p>3. Meanwhile the Medical Superintendent directed the applicant to proceed for training from 1.1.2020 to 31.3.2020 and he worked there till 27.2.2020.</p> <p>4. The applicant has prayed as under:</p> <p><i>"15(a) This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to hold and declare that the order impugned dated 25.2.2020 issued by respondent no.2 as illegal and bad in law and the same be quashed and set aside with all consequential service benefits in favour of the present petitioner.</i></p>



(b) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the present OA, this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an order staying the order impugned dated 25.2.2020 with directions to the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue to work as Junior Clerk on the establishment of respondent no.2 on such terms and conditions as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper."

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment dated 14.3.2013 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in WP No.4872/2012 which was confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No.20911/2013 on 10.7.2013. In identical circumstances while setting aside the impugned order terminating services of the petitioners, the Hon'ble High Court had directed to reinstate the petitioners except payment of back wages. These judgments have been cited also in the orders issued by this Tribunal from time to time in judgments dated 6.8.2018 in OA No.1088/2017 & dated 10.9.2015 in OA No.922/2014 etc.

6. As the issue has been decided by this Tribunal, Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court, no reasons are required. The OA is therefore allowed in terms of prayer clause 15(a) and the impugned termination order dated 25.2.2020 is quashed and set aside. The respondents are directed to reinstate the applicant with immediate effect, without back wages. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
4.3.2020

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
4.3.2020

(sgj)





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 996/2019</u></b></p> <p>S.W Malode ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned , advocate for the applicant files his rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks liberty to file sur rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Admitted. Place for final hearing on 24.3.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float: right;">(P.N Dixit)</span> Member (J) <span style="float: right;">Vice-Chairman (A)</span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 35/2020 with O.A 62/2020</u></b></p> <p>Dr Dudhabhate B.T &amp; Ors [O.A 35/2020] Dr V.D Shinde [O.A 62/2020]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 35/2020, Shri A.V Sakolkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 62/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks one weeks time to take action regarding para 25 of this Tribunal's order dated 25.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.3.3020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhelkar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 111/2020</u></b></p> <p>M.A Deshpande ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant states that he would produce judgment on which he is relying for his cause on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 764/2019 with O.A 97/2020</u></b></p> <p>A.S Nayakwadi &amp; Others [O.A 764/2019]  P.K Pande [O.A 97/2020]... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 764/2019, Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 97/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that reply has been filed in O.A 764/2019. She further states that reply in O.A 97/2020 will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 95/2020</u></b></p> <p>P.D Sakunde ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. As per his request, adjourned to 31.3.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 94/2018</u></b></p> <p>A.S Jakkaanawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned for to 12.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 125/2020</u></b></p> <p>A.P Khaire Vs. ... Applicants The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, holding for Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.03.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1170/2019</u></b></p> <p>R.N Yamgar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 11.3.2020 for intimating action taken.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1129 of 2019 with M.A. No.680 of 2019</u></p> <p>U.D. Mhaske ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During hearing the Ld. Advocate for the applicant has enclosed copy of the result published by the District Collector, Solapur dated 27.6.1996 according to which the applicant had passed the departmental examination. The same has been also noted in his service book. The extract of the same is enclosed at page 43.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks short date for bringing the same to the notice of the respondents and report action taken in the matter, as the affidavit filed by the respondents states that the applicant did not pass the departmental examination and was given exemption on completion of 45 years of age.</p> <p>4. As per request made by the Ld. PO, adjourned to 11.3.2020 for reporting the action taken.</p> <p>5. Handast granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2020 in O.A. No.1119 of 2015</u></p> <p>B.M. Lad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Siddharth A. Khandelwal, learned Advocate i/b. Talekar &amp; Associates, Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that copy of the contempt petition has not been served. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to provide copy immediately. Ld. CPO mentions that similar matter bearing W.P. No.1993/2020 is before the Hon'ble High Court today. She states that progress and development, if any, would be intimated tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.3.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float:right">(P.N. Dixit)</span> Member (J) <span style="float:right">Vice-Chairman (A)</span> 3.3.2020 <span style="float:right">3.3.2020</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.969 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.A. Diwan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO, S.O. to 4.3.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 3.3.2020</td><td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.3.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 3.3.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.3.2020
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 3.3.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.3.2020				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that affidavit will be filed tomorrow and unaffirmed copy of the same will be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.3.2020 3.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>