

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

MAHARASHTRA No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.02.2016

O.A No 737/2012

Heard Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri D.B Khaire, learned Special Counsel with Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Patil stated that this matter has been kept pending as the matter regarding validity of the Reservation Act is still pending before the Hon. High Court. The matter may, therefore, be postponed till the decision of the said case.

S.O to 31.3.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16

SIGNATURE:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri B. S. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri D.B. Khaire, SP. Counsel

Presenting Officer for the Respondents
Shri A.J. Chougule, P.O.
order passed

S.O to 31/3/16.

Akn

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Case No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Order, Notices, Office Memoranda of Circumstances, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p><u>DATE:</u> 4/2/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 1/3/2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BHE</p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No. 161 of 2014 with O.A.No.162 of 2014 with O.A.No.167 of 2014 with O.A.No.189 of 2014 with O.A.No.190 of 2014 with O.A.No.1046 of 2014 with O.A.No.968 of 2014</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states on instructions received from Shri Upasani, Law Officer as follows:-</p> <p>That the views of senior officer at regional level are being called and subject would be placed before P.E.B for its decision within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Govt., Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>4/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No.1 Adv. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> for Rpto. 2 Adj. to <u>4/8/16 with liberty to</u> <u>circulate.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>JK</u></p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 148 of 2015</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows That the Applicant has moved Hon'ble High Court for quashing the F.I.R. which has lead to suspension, and Applicant wants to wait till Hon'ble High Court passes the order in the Writ Petition which is already listed for hearing in March 2016.</p> <p>3. In view of the submission of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 4.08.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

PLAINT NO. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

PARA CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.02.2016.


O.A.No. 409 of 2015

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-
It is found that the sur-rejoinder is necessary, hence a week's time is required.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 11.02.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 9/2/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice J. Ganeshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: Punam Mahajan

For Respondents: K.S. Gaikwad
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Adj. to: 11/2/2016




**OF THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

LN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Clarification, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>4/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman), Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkar (Member)-A</p> <p>HEARANCE: Shri <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C/O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>23/2/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 635 of 2015</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant wants time to submit written arguments. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is informed that the written arguments should not be in any manner, the reproduction of the contents of the O.A. 4. S.O. to 23.02.2016. <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

REPLY/ADVOCATE No. _____ of 20

T.N.

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Concise Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders, re-
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.02.2016.

O.A.No. 740 of 2015

1. Heard Shri V.V. Mohite, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to produce the papers.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 09.02.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 4/2/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.V. Mohite

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 9/2/2016



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ Disputer
..... Applicant/s


Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>4/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Hanveshkumar (Member) &</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri Shri. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant; Shri Shri. <u>K.S. Sankar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/2/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bte</i></p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016. O.A. No. 877/2015,</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Presenting Officer for the applicant and Ms. Kranti Kamble, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.</p> <p>(2) The learned P.O has tendered reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for three weeks time to study the reply and to file rejoinder, only if it is necessary.</p> <p>(4) Adjourned to 14.03.16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>Psz</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicant/s

Advocate.....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Representing Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circum,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 4.02.2016. O.A. No. 881/2015

(1) Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O for the respondents.

(2) Learned P.O has tendered reply. It is taken on record.

(3) The Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for two weeks time to study the reply and for filing rejoinder, if necessary.

(4) Adjourned to 22.02.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16


Signature: A.H. Joshi
(A.H. Joshi) (Chairman)
(Member) A

Signature: G. Sadavarte

Signature: N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 22/2/16

BE


(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

Psz

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
Applicant/s


Advocate _____

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>16/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri S.S. Dere</p> <p>Presented by: <u>R.M. Kolge holding for S.S. Dere</u></p> <p>Presented by: <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Adj. to: <u>16/2/16</u></p> <p><i>AK</i></p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016</p> <p>O.A. 889/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri R.M. Kolge holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.</p> <p>(2) Learned Advocate Mr. Kolge prays for adjournment on the ground that services of the local trains are disordered and learned Advocate Shri Dere is unable to attend.</p> <p>(3) Adjourned to 16.02.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Ps2</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicant/s

Respondent/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.02.2016 .

O.A. No. 913/2015. (D.B.Matter)

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) For fixing a date, let the O.A. come up for hearing before me on 13.03.2016 at 3.00 p.m. If the bench consisting of Chairman and Vice Chairman presides on that date, the case can be taken for final hearing.

(3) Liberty to mention, if the Bench which can take up the matter is available prior to 13.03.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16 2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Li. Kanchalkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Shri: A.V. Bandiwadekar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Ms: K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To, 14/2/16

B.K.


(A.H. Joshi.)
Chairman

Psz

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

Advocate _____

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


Date: 4.02.2016.

O.A. No. 961/2015

(1) Heard Mr. M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.

(2) The learned P.O states that the draft reply is ready and a week's time is required for filing reply.

(3) Time granted S.O to 16.03.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

PsZ

DATE: 4/2/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman),
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchekumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:
Shri. M.R. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri. A.J. Chougule
C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 16/3/16

B.R.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>4/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri Ganeshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri Pillai</p> <p>Absence of Applicant: Shri K.S. Rajwade</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. to <u>23/2/16</u> steno copy d. Hamdast is granted - <i>ME</i></p> | <p>Date: 04.02.2016. O.A. No. 988/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri S.N. Pillai, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. Kranti Kamble, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents State.</p> <p>(2) The learned Presenting Officer states as follows:-</p> <p>(i) Proposal for Relaxation of age at the time of entry in the Govt. service and dispensation of fitness certificate is submitted before the Chief Secretary and the decision is expected.</p> <p>(ii) The office of the C.S. will be pursued for decision within a week and the decision as rendered will be reported before this Tribunal.</p> <p>(3) In view of the statement of the learned P.O hearing is adjourned to 23.02.2016.</p> <p>(4) The learned P.O is directed to communicate. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(A.H. Joshi)</i> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Psz</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.O.)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

PARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Apparitions, Tribunal's orders or
intimations and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.02.2016.

O.A.No. 992 of 2015

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-
Shri Satish Pawar, Director of Health Services is present and prays for two weeks time for filing affidavit of show cause and affidavit to the O.A.
3. By way of last chance, two weeks time is granted.
4. S.O. to 17.02.2016.


(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

DATE: 4/2/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Mr. M. Kameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCES:

Shri S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. 17/2/2016

sba

B.K.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI

MEMORANDA No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.02.2016

O.A No 1043/2015

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpuroni, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Lonkar stated that on the last occasion the officer who was present on behalf of the Respondents has assured that affidavit in reply will be filed within a week.

Learned C.P.O stated that he has received the instructions only yesterday. There appears to be lack of coordination between the office of the Respondent and the learned C.P.O. Learned C.P.O seeks for two weeks time. Granted as a last chance.

S.O to 18.2.2016.

Date: 4/2/16

BY:

Member Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Member Shri. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpuroni

Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents

Order passed.

~~By:~~
S.O. to 18/2/16.

[Signature]

Akn

[Signature]
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s


Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>4/2/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>J.N. Kamble</u></p> <p><u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>10/3/16</u></p> <p><u>BE</u></p> | <p>Date: 4.02.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 1089/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) The learned P.O has tendered reply. it is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) The learned Advocate Mr. Kamble prays for two weeks time to study the reply and to file rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>(4) Adjourned to 10.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </p> <p>Psz</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
Applicants

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.02.2016

O.A. No. 1003/2015

(1) Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Respondents.

(2) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays to time to address this Tribunal on the point of any policy decision of Govt. of giving preferential treatment to officers who belongs to All India Forest Cadre and officers of the Cadre of Maharashtra.

(3) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for two weeks

(4) Adjourned to 4.03.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. U. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

For Respondent: K.B. Bhise

Advocate for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/3/16

[Handwritten initials]

[Signature]
A.H. Joshi, J
Chairman

Psz

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

PARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Concern, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|-------------------|

Date : 04.02.2016.

O.A.No. 1107 of 2015 with O.A.No.1108 of 2015 with
O.A.No.1109 of 2015 with O.A.No.1110 of 2015 with
O.A.No.1111 of 2015 with O.A.No.1113 of 2015

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. with Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. with Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents prays for a week's time to state as to whether the committee has taken decision, as regards review as regards continuation of Applicant's suspension.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 10.02.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashikumar (Member) A


APPEARANCES: Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicants
Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit with
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

Ms. N.G. Gohad & A.J. Chougule, P.O. for

Adj. To: Regis.

Adj. to 10/2/16.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sha

14/2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

HEARINGS No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1123/2015

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Lonkar, the learned Advocate submits the acknowledgements on the intimation itself. It is taken on record. The service is declared legal. The learned P.O. do waive service and OA stands adjourned for Affidavit-in-reply to 3rd March, 2016.

(Handwritten signature)
04.02.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
04.02.2016

(skw)

DATE: 4/2/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 3/3/16

(Handwritten initials)

Office Memoranda of Court,
Tribunal's orders or
the court and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.02.2016.

O.A.No.109 of 2016 with O.A.No.110 of 2016 with
O.A.No.125 of 2016

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. with Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge prays for adjournment.

3. Issue notice returnable on 14.03.2016.


4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 14.03.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 4/2/16

SUBJECT:

For the Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

For the Shri N.K. Rajpurohit (Member)-A

FOR THE:

Applicants: R.M. Kolge holding for
S.S. Dere

Respondents: N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O. with
A.J. Chougule for the respondent/s

Shri A.J. Chougule & Ms. N.G. Gohad for Kolge

Ref. No. 14/3/16



prk

Office Notices, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.02.2016.

O.A.No.128 of 2016

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 03.03.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 03.03.2016.

DATE: 4/2/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 3/3/16

prk


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.134/2016

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 03.3.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 3rd March, 2016.

DATE: 4/2/16 D/B

FOR THE:

Member Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)


Member Smt. R.B. Malik (Member-A)

APPLICANTS:

Punam Mahajan

FOR THE:

K.S. Gaikwad
Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
04.02.2016

(skw)

Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Tribunal's orders or
notifications and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's Order

Date: 04.02.2016

(D.B.Matter)

C.A.No. 34/2012 in O.A. No. 846/2009

1. None for the applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) The learned P.O states that he has received instructions from Shri V.P. Ghodke, Under Secretary, Public Health Department and a letter dated 4th February 2016.

(3) Copy of the said letter dated 4th February 2016 is tendered by the learned P.O.

(4) It is taken on record.

(5) It is informed by the learned P.O that the follow up is being made with the General Administration department for sanction or creation of post, and as of day, no queries are pending with the Health Dept.

(6) For reporting the outcome of the decision of G.A.D, adjourned to 8.03.2016

DATE: 4/2/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCES:

Shri/Smt. None for the appl.


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 8/3/16

Bhise


(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

PsZ

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Case No. _____ of 20

Page No. _____

Applicant's Application No. _____ of 20

PARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Under Notes, Office Memoranda of Council, proceedings, Tribunal's orders or conditions, and Registrar's orders.

Tribunal's orders.

Date : 04.02.2016.

C.A.No.52 of 2015 in O.A.No.315 of 2014

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 09.02.2016.
3. S.O. to 09.02.2016.


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba

DATE: 9/2/16 DIB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. to 9/2/2016

BPE

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

MAHARASHTRA No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Govt.,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.02.2016

M.A 478/2015 in O.A No 785/2012

Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Misc Application has been filed seeking amendment in the O.A no 785/2012. In the reply it appears that Respondents have covered all the issues which are raised in the M.A, which the Applicant wants to include in the O.A. In the interest of early and expeditious hearing of the O.A, the M.A is allowed.

Learned Advocate Shri Khaire stated that he will carry out the necessary amendment within a period of two weeks and serve copy of the same on the Respondents. The reply to the M.A will be treated with the consent of the learned C.P.O as reply to the original ~~to~~ ^{after} amendment. This reply will be read with reply in O.A.

Matter be kept for final hearing on 23.2.2016.

Date: 24/2/16

Signature:

Hon'ble and. Rajiv AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri. N.K. Rajpurohit (C.P.O)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri D.B. Khaire *Rh*

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O. RESPONDENTS

order passed.

M.A. is Allowed.

O.A. adj. to 23/2/16.

[Signature]

Rajiv Agarwal
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

MA/REG/A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders

M.A.638/2015 in O.A.1133/2015

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

The learned P.O. do waive service.


04.02.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
04.02.2016

(skw)

DATE: 4/2/16 DTB


CORAM:

R.B. Malik (Chairman)

S.P. Manchekar

K.B. Bhise

MA is allowed.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/WR/2016 No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Office notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.57/2016 in O.A.134/2016

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.


04.02.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
04.02.2016

(skw)

DATE: 4/2/16 DPS

CORAM:

Shri. A. S. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri. R.B. Malik (Member)A]

Punam Mahajan

K.S. Gaikwad