

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.01.2021**

**O.A.No.892 of 2017**

**D. G. Basutkar** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the very outset, learned P.O. has pointed out that the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1041/2017 decided with O.A.No.1042/2017 and other connected O.As has been challenged by the Government by filing W.P.No.1064/2020 and W.P.No.2065/2020. The Hon'ble Tribunal has granted interim relief in favour of the Government staying the execution of order passed in O.A. referred to above.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants basically relied on the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1041/2017 decided with other connected O.As on 07.06.2019. Since, the order passed by this Tribunal on 07.06.2019 is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and similar issued is being involved in the present O.A., it would be appropriate to adjourn the present O.A. awaiting final decision of the Hon'ble High Court in the W.P.s referred to above.

4. S.O. to 18.02.2021.

^  
Sd/-

v  
**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member(J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.435 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. K. Pawar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ghadigaonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the proposal to accommodate the Applicant in Mumbai is under consideration of the Government and sought one week's time for availing appropriate orders from the Government.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that already enough time is availed by the Respondents for passing appropriate order for the proposal submitted for accommodating the Applicant in Mumbai or Thane city.</p> <p>4. As the issue is already under consideration before the Government, I am inclined to grant one week's time as a last chance to pass appropriate order, failing which O.A. will be heard finally on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.567 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Jadhav</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Vaibhav Ugale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</li> <li>2. This is Part-Heard transfer matter, transferred to this bench along with other transfer matters.</li> <li>3. Since, the matter is transferred to this Tribunal, it needs to be heard afresh.</li> <li>4. At the very outset, learned P.O. submits that she wants to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3- Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad to which learned Counsel for the Applicant has objected stating that the matter was already heard twice before the Hon'ble bench, therefore, at this belated stage, Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondents No.3 should not be accepted.</li> <li>5. The impugned transfer order has been issued by the Respondent No.1-Government.</li> <li>6. However, since the Respondent No.3- Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad wants to file independent Affidavit-in-Reply, I am inclined to permit him to file reply provided that same is filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record, if file during the course of the day.</li> <li>7. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 05.01.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>T.A. No.5 of 2017 (Aurangabad Bench)</u> <u>(W.P. No.6554 of 2017)</u></p> <p>Smt. Swati V. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has moved an application dated 24.12.2020 for withdrawal of the above TA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there are certain orders in respect of seniority list published on 11.12.2020. Therefore the Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above TA with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. TA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh OA and disposed off of as such.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 4.1.2021 (sgl) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.1.2021 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1060 of 2015

Nitesh V. Patil ...Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the case of the applicant stands on the similar footing of one Shri Rahul Subhash Patil who had filed Writ Petition No.12683 of 2016 wherein the Hon'ble High Court has passed the following order on 31.1.2018:

*"4. Pendency of this Petition will not come in the way, in case the Respondents decide to appoint the Petitioner who is said to be in the Waiting List."*

3. Ld. PO points out letter dated 5.10.2015 (Exh.F page 35 of OA) issued by Shri M.K. Rao, Chief Conservator of Forest, Kolhapur wherein the applicant was informed that his name is not included in the modified Waiting List.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants time to verify from the applicant whether name of the applicant Shri Nitesh V. Patil is appearing in the modified Waiting List. If it is not appearing in the modified Waiting List then this OA will be disposed off in view of the judgment and order dated 21.1.2016 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.874 of 2015 (Shri Rahul Subhash Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.).

5. S.O. to 5.1.2021.

6. Later on, Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he could contact the applicant and received instructions that the name of the applicant is not appearing in the modified Waiting List.

7. In view of these submissions, the present OA is dismissed in view of the order dated 21.1.2016 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.874 of 2015 (Shri Rahul Subhash Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.).

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
4.1.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
4.1.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 801/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shobha.N Landage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Sub-Inspector, State Excise, M.S, Mumbai, challenges her suspension order dated 16.12.2020 and prays that she be reinstated with all consequential service benefits to the post of Sub Inspector, State Excise, Daund Division, Pune.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the necessary documents under sub rule 3 of Rule 8 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979 are not furnished.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Respondents are directed to file reply before the next date.</p> <p>5. Issue notice returnable 1.2.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 1.2.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.803 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.K. Javkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the applicant is not present today. The matter is of 2018 and fixed for final hearing. He is directed to remain present on the next date otherwise the matter will be dismissed.</p> <p>3. Keep the matter for dismissal on 11.1.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 4.1.2021 4.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**04.01.2021**

**C.A 51/2014 in O.A 465/2008**

The Tracers Association ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to order dated 5.2.2020, learned C.P.O informs that Curative Petition before the Hon. Supreme Court is not filed as per the legal opinion given by the learned advocate of the Supreme Court. She submits that the interest burden is heavy on the State and so the Union, i.e. the Tracers Association is also aware of it and in discussion they have informed that they agree to withdraw the petition as they are satisfied with the payment of arrears which they have received. Learned C.P.O further states that arrears are paid to each and every member of Tracers Association. Learned C.P.O produces copy of the affidavit of Mr. Narendra S. Chavan.

He is Committee Head of Tracers Association of Agriculture Department.

3. Perused the affidavit. It is stated in the affidavit that the Members of the Association have passed a resolution on 29.11.2020 to withdraw the Contempt Application No. 51/2014 considering the burden of State Government due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation. The Resolution dated 29.11.2020 of the Association signed by Shri Shashikant Jadhav, Chief Consultant is annexed herewith. The affidavit is marked as Exh-1 along with Resolution and same is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel Mr. Jagdale submits that there are approximately 900 members of the Association. He would like to take instructions and verify about the payment of arrears and whether the applicants want to press the issue interest.

5. The Original Application is of 2008 and the Contempt Application is pending since 2014. Therefore, the President and Secretary of the Association are directed to remain present on the next date.

6. S.O to 7.1.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson

Akh



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.01.2021**

**C.A 44/2019 in O.A 1134/2016**

Shri B.R Nalawade & Others ... Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O informs that the matter appeared before the Hon. Supreme Court on 20.1.2020 so she wants time to take instructions.

3. S.O to 7.1.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.01.2021**

**O.A 91/2015**

Shri A.G Shendge ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel and learned C.P.O informs that his brief is not traceable. Both the parties are allowed to take out photo copies at their own expenses.
3. S.O to 7.1.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>T.A. No.5 of 2017 (Aurangabad Bench)</u> <u>(W.P. No.6554 of 2017)</u></p> <p>Smt. Swati V. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has moved an application dated 24.12.2020 for withdrawal of the above TA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there are certain orders in respect of seniority list published on 11.12.2020. Therefore the Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above TA with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. TA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh OA and disposed off of as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 4.1.2021 4.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.975 & 976 of 2018 (Aurangabad)

Shri Vikas Sanap (OA.975/18)

Shri Nagesh Sargar (OA.976/18)

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants produces a letter dated 2.1.2021 addressed by Applicant in OA No.975/2018 to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants requesting him to withdraw the OA. The said letter is taken on record and marked 'X-1' for identification.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that applicant in OA No.976 of 2018 has instructed him to withdraw the OA.

4. In view of the above, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OAs.

5. Both the OAs are allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
4.1.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
4.1.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.372/2018, 517/2018, 47/2019 & MA.285/2020 with OA.1276/2020

S.J. Khedekar	(OA.372/2018)	
Pooja Pansare	(OA.517/2018)	
Suresh Jaybhaye	(OA.47/2019)	
Akash Patil	(OA.1276/2020)	..Applicants
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer (Aurangabad Bench) for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submit that issue involved in all these OAs is same. All the applicants are from reserved category (i.e. NT(D) and OBC). They claim horizontal reservation in Sports Category and applicant in OA No.517 of 2018 from Female Open Category. All the applicants have secured marks above cut-off marks in the reserved posts and category. However, they all are denied appointments on the ground that they should claim in reserved category. They belong to reserved category and their claim cannot be considered in open category.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.2641/2019 in SLP (Civil) No.23223/2018 Saurav Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. decided on 18.12.2020. Ld. Advocate for the applicants also relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in Charushila Tukaram Chaudhari & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr., W.P. No.4159 of 2018 & other W.Ps. decided on 8.8.2019 and also the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in Sangita Gopal Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors., W.P. No.8481/2018 & 8876/2018 decided on 26.4.2019.

4. Ld. CPO prays for two weeks time to get instructions and file short affidavit in reply.

5. The respondents are directed to consider the law laid down in the above judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble High Court and take a decision.

6. S.O. to 19.1.2021.      Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
4.1.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
4.1.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Tribunal's orders</b> Date : 04.01.2021</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.154 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. N. Pingale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vaibhav Ugale holding for Shri B.S. Shinde , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Advocate on record Shri B.S. Shinde is unable to attend the Tribunal due to personal difficulties and requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.01.20201.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">       ^        Sd/-        Wv  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.01.2021**

**O.A.No.953 of 2018**

**With**

**O.A. No.214 of 2019**

**B. H. Wadkar & 12 Ors.**

**A. V. Yelmar & 5 Ors.**

**....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, short time is granted for final hearing with specific direction that no further time will be granted on the next date.

3. S.O. to 11.01.2021.

Sd/-

*(Signature)*  
**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.01.2021**

**O.A.No.928 of 2019**

**S. A. Dhamale**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.
3. Pleading is complete.
4. The matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing. It be kept with connected O.A.No.937/2019.
5. S.O. to 18.01.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.534 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.V. Patil</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.12.2020 be continued till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ~~~~~ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.568 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. V. Pawar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1211 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R. L. Kulkarni</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 15.01.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.341 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>J. S. Jadhav</b> ...Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, short time is granted for final hearing with specific direction that no further time will be granted on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>