

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.12/2019

Smt. N.G. Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged her mid-term and mid-tenure transfer by order dated 27.07.2018 whereby she has been transferred from the post of Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone II, Pimpri Chinchwad to the post of Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Head Quarter.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the impugned transfer is in contravention of the mandatory provisions of Section 22-N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951 as there is no recommendation of Police Establishment Board as well as Hon'ble Minister.

4. Per contra, the learned C.P.O. submitted that, it being transfer within Commissionerate, it cannot be said transfer in the eye of law. As regard recommendation of PEB, she fairly conceded that there is no such recommendation but maintained that it being mere posting in Commissionerate area itself, there is no requirement of establishment of PEB.

5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has fairly conceded that the Applicant has been relieved and joined new place of posting without prejudice to her right to challenge the impugned order.

6. As the Applicant has already relieved, I am not inclined to grant any interim relief in the mandatory form and it will be appropriate to allow the Respondents to file reply so that the matter can be decided on merit expeditiously.

7. Issue notice returnable on 11.01.2019.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
13. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.

*A.P. Kurhekar*  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
04.01.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**O.A.760/2018**

**Mr. I.M. Mogal** ... Applicant

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent in the morning session as well as in the afternoon session. Heard Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 07.11.2017. Today, the matter is for hearing at the stage of admission. On the last date also, the matter was adjourned on the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant for hearing.

3. However, today, the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. They seems to be not interested in proceedings. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

04.01.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.01.2019  
Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.972 of 2018

Dr. S.M. Jadhav .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This matter is kept along with O.A.No.926 of 2018 wherein the suspension order is under challenge.
3. Today O.A.No.926/2018 is disposed of on merits. Applicant was suspended on the ground that he did not joined the place of posting in terms of transfer order dated 31.05.2018.
4. Whereas while disposing O.A.No.926/2018 this Tribunal has concluded that suspension order is unsustainable as reasons for suspension is not at all valid. Now this O.A. challenging the order of transfer needs to be decided on merits, though it has some bearing of suspension matter.
5. At the request of learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents two week's time is granted for filing the affidavit-in-reply.
6. In the meantime, Respondents may consider the representation made by the applicant on 03.10.2018 for reconsidering his transfer in view of Government Policy that ~~persons~~ <sup>persons</sup> can be posted at one place.
7. S.O. to 23.01.2019.

A  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.292/2018</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. G.A. Kamble</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the dispute is about the regularization of alleged waiting period from 01.11.2012 to 30.11.2013. The Applicant has sought declaration that the said period be declared as duty period with all consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing to 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> January, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>N. K.</i> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 04.01.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="748 444 943 473">Date : 04.01.2019</p> <p data-bbox="984 499 1175 528">O.A.No.3 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="748 546 1321 575">K.H. Sable .....Applicant.</p> <p data-bbox="748 579 824 608">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="748 610 1338 639">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="748 676 1409 798">1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li data-bbox="748 853 1409 1163">2. In the present O.A. challenge is to order dated 24.12.2018 whereby applicant seems to have been deployed from Otur Police Station, Pune Rural to Police Headquarters Pune Rural. According to the learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant the order dated 24.12.2018 amounts to transfer and being in violation of the provision of Maharashtra Police Act is unsustainable.</li> <li data-bbox="748 1218 1409 1384">3. Whereas the learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submitted that the impugned order is deployment order for certain period, and it cannot be termed as transfer order.</li> <li data-bbox="748 1440 1409 1661">4. Perusal of order dated 24.12.2018 reveals that no specific period of deployment is mentioned. At this stage learned P.O. seeks short time to take instructions from the Respondents and to clarify the position and accordingly to file affidavit-in-reply in this regard.</li> <li data-bbox="748 1716 1013 1745">5. S.O. to 08.01.2019.</li> <li data-bbox="748 1800 1024 1829">6. Hamdast is allowed.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="1117 1860 1175 1889" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1057 1933 1230 1993" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="727 1993 760 2021">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.01.2019

O.A.No.877 of 2018  
(Subject : Suspension)

Dr. M.B. Londhe .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant Dr. M.B. Londhe is also present in person. Shri V.G. Maindad, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is absent.

2. The present matter relates to suspension of the medical officer as well as non-payment of Subsistence Allowance.

3. Today, the learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule has tendered letter dated 03.01.2019 which shows that the Subsistence Allowance from 02.08.2018 to 30.11.2018 is in process and bill is submitted in Treasury. As such Subsistence Allowance will be paid to the Applicant soon.

4. Now turning to the Suspension, the learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule on instructions from Shri Rajan V. Nikam, Assistant, Medical Officer, submitted that the proposal for reinstatement of the applicant in service is under process and file is placed before the Hon'ble Minister. Learned P.O. further submitted that it will be considered soon and necessary orders will be passed.

5. In view of the above, this O.A. can be disposed of as grievance of suspension and reinstatement in service is likely to be redressed soon.

6. O.A.No.877/2018 is disposed of with direction that necessary orders about revocation of suspension and reinstatement in service be issued within four week's from today. Matter be expedited and decision be communicated to the applicant within four week's.

7. Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhakar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.484 of 2018</p> <p>C.R. Gore .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer Shri A.J. Chougule submitted that reply would be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted</p> <p>3. The pleadings are complete. Liberty is granted to the applicant to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any, by next date. The matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.581 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Metkar</b> .....Applicant. <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today the learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents matter is adjourned for hearing with liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2019</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.986 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S.P. Shirsolkar</b> .....Applicant. <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Redij, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents for Respondents No.1 to 3. Respondents No.4 to 7 are private Respondents are served by R.P.A.D. However, they are absent and non appeared on their behalf.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. Ms. S. Suryawanshi three week's time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.01.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.842 of 2017</p> <p>B.A. Dane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicants submitted that affidavit-in-rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.399 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>P.V. Korpade</b> ...Applicant.  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.A. Ingole, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to absorption in service. The Respondents have already filed affidavit-in-reply and the pleadings are completed.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is adjourned for final hearing. Liberty is granted to the Applicant to file rejoinder, if any, within two week's.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to Final hearing on 04.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.01.2019 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No:10 of 2019

V.G. Chandorkar .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 01.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2019</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>O.A.No.984 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>B.B. Bodake</b> .....Applicant. <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Poonam Sapate, the learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of the learned Advocate Ms..Poonam Sapate matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.01.2019 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.768 of 2018

K.R. Nannaware .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter pertains to non-releasing of retiral benefits which seems to have been withheld because of proposed Departmental Enquiry. Applicant's stands retired on 31.12.2016, but due to the proposed Departmental Enquiry some of the retiral benefits seem to have been withheld.

3. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply contending that because of the pendency of departmental enquiry some of the retiral benefits have been withheld.

4. It is necessary to know the status of the departmental Department. However, learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate are unable to state anything in this regard. As some of the retiral benefits have been withheld on account of departmental enquiry this matter can be disposed of after ascertaining the status of Departmental Enquiry.

5. At the request of learned Advocate and learned P.O., matter is adjourned to 07.01.2019, for hearing at the stage of admission and final hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.919 of 2018

Y.L. Belgiri ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 21.1.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 21/1/2019

*[Handwritten signature]*



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/1/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Petitioner: M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./D.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.881 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.P. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.1.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.693 of 2018

P.M. Kudal ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that while filing reply the respondents should take into account the judgments given by the Hon'ble High Court and this Tribunal from time to time.

3. S.O. to 31.1.2019.

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 3/1/2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

4.1.2019

(sgj)

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.670 of 2018

V.T. Chinchwadkar & Anr. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO refers to letter produced by the Directorate, Medical Education & Research dated 11.12.2018, according to which both the applicants have been promoted for 364 days. As such prayer made by the applicants viz. para 8(b) & (c) at page 8 of OA is fulfilled. In view of the same, OA has become infructuous and is disposed off as such.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. L.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... OA is disposed off

as such.

*M.P.*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

04.01.2019

M.A 644/2018 in O.A 1087/2018

Shri S.S Pingale & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shubham Kaushal, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 21.1.2019.

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri. Shubham Kaushal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/1/2019

*B.P.*

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, A.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.771 of 2018

S.D. Shirsath & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 11.1.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CHAIRMAN  
Hon. P.N. Dixit (Chairman)  
Hon. P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPLICANT  
Shri M.D. Lonkar

ADVOCATE  
Shri S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for Respondents

Adj. To 11/1/2019 for filing  
reply.

*Handwritten initials*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.364 of 2018

N.J. Kharale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 10.1.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. K. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for Applicant  
Shri. S. P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for Respondent/s

Adj. To 10.1.2019 for filing  
reply.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.537 of 2017

M.R. Mithapalli ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant is absent.  
Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states that reply is already filed.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course, with liberty to file rejoinder.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Appl. & Adv. for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: Admit

P.N. Dixit

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/1/2019</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>M.B. Kadam</u> Ld. Adv. Holding for Shri <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Ld. Adv. Shri <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./ B.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>1.1.1.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>YTD</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.556 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.D. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent S.O. to 10.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.1.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/1/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon. <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Chairman) Hon. <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam</u> holding for <u>Shri J. N. Kamble</u> Adv. for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>1/2/2019</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.887 of 2018 with M.A. No.638 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.R. Bankapure ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to substitute the OA and MA by making proper amendment. He seeks two weeks time for the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.1.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.280 of 2018 in O.A. No.397 of 2018

A.K. Jagtap ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. I.d. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply in MA.

3. S.O. to 18.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N<sup>l</sup>. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon. P.N. Dixit (Chairman)

Hon. P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri. M.B. Kadam holding for M.A. Jadhav Ld. Adv.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 18/1/2019

*(Signature)*

(sgl)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No.1133 of 2018

B.A. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 7.2.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: A.R. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

Chief P.O. for the Respondent/s

As to Issue notice

returnable on 7/2/2019

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgi)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.667 of 2018 in O.A. No.1133 of 2018

B.A. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Jan A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.R. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./C.O. for the Respondents

As to MA disposed off

accordingly.

*g.l.*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.924 of 2018

K.S. Chaudhari ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 30.1.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 4/1/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....30.1.2019 for filing reply.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

**CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Tribunal's orders

**04.01.2019**

**C.A 42/2018 in O.A No 832/2018**

Shri R.A Kadampatil ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. None for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue show cause notice to Shri Dinesh Waghmare, IAS, Principal Secretary, Social Justice Department calling to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs. 25000/- on account of his failure to reply the notice of action for contempt issued by learned advocate for the applicant returnable on 15.1.2019.
3. For further hearing S.O to 15.1.2019.
4. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondent.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

**INUATION SHEET NO.**

Tribunal's orders

**04.01.2019**

**O.A No 300/2018**

Shri R.B Vaishnav ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for permission to withdraw the O.A since it has been drafted without due diligence. He prays for continuation of Interim Relief.
3. Record shows that I.R has not been continued beyond 19.5.2018.
4. Hence O.A is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.)  
**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

**CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Tribunal's orders

04.01.2019

O.A No 1142/2018

Shri B.S Lanjile ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Lata Patne, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S.Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Original Application pertains to the jurisdiction of Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal.
3. Learned advocate prays for returning the papers for filing at Aurangabad. Request of return of papers is allowed.
4. Papers be returned for filing at Aurangabad.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn