

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.819 & 820 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Chavan A.J. Thorat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the matters the Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 2.11.2020 sent by Superintendent of Police, Satara to Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur in respect of both the applicants viz. Shri V.V. Chavan and Shri A.J. Thorat in respect of entitlement to the service benefits for the period which is spent outside the service. The applicants were dismissed from service however they are reinstated and they are working at Satara. The period spent outside the service is from 4.7.2019 to 14.1.2020 and S.P. Satara has requested that they are to be given service benefits under Rule 70(1)(a) and (b) of MCS (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981. Ld. PO submits that respondents seek time to take decision. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.12.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 3.11.2020 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.969 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.A. Diwan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Leave granted to amend the prayer clause in respect of grant of back wages. Amendment to be carried out within four weeks and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. Ld. CPO to file reply thereafter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 3.11.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.626 OF 2020

D.B. Bamane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, CHAIRPERSON

DATE : 03.11.2020

O R D E R

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant is challenging the transfer order dated 01.10.2020 transferring his from Bhor to Saswad to the post of Medical Superintendent. The Applicant is retiring in May 2021.
3. The learned Counsel submits that Private Respondent No.2 who is bought on the post of the applicant is also retiring in May 2021. The learned Counsel further submits that the post in Saswad where he is transferred is already occupied by one Dr. U.R. Tapase from 10.07.2020. The applicant has joined the present post on 28.05.2019. The applicant is working at Bhor from 2014, but however to the lower rank of Medical Officer. The learned Counsel submits that he has served Respondent No.2.
4. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant is relieved on 06.10.2020. She further submits that the approval of competent authority is obtained.



5. The learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on next date. Respondents are directed to give posting to the applicant with immediate effect.
6. The inspection of the record / file which is bought by the office of respondent no.1 is allowed to the learned Counsel for the applicant in the presence of learned P.O.
7. Matter is adjourned to 10.12.2020. Matter is kept open for hearing on interim relief on next date.
8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.12.2020.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. S.O. to 10.12.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.31 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. ZoteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 18.08.2020, the matter was kept for final hearing without reply as the Respondents failed to file reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. seeks permission to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. Allowed to file reply. In the interest of justice, it be taken on record.</p> <p>5. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.09 of 2020</p> <p>S. L. GholapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 15.10.2020, the matter was kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply as the Respondents failed to file reply within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. seeks permission to file reply.</p> <p>4. Allowed to file reply. In the interest of justice, it be taken on record.</p> <p>5. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 03.11.2020

M.A. No. 224 of 2020 in O.A.561 of 2019

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)

Versus

B.S. Sankpal & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)

1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).

2. This M.A. No.224/2020 is filed to recall the order passed by this Tribunal on 18.10.2019 in O.A. No.561/2019 whereby O.A. was disposed of on the statement made by Shri Anil Lokhande, District Superintendent of Land Records, Satara.

3. Learned P.O. submits that the competent authority was not consulted by Shri Anil Lokhande before making statement, and therefore, the order dated 18.10.2019 be recalled and O.A. be decided on merit.

4. Indeed, Shri Anil Lokhande, District Superintendent, Land Records, Satara ought to have consulted the competent authority before making any statement before Tribunal.

5. Learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants) also fairly concedes that O.A. be decided on merit.

6. In view of above, Misc. Application is allowed and order dated 18.10.2019 is recalled.

7. Now, O.A.No.561/2019 needs to be decided on merit. Hence, it be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

8. S.O. to 24.11.2020.

Sd/-

✓
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 03.11.2020

O.A.No.868 of 2019

G. N. RautApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., challenge is to the transfer order dated 20.08.2019.
3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the order issued by the Government on 13.11.2020 whereby the order dated 10.08.2020 which was passed by the Government during pendency of this O.A. and which was also in contempt of order passed by this Tribunal on 04.09.2019 is cancelled.
4. As such, the issue remains about the impugned order dated 20.08.2019 which was under challenge in the present O.A.
5. However, learned P.O. pointed out that the Applicant has sent a letter dated 13.10.2020 to the Government that he is withdrawing this O.A.
6. Today, the Applicant Shri Gajanan Raut is also present in person. I interrogated him. He stated that he does not want to prosecute present O.A. and requested for permission to withdraw the same.
7. Learned P.O. on instructions from Shri S.Andale, Under Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai also made statement that the Government will cancelled the transfer order dated 20.08.2019 within two weeks and O.A. be disposed of.
8. In view of above and particularly on statement made by learned P.O., the grievance of transfer order dated 20.08.2019 could not survive.
9. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2020</p> <p>O. A. No. 666 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. HadakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 to the Rejoinder of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A.No 218/2020 with M.A 231/2020</u></p> <p>K.B Vaidya ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Shraddha Vavhal i/b Ms P.S Anerao, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted that the final select list dated 21.9.2020 to be stayed till disposal of O.A 218/2020</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that copy of O.A as well as M.A is not served to the Collector, Sangli, Respondent no. 2. Learned P.O submits that they are unable to file reply because the copies are not served. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply and copy to be given in advance to the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.12.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.583 of 2020 with O.A.No.584 of 2020 with O.A.No.585 of 2020 with O.A.No.586 of 2020 with O.A.No.587 of 2020</p> <p>B.R. Lokhande (O.A.583/2020) B.S. Bhangе (O.A.584/2020) M.P. Desale (O.A.585/2020) P.U. Gadhave (O.A.586/2020) H.G. Vijapure (O.A.587/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. states that she needs time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent no.3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.456 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.K. Shinde & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>None for the applicants. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Ld. CPO submits that the respondents need persons to work in Forensic Laboratory and cannot keep two posts vacant. She prays that matter be expedited. Under such circumstances the matter will be heard finally on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.12.2020. The counsel for the applicants to note it.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.229 of 2019 with O.A.No.1121 of 2019</p> <p>G.A. Kiture & Ors. (O.A.229/2019) S.K. Bhitade (O.A.1121/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is ready for final hearing. The learned Counsel prays that it is to be heard urgently and expedite the matter because 57 candidates who are wait listed need to send for training for the post of Police Sub-Inspector.</p> <p>3. The learned CPO is directed to keep the original file from Home Department ready for our perusal.</p> <p>3. Adjourned 27.11.2020 for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

03.11.2020

O.A 632, 633 & 634/2020

S.S Khatke [O.A 632/2020]
C.D Bhosale [O.A 633/2020]
Smt Manisha S. Zende [O.A 634/2020]... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants, who are working as Police Inspectors are challenging their respective transfer order dated 29.10.2020.

3. Shri Khatke, applicant in O.A 632/2020 is transferred from Chandannagar P.S, Pune to Police Training Academy, Nanvij, Daund, Shri C.D Bhosle applicant in O.A 633/2020 is transferred from Lashkar Police Station to Police Training Academy, Nanvij, Daund and Applicant Zende in O.A 634/2020 is transferred from Shivajinagar P.S, Pune to Protection of Civil Rights Branch, Mumbai.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that it is a mid-tenure transfer. However, it is mentioned that the transfers are on request, which is incorrect.

5. Learned P.O produces a note signed by Shri Rajesh Vaidya, Senior Dy. Assistant to Inspector General of Police, wherein it is mentioned that the cancellation of the orders of transfer of these three Police Officers is under process.

6. In view of this, the transfer orders qua the applicants are hereby stayed and the applicants are directed to start working at their present place of posting.

7. S.O to 12.1.2021.

 Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>03.11.2020</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 635 & 636/2020</u></p> <p>V.V Khule [O.A 635/2020] S.S Pathan [O.A 636/2020] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order of transfer dated 29.10.2020, qua them on the ground that Section 22N (1) (c) & (d) of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951 are violated</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits the applicant in O.A 635/2020 was earlier working in Pune Commissionerate and Applicant in O.A 636/2020 was working in Pune Rural earlier and was transferred to Pimpri Chinchwad Commissionerate. Both the applicants were transferred after creation of Pimpri Chinchwad Police Commissionerate in 2018 and therefore their service period should be counted from 2018 and they have not completed six years in Pimpri Chinchwad Police Commissionerate.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the calculation is incorrect as the applicants were not transferred from Pune Police Commissionerate to Pimpri Chinchwad Police Commissionerate, but they were given duty. However, their parent Commissionerate remained Pune Police Commissionerate. Learned P.O further submitted that the office of Director General of Police, M.S, has issued a Circular dated 16.3.2020 wherein the tenure of two Commissionerates are clubbed while counting the period of service at a particular station.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submits that the applicants have not challenged the said Circular and seeks time to file.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel submits that till then the applicants are to be allowed to work at their present place of posting as nobody is posted in their place.</p> <p>7. Applicants to continue to work at their respective place of posting, if at all no other Police Officer is appointed to their respective posts, till today.</p> <p>8. S.O to 8.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 639/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.H Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is transferred from the post of Additional Treasury Officer, (Audit), Treasury Office, Satara to Additional Treasury Officer, (Computer), Treasury Office, Satara.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


03.11.2020

O.A.No.622 & 623 of 2020

M.R. Ahire (O.A.622/2020)
M.P. Shinde (O.A.623/2020) ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2021.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 07.01.2021.

 Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 642/2020</u></p> <p>B.R Ghadge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.R Ghadge, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges order of transfer dated 29.10.2020 transferring him from Thane to Akola.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>4. The applicant submits that he is being relieved on 31.10.2020. Under the circumstances, applicant to join duty at Akola, may be under protest.</p> <p>5. S.O to 10.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 638/2020</u></p> <p>D.U Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a matter before Aurangabad bench, where the applicant, Addl. S.P challenges order dated 28.10.2020, by which Shri Saurabh Kumar Agarwal, who is Res. No. 2 is appointed on promotion as Addl. S.P and the applicant, though he is transferred, no specific order is issued in respect of his posting.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy of the minutes of meeting of Police Establishment Board dated 28.10.2020, wherein it is mentioned that the enquiry as per the Vishaka Committee is going on.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.12.2020</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.510 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.R. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Pandey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Taken on board. The matter is already adjourned to 22.12.2020. Ld. CPO submits that they have received para wise remarks and want to file reply. Copy of reply be sent to the applicant and Ld. Advocate for the applicant a week before.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.401 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.R. Kapade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the applicant has joined the place where he is transferred i.e. Mira-Bhayandar as Awal Karkun and nothing remains in the matter. However, Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has informed him that he has accepted this posting under protest.</p> <p>3. The applicant shall produce his notice given to the respondents-authority that he has joined under protest when he took the charge.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.335 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.M. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.M. Sathe, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter till today no action of deleting para 2 in the order dated 22.6.2020 is taken. Ld. PO submits that this is a regular proforma used by the GAD while issuing promotion orders. Shri Prashant Shelar, Section Officer, GAD submits that the record about the enquiry against the applicant is not available and therefore by way of abundant precaution GAD puts this para 2 that promotion is subject to DE, if any.</p> <p>3. This explanation is not satisfactory. However, Ld. PO seeks permission to file reply for inaction of GAD to delete para 2 in the impugned order.</p> <p>4. The GAD is directed to file reply with a specific statement whether any DE was pending against the applicant when the DPC was conducted or at the time of issuance of order dated 22.6.2020.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO submits that alternatively permission be granted to issue the modified order by deleting para 2 in the order dated 22.6.2020. Permission granted.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.12.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.456 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.K. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the applicants. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Ld. CPO submits that the respondents need persons to work in Forensic Laboratory and cannot keep two posts vacant. She prays that matter be expedited. Under such circumstances the matter will be heard finally on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.12.2020. The counsel for the applicants to note it.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.614 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. R.R. Ramteke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This pertains to recovery of arrears w.e.f.1998 on the ground that he has not passed the Marathi Language Examination within stipulated period. The impugned order dated 18.07.2017 is hereby stayed till filing of the affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.01.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/ notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 05.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.627 of 2020</p> <p>B.M. Dahiphale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.A. is filed for giving directions to Respondent to direct the representation dated 17.03.2020 and 18.08.2020.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that minimum six months period is to be given to decide the representation. She further submits that O.A. is premature.</p> <p>4. In view of the pray sought the matter can be dispose off with following direction :- Respondents to decide the representations of the applicant on or before 31.01.2021.</p> <p>5. In view of above, matter is disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.457 of 2020 with O.A.No.387 of 2020 with O.A.No.436 of 2020 with O.A.No.448 of 2020 with O.A.No.352 of 2020</p> <p>A.S. Shaikh (O.A.457/2020) K.U. Patil (O.A.387/2020) S.T. Ohal (O.A.436/2020) S.D. Bhokare (O.A.448/2020) M.P. Shelke (O.A.352/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.457/2020, O.A.387/2020, O.A.436/2020 and O.A.448/2020, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.352/2020, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A.457/2020 and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that today she is filing affidavit-in-reply in O.A.457/2020, O.A.387/2020, O.A.436/2020 and O.A.448/2020. Affidavit-in-reply in O.A.352/2020 is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. 24.11.2020 for final hearing. Interim relief, if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.622 of 2020</p> <p>M.R. Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 07.01.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p>M.A.No.282 of 2020 in O.A.No.215 of 2019 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>S.N. Narsude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply on or before next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.626 of 2020</u></p> <p>Dr. D.R. Bamane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Now, it is informed that the required file is not brought by the officer. Hence for the purpose of inspection adjourned to 24.11.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p>O.A.No.287 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kakade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 to 5. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.01.2021. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center">O.A.No.583 of 2020 with O.A.No.584 of 2020 with O.A.No.585 of 2020 with O.A.No.586 of 2020 with O.A.No.587 of 2020</p> <p>B.R. Lokhande (O.A.583/2020) B.S. Bhangе (O.A.584/2020) M.P. Desale (O.A.585/2020) P.U. Gadhawe (O.A.586/2020) H.G. Vijapure (O.A.587/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. states that she needs time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent no.3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 03.11.2020

O.A.No.225 of 2020

P. M. Teli

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further seeks permission to correct the date of impugned order in Prayer Clause 9(a). In Prayer Clause, the declaration is sought to set aside the order dated 10.04.2019. Whereas, learned Counsel submits that impugned order is dated 30.07.2013 and 22.10.2019 (Page Nos.32 and 54-A of PB).

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents raised the ground of limitation.

5. Whereas, according to learned Counsel for the Applicant, the Applicant has filed representation in respect of order dated 30.07.2013 and it was finally decided by order dated 22.10.2019, and therefore, the issue of limitation could not survive.

6. In view of above, allowed to carry out the correction in Prayer Clause.

7. The issue of limitation is kept open to be decided on merit.

8. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned P.O. to file additional reply on the point of limitation.

9. S.O. to 03.12.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.1228 of 2019</p> <p>R. K. Jadhav ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder of the Applicant but no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1058 of 2019</p> <p>S. M. WankhedeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.130 of 2020</p> <p>S. V. SonarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri S. R. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken on board by way of circulation as it was pending due to lockdown.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for Respondent No.3, two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 184 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough chances are granted for filing reply but not filed till date. 3. On request of learned P.O., the last chance is granted for filing reply. 4. S.O. to 19.11.2020. <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.200 of 2020</p> <p>M. P. JamadarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., the last chance is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.209 of 2019</p> <p>P. R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.213 of 2020</p> <p>S. P. KhamkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Kandarkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 26.11.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.204 of 2020</p> <p>S. C. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed short affidavit in respect of corrigendum order passed by the Collector, Solapur on 28.10.2020 tendered in the Tribunal on previous date. 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit of Collector, Solapur by way of Rejoinder to the Affidavit of the Applicant filed today and to explain the corrigendum order dated 28.10.2020. 4. Allowed to file Affidavit on 05.11.2020. 5. S.O. to 05.11.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.213 of 2017</p> <p>A. S. GitayApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder to Affidavit-in-Reply of Shri Manoj Lohiya the then Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad filed on previous date.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing on 19.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.203 of 2018</p> <p>S. K. DevkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In terms of order dated 22.10.2020, the Applicant has amended the O.A..</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. therefore, seeks two weeks time to file reply to the amendment to O.A.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 291/2020</u></p> <p>S.R Sutar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant produces whatsapp copy of the order passed by the Presenting Officer and not by Enquiry Officer. He submits that it is in violation of Maharashtra Civil Service Rules.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.1.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 359/2020</u></p> <p>D.V Bhise ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anand Gugale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These two matters O.A 359/2020 and 291/2020 are to be separated as the issues are different.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant wants to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. In the present application learned advocate points that Respondents no 1 & 2 have not filed reply.</p> <p>5. This matter is to be tagged along with O.A 219/2020.</p> <p>6. Admit. Place for final hearing on 21.1.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 310 with 257/2020</u></p> <p>P.D Padwal U.D Thorat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.2. Learned P.O is directed to file reply by way of last chance.3. S.O 12.1.2021. <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p>M.A 95/2020 in R.A 02/2020 in O.A.No 434/2014 with C.A 10/2020</p> <p>State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>M.C Gosavi ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents). None for the Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>2. There is delay of 11 months in filing Misc Application for recalling order dated 18.2.2019 in O.A 434/2014.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  2</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 380/2020 with M.A 213/2020</u></p> <p>Amruta Karvande & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 21.1.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A.No 605/2020</u></p> <p>S.B Gunjal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the prayer clause. Leave granted. 3. Applicant seeks promotion to the post of Senior Labour Investigator, Group-C considering the claim of the applicant for 2016-17 along with deemed date and grant him consequential service benefits.. 4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply. 5. S.O to 7.1.2021. <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 588 with M.A 255/2020</u></p> <p>D.E Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of six months and 15 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.1.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 504/2020 with M.A 229/2020</u></p> <p>B.R Rajwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.2. Misc Application is filed for condonation of delay of 16 years and 7 months in filing the Original Application.3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.4. S.O to 12.1.2021. <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 244/2020 in O.A.No 561/2020</u></p> <p>Dr K.S Jawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Anand D. Gugale , learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 8 months and 13 days in filing the Original Application.3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply.4. S.O to 12.1.2021. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.116/2020</u></p> <p>S.S Mahajan ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply to O.A is filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that the wait list for the post of Assistant Apprenticeship Advisor, Directorate of Vocational education and Training, Maharashtra Education Service, Group-B, (Technical) under OBC lapsed in the year 2016 and the applicant had approached this Tribunal on 6.2.2020. Learned C.P.O submits that there is a delay of 3 years. No application for condonation of delay is filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submits that he wants to file Misc Application.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.3.2021</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>