

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.592 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. MhatreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. V. Y. Agane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A., the Applicant has prayed for direction to Respondents to consider his representations dated 09.12.2020 and 24.02.2021 for correction in date of birth and also for direction to release gratuity and other retiral benefits. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in service book, date of birth of Applicant is recorded as 01.12.1962 instead of 02.12.1962. 4. The Applicant admittedly stood retired on 30.11.2020. It is after retirement only, he made representations dated 09.12.2020 and 24.02.2021 for correction in date of birth. 5. Insofar as gratuity and retiral benefits are concerned, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes that the Applicant has received pension payment order dated 31.07.2021. According to her, she received pension papers after filing of O.A. Be that as it may, gratuity and retiral benefits are already sanctioned as seen from pension payment order tendered by Applicant herself. 6. Admittedly, the Applicant did not apply for correction in date of birth within five years from the date of joining of service as required under Rule 38(2) of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services), Rules 1981. 7. It is only after retirement, the Applicant has made certain representations. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrars' orders

Tribunal's orders

8. It is well settled that the grievance or challenge for correction in date of birth has to be raised in terms of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services), Rules 1981 and no such grievance can be entertained at the fag end of service. In this behalf, reference may be made to the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in following cases:-

(A) **(2010) 14 SCC 423 (State of Maharashtra Vs. Gorakhnath S. Kamble).**

(B) **(2011) 9 SCC 664 (State of M.P. & Ors. Vs. Premlal Shrivastava).**

(C) **2020(3) SLR 639 (SC) Bharat Coking Coal Limited and Ors. Vs. Shyam Kishor Singh.**

9. As such, the grievance made by the Applicant in present O.A. about correction in date of birth is not at all maintainable at such belated stage after retirement.

10. Insofar as representations made by the Applicant for correction in date of birth is concerned, in terms of Rule (2-B) of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981, those are automatically stands disposed of as rejected on the date of retirement. Rule (2-B) is as follows :-

"(2-B) : No application for alternation of entry regarding date of birth of the Government servant pending with the Government on the date of commencement of the Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 shall be processed after the date of retirement of such Government servant and such application shall automatically stand disposed of as rejected on the date of retirement. Any such application made by the retired Government servant shall not be entertained."

11. In view of above, O.A. is not maintainable and dismissed summarily.

12. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____


I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1104 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. TejiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent from last 3-4 dates but the matter was adjourned to give enough opportunity to them.</p> <p>3. However, today again the Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Thus, it appears that they are not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Original Application is, therefore, dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p> <p>L.O.</p> <p>5. In afternoon session, Smt. Rajana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and requested for restoration of O.A. stating that because of local restrictions, she could not attend the Tribunal on previous dates.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In the interest of justice, O.A. is restored to file.</p> <p>7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that she wants to amend O.A. so as to bring on record certain important material in respect of application made by the Applicant's mother. According to her, name of the Applicant's mother was taken in waiting list and she wants to produce the document by way of amendment.</p> <p>8. Two weeks time is granted to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.667 of 2021</p> <p>H. N. GangurdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.09.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to <u>23.09.2021</u> 16/9/2021 <div style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </div> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.59 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. DongreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. Today learned C.P.O has filed short Affidavit along with minutes of Review Committee. It is taken on record. 5. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission 6. S.O. to 21.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.102 of 2021</p> <p>T.A.K.N.A.K. PathanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.597 of 2020</p> <p>R.D. GhaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.129 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. GaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2 is present in person.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. Respondent No.2 has also filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.43 of 2020 (Through Video Conference)</p> <p>H. R. DasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Today, the matter is placed before special Division Bench comprising Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(A) and Shri A. P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J) in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble Chairperson on 03.09.2021. 2. The Applicant in person is preset. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is representing all the Respondents. 3. In the beginning itself, learned C.P.O. has pointed out that there is no reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 since no notice has been issued to the Respondents. 4. Learned C.P.O., therefore, requested for three weeks time to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. 5. The Applicant in person has no objection for grant of three weeks time to file reply as a last chance. 6. Perusal of record reveals that formal order of issuance of notices is not there but learned C.P.O. appeared on behalf of the Respondents and the matter proceeded ahead. Except Respondent No.1 all other Respondents (2 to 6) have filed their reply. 7. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 24.09.2021 to Respondent No.1. 8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p>14. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 will be filed on next date.</p> <p>15. The Applicant in person further states that he would file Rejoinder after getting reply of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>16. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- /</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice Chairman (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.527 of 2021</p> <p>R. G. SamdaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Deokar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 25.11.2020 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has pointed that the Tribunal has already disposed of four Original Applications i.e. 504, 505, 506 and 507/2021 by order dated 01.09.2021 which are arising from the same suspension order and directions are issued to Respondent No.2 to take review of suspension. He, therefore, submits that on similar line, this O.A. be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>4. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that since no charge sheet is filed within 90 days, the Applicants are entitled for reinstatement in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>(2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.)</i>. He, therefore, submits that instead of giving directions to Respondents to take review, the Tribunal can revoke the suspension and accordingly orders to that effect be passed.</p> <p>5. As pointed out by learned P.O., four Original Applications arising from the same suspension order are already disposed of by giving directions to Respondent No.2 to take review of suspension in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Ajay Kumar Choudhary's</i> case (cited supra) as well as G.R. dated 09.07.2019. Therefore, it would be appropriate to adopt the same course of action and I see no reason to take different view. Let the Respondent No.2 to take review of suspension.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to take review of suspension of the Applicant within a month from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>7. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.09.2021

O.A. No.1184 of 2019

D.J. SonawaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.A. Parab, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Though this matter is listed for Final Hearing, it is transpired that learned Advocate for the Applicant ^{Shri} not served copies of O.A. and notices of the O.A. on the Respondents. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that though he collected notices from the Office but did not served it upon Respondents due to lockdown. Tribunal has passed the order for issuance of notices on 18.03.2020.

3. Indeed, were notices are not collected as per condition order, O.A. ought to have been dismissed in default by Office. However it was listed before the Tribunal and that aspect was not brought to the notice. On the contrary learned P.O. appeared and sought adjournment for filing Reply. Thereafter, matter was adjourned from time to time for filing Reply and ultimately Reply being not filed, O.A. was fixed for Final Hearing without Reply, later O.A. was dismissed in default on 10.02.2021 but restored to the O.A.

4. Learned P.O. submits that he be allowed to file Reply on next date so that matter can be heard on merit, his request is quite reasonable in view of above background.

5. Two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

6. S.O. to 17.09.2021.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.666 of 2021</p> <p>V. R. Kamble ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 06.08.2021 which has been corrected by issuance of corrigendum order whereby her place of posting is changed from Sangli to Sindhudurg . 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that corrigendum is in contravention of G.R. and Applicant ought to have been posted at Sangli. 4. Admittedly, the Applicant was due for transfer. Secondly, she has also given option of Sindhudurg as option no.2. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant interim relief. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.10.2021. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O. to 01.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.661 of 2020 with O.A. No.663 of 2020 with O.A. No.682 of 2020 to O.A. No.685 of 2020 with O.A. No.703 of 2020 with O.A. No.85 of 2020 with O.A. No.47 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. Ninave R.S. Yadav S.G. Koli V.B. Koli P.R. Arage P.R. Waikar N.H. Koli S.B. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.663/2020, Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No. 682/2020 to O.A. No.685/2020 & 703/2020, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None for the Applicant in O.A. No.85/2020 & O.A. No.47/2021.</p> <p>3. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.661/2020 has tendered her leave note.</p> <p>4. Interim Relief till today is extended till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.311 of 2021</p> <p>M.D. BandgarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo-moto speaking to the minutes. In the order dated 13.08.2021 in paragraph 2, line No.4 the words 'State of Maharashtra is vacant' be read as 'State of Maharashtra are vacant'.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that she has already sent letter to P.W.D. on 20.08.2021 and she is awaiting Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.10 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request and by consent the matter is taken today though it was shown adjourned to 2.11.2021 on today's board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that pursuant to the order dated 25.8.2021 the applicant has served respondents no.3, 4 & 5 by email. Out of 3, two are served by email however email sent to respondent no.5 is not delivered. Ld. Advocate submits that he has sent Whatsapp to respondents no.3, 4 & 5 and he has spoken telephonically to respondents no.3 & 5.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to file affidavit of service and if required to serve properly on respondent no.5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time for compliance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.9.2021 for final hearing. , Part Heard.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 3.9.2021 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2021 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p>C.A. No.15 of 2021 with O.A. No.83 of 2020</p> <p>M.C. Lilani & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces a letter dated 02.09.2021 of Under Secretary, Public Health Department (P.H.D.) marked as exhibit '1'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that we are waiting for the opinion of the Law and Judiciary Department in respect of implementation or go in appeal of the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. It is difficult to accept this submission as already Ten months are over for the implementation of order. It is to be noted that the Law and Judiciary Department cannot sit in appeal for the orders passed in Judicial forum. There is inordinate delay on the part of the Government to take decision whether to challenge this order in Writ Petition or not.</p> <p>5. There is delay of three months in sending letter from Public Health Department to Law and Judiciary Department, when the time given by the Tribunal for implementation of order is six weeks.</p> <p>6. Hence, time is granted till 08.09.2021 to make specific statement in written whether the Respondents have filed Writ Petition or not.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.160 of 2021 in O.A.No.313 of 2021</p> <p>P. S. GhareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Agane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____
IN _____ 3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. _____
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.276 of 2021 in O.A.No.489 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. R. AsawaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A. as well as in O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2021

O.A.No.561 of 2021

K. A. Salunke

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.10.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 01.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.722 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. R. A. Singh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Tejal Dicholkar holding for Shri D. S. Pagare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, again learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for adjournment stating that Advocate Shri Pagare is unwell. 3. Perusal of record reveals that on the same ground matter is adjourned twice. 4. However, in the interest of justice, two days time is granted for final hearing since the matter pertains to 2018 with specific direction that if Advocate for Applicant remain absent, the matter will be dismissed in default. 5. S.O. to 06.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.650 of 2021</p> <p>A. D. MengeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.626 of 2021</p> <p>G. N. Sarode ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.612 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. B. BansodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.540 of 2021</p> <p>E. P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2, one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.396 of 2021</p> <p>N. G. Parmar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder and matter be heard at the stage of admission. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 21.09.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.397 of 2021</p> <p>N. G. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.272 of 2021</p> <p>B. S. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.376 of 2021</p> <p>A. M. NatekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.671 of 2021</p> <p>A. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.10.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 01.10.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.669 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. GiriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 30.08.2021 whereby she was transferred from the post of Accounts Officer, Education Department, Pune to Accounts Officer, Directorate of Technical Education, Mumbai. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim relief to the impugned order dated 30.08.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that the Applicant is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure without compliance of Section 4(5) Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005). 4. Learned P.O. sought to contend that though the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of three years at Pune, there were serious complaints, therefore, she was transferred by the order of Director of Accounts and Treasuries, Mumbai. As regard the competent authority, she placed reliance on G.R. dated 30.05.2015 which inter-alia provides for delegation of powers in the matter of general transfer. 5. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant had joined as Accounts Officer, Education Department, Pune in view of her transfer by order dated 15.01.2020 and she had not completed normal tenure of three years at Pune. However, by impugned transfer order dated 30.08.2021, she is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure from Pune to Mumbai. 6. The file tendered by learned P.O. reveals that there is only recommendation of Civil Services Board for mid-term transfer of the Applicant for the allegation of serious complaint of corruption and the same has been approved by the Additional Chief Secretary, Finance. However, there is no approval of competent transferring authority as contemplated under Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005'.

7. It may be noted that the Applicant being Gazetted Officer in Group-B, it is only Minister in Charge in consultation with Secretary of the concerned department is competent transferring authority for general transfer in terms of table under Section 6 of 'Act 2005'. The present case being of mid-term and mid-tenure transfer, it requires approval of next higher competent authority i.e. Hon'ble Chief Minister in terms of Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005' which *inter-alia* specifically mandates that it should be with approval of immediate superior transferring authority as mentioned in table under Section 6 of 'Act 2005'. Admittedly, there is no approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister.

8. As such, *prima-facie* impugned transfer order is not in consonance with the approval of 'Act 2005' and therefore, liable to be stayed.

9. In view of above, interim relief as prayed in prayer clause 10(a) of O.A. is granted.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2021.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16. S.O. to 30.09.2021.

17. Hamdast granted.

le
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.37 of 2020 with O.A. No.316 of 2019</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wishes to withdraw Contempt Application as he has filed new O.A. and notices has been issued in that matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, permission to withdraw is allowed.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.36 of 2021 with O.A. No.37 of 2021 With O.A. No.231 of 2021</p> <p>T.B. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.36/2021 and O.A. No.37/2021. 3. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3 in O.A. No.237/2021. It is taken on record. Copy of the Reply is served to other side. 4. At the request of learned Advocate, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 5. S.O. to 14.10.2021. <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.231 of 2020 in O.A. No.218 of 2020</p> <p>K.B. VaidyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None for the Applicant. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. M.A. is fixed for hearing on interim relief. 4. Learned P.O. submits that in the present M.A. he is adopting Affidavit-in-Reply filed in O.A. 5. Hence matter is fixed for hearing after two weeks. 6. S.O. to 17.09.2021. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.268 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 24.09.2021. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


Date: 03.09.2021

O.A. No.729 of 2020

V.V. Ambole & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. S.O. to 14.10.2021.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/- 
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.325 of 2021</p> <p>N.S. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.3 & 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, four weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.369 of 2021</p> <p>G.S. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. Copy of the Reply be served to other side.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>Ray</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.43 of 2020</p> <p>H.R. DasApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Praeipce for speaking to minutes is moved by the party in person.</p> <p>2. Perused the praecipe dated 03.09.2021. In view of the contents, the Praeipce for speaking to minutes is refused.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.312 of 2021 in O.A. No.504 of 2020</u></p> <p>Bhausahab R. Rajwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for bringing on record the subsequent developments. Ld. Advocate seeks leave to amend the OA as per Schedule I to III attached to the MA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. Ld. PO to file reply to the amended OA.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA adjourned to 17.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2021 3.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.68 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.321 of 2020 in O.A. No.736 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.9.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit in reply regarding compliance of the order dated 9.3.2020 passed in the above OAs. and she states that she will handover copy of some documents regarding compliance to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.9.2021. Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2021 3.9.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.255 of 2015</u></p> <p>Kisan J. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a letter dated 10.7.2021 addressed to this Tribunal by the applicant for withdrawal of the above OA and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA. The said letter dated 10.7.2021 is taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2021 3.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.304 of 2021 in O.A. No.639 of 2021</u></p> <p>Mahendra S. Patil & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.9.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.652 of 2020</p> <p>M.B. BorkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. S.O. to 24.09.2021. <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.561 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.S. JawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None for the Applicant. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. Admit. 3. S.O. to 01.10.2021. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>